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**Abstract:** This study has been conducted to assess the impact of motor educability on academic achievement of adolescent boys of Jammu and Kashmir. To conduct the study, 250 adolescent boys studying in various schools operational in the State of Jammu and Kashmir were selected as sample. In all 50 adolescent boys from age group 13+ years age, 14+ years age, 15+ years age, 16+ years age and 17+ years age were selected randomly. Motor educability of selected subjects was assessed with the help of modified version of Johnson’s test of motor educability (1932) consisting of items such as front roll, back roll, jumping half turns and jumping full terms. Academic achievement of selected subjects was assessed with the help of previous year marks. In order to distribute adolescent boys in high, average and low level of motor educability, quartile methods was used. One Way ANOVA showed significantly superior academic achievement in adolescent boys exhibitinghigher magnitude ofmotor educability as compared to adolescent boys exhibiting average and low level of motor educability. It was concluded that motor educability is strongly associated with academic achievement of adolescent boys.
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Introduction

Motor educability in general refers to learning new motor skills with relative ease. Learning is attaining innovative or amending existing knowledge, skills etc. Learning also encompasses different types of information. According to Adams (1976) motor learning is a progression of acquiring, mastering and applying motor information to execute certain easy or complex motor movements. It is closely associated with cognitive abilities, mental prowess of an individual.

In India great emphasis is placed on academic achievement. This is not unusual because of its importance for adolescent to get better chances for studies in higher education institutions. Due to so much importance of academic achievement in India researcher have conducted studies to ascertain the factors associated with it. In this relation association of motor coordination was observed by Planinsec (2006) with intelligence. Similarly Sparrow (1993), Goldstein et al. (1994), Bonifacci, P. (2004), Howie and Pate (2012), Sandeep et al. (2018) studied motor educability in relation to intelligence. But academic achievement although related with intelligence is little bit different because achievement is based on knowledge or acquired skills. Hence the researcher decided to assess academic achievement of adolescent boys in the light of their proficiency in motor educability.

Objectives:

The objective of the present study is to assess the impact of motor educability on academic achievement of adolescent boys.

Hypothesis:

It was hypothesized that grades of motor educability will significantly influence academic achievement of adolescent boys.

**Methodology:**

The following methodological steps were taken in order to conduct the present study.

**Sample:**

To conduct the study, 250 adolescent boys studying in various schools operational in the State of Jammu and Kashmir were selected as sample. In all 50 adolescent boys and girls from age group 13+ years age, 14+ years age, 15+ years age, 16+ years age and 17+ years age were selected randomly.

Tools:

Motor Educability Test:

Motor educability of selected subjects was assessed with the help of modified version of Johnson’s test of motor educability (1932) consisting of items such as front roll, back roll, jumping half turns and jumping full terms. This test is highly reliable and valid.

**Academic Achievement:**

Academic achievement of the selected subject will be assessed by grade marks obtained in previous year examination.

Procedure:

- 250 adolescent boys between 13 to 17 years age were selected randomly from schools operational in Jammu and Kashmir.

 After following ethical consideration, the selected subjects performed all four items of Johnson's motor educability test. The scores on each item were summed up.

- The final academic grade marks were obtained from respective schools records.

- To distribute subjects with high, average and low level of motor educability, Q1 and Q3 statistical technique was used. The 25th percentile score on motor educability test was 19.00 while the 75th percentile score was 32. The scores of adolescent boys falling above P75 (Q3) were considered as high level of motor educability, scores lying below P25(Q1) were considered as low level of motor educability while scores between the above quartile treated as average level of motor educability. To compare academic achievement of adolescent boys so distributed in high, average and low motor educability group, One Way ANOVA and Least Significant Difference Test was used. The results are presented in table 1 and 2 respectively.

Results:

The F=20.31 shown in table 1 indicate that academic achievement of adolescent boys exhibiting high level of motor educability (M=85.16), average level of motor educability (M=74.72) and low level of motor educability (M=67.61) differ significantly.

 The obtained result shown in table 1 was also confirmed by Least Significant Difference Test presented in table no. 2.

**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Scores on Academic Achievement among Adolescent Boys with Varying Degree of Motor Educability (N=250)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Groups | N | Academic Achievement |
| Mean | S.D. |
| Adolescent Boys - High Motor Educability | 65 | 85.16 | 15.67 |
| Adolescent Boys - Average Motor Educability | 120 | 74.72 | 16.12 |
| Adolescent Boys - Low Motor Educability | 65 | 67.61 | 15.44 |
| F=20.31, p<.01 |

**Table 2: Comparison of Mean Scores on Academic Achievement in a Group of Adolescent Boys with High, Moderate and Low Motor Educability (N=250) Least Significant Difference Test with Significance Level .05**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mean (I) | Mean (J) | Mean Difference (I-J) |
| Adolescent Boys with High Motor Educability | Adolescent Boys with Moderate Motor Educability | 10.44\* |
| Adolescent Boys with Low Motor Educability | 17.55\* |
| Adolescent Boys with Moderate Motor Educability | Adolescent Boys with Low Motor Educability | 7.10\* |

\* Significant at .05 level

Statistical data shown in table 2 gives following inferences:

- Academic achievement of adolescent boys with high level of motor educability was found to be significantly superior as compared to adolescent boys exhibiting average and low level of motor educability. The mean difference of 10.44 and 17.55 was found to be statistically significant at .05 level.

- Academic achievement of adolescent boys with average level of motor educability was found to be significantly superior as compared to adolescent boys exhibiting low level of motor educability. The mean difference of 7.10 was found to be statistically significant at.05 level.

On the basis of analysis of data, following results are obtained.

Discussion:

Results clearly state the strong influence of motor educability on academic achievement of adolescent boys. This is not surprising because academic achievement is final outcome based on knowledge or acquired skills. Similarly motor educability is related to cognitive abilities and defined as learning new motor skills with relative ease. Hence adolescent subjects with more proficiency to acquire new motor skills are also adept at gaining knowledge in the field of academics.

Conclusion:

On the basis of results, it can be concluded that proficiency in motor educability may predict academic excellence of adolescent boys. It may also be concluded that both academic and motor profiency are dependent on learning new skills or knowledge, hence they are inter-related with each other.
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