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**Abstract:** In this work we presented an experimental verification of a numerical simulation of wall effects on the terminal falling velocity of spherical particles moving along the axis of a cylindrical vessel filled with a Carreau model fluid. Using a finite element method, we obtained dependences of the wall correction factor FW on the sphere to tube ratio d/D and on the dimensionless Carreau model parameters m, Λ, and ηr and then we compared calculated data of the wall correction factor with the results of our falling sphere experiments. The experiments were carried out in six types of cylindrical Perspex columns (16 mm, 21 mm, 26 mm, 34 mm, 40 mm, and 90 mm in diameter) filled with aqueous solutions of polymers showing different degrees of shear thinning and elasticity. Seventeen types of spherical particles (1 to 8 mm in diameter) made of following materials: glass, ceramics, steel, lead, and tungsten carbide, were used for drop test. Measurements of the liquid flow curves, primary normal stress differences, oscillatory, creep and recovery, stress relaxation, and stress growth tests were carried out on the rheometer Haake MARS (Thermo Scientific). A good agreement between numerically and experimental FW data was observed.
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**1. Introduction**

Knowing about the terminal falling velocity of a rigid particle is necessary for the solution of numerous theoretical and practical problems such as, design calculations of thickeners, fluidized bed equipment, pipeline transport systems, falling particle viscometry, and so on. Studying the influence of fluid non-Newtonian behavior of the fall of a particle (particularly a sphere) is one of the most important issues from the middle of the 20th century. Chhabra (2006), in his book, provided a comprehensive review of literature and an analysis of the present state of investigation of this problem along with a short review of the calculation of the drag coefficient of particles falling in Newtonian fluids. The majority of efforts have been paid to investigate creeping flow of purely viscous fluids around a sphere. In order to solve this flow, fluid viscosity models containing zero shear viscosity as a parameter are preferred. Such a widely used viscosity model is the four-parameter Carreau model with parameters η0 (zero-shear rate viscosity), η∞ (infinite shear rate viscosity), λ, and m:

The benefit of above equation is the ability to describe the viscosity, η, in a wide range of shear rates with very good accuracy.

The problem of the evaluation of the drag coefficient of a sphere falling freely in an unbounded Carreau model fluid has been solved numerically by Chhabra and Uhlherr (1980), Bush and Phan-Thien (1984), and Doleček et al. (1990). Impact of the dimensionless viscosity parameter

On the drag of a sphere has been solved by Doleček et al. (2004). Calculating the sphere terminal falling velocity, based on relationships approximating the results determined by Doleček et al. (1990) for ηr = 1, was provided by Machač et al. (2000).

It is well known that, the confining walls will cause an extra retardation force on a particle falling in a viscous fluid because of the upward flux of the fluid displaced by the particle. The effect of container walls is usually expressed using the wall correction factor FW which can be defined as:

Where, U/U∞ is the ratio of the terminal falling velocity in a bounded fluid to that in an unbounded one.

For a spherical particle moving slowly and axially through an incompressible Newtonian fluid in a cylindrical container, the wall correction factor, FW, is a function of the sphere to tube diameter ratio, β = d/D and for non-Newtonian fluids, additional dimensional groups appear depending on the selected rheological model. The majority of information on the wall effects, particularly for non- Newtonian fluids, is based mainly on experiments.

Only limited theoretical and numerical investigations of the effects of containing walls on the sphere motion in purely viscous fluids without a yield stress have been conducted (Chhabra, 2006). Missirlis et al. (2001) conducted a numerical study of the wall effects on the terminal velocity of a sphere falling freely via a power-law fluid at the axis of a cylindrical tube in the creeping flow system. This solution has been adjusted by Song et al. (2009) for sphere Reynolds numbers of 1–100. To test the possibility of using the COMSOL Multiphysics software for steady non-Newtonian flows to solve the flow of purely viscous fluids around a solid obstacle, the effect of containing walls on sphere motion in a power-law fluid was recalculated by this software package (Strnadel & Machač, 2008). Their results were in very good agreement with the data published by Missirlis et al. (2001). Moreover, while the theory predicts a strong impact of the power law index, n, on the wall factor, the existing experimental data do not confirm this fact. Given that, the power law viscosity model can be successfully used for the approximation of the fluid viscosity function only in a quite narrow region of the shear rate, the creeping flow over a solid sphere in a cylindrical tube was solved for a Carreau model fluid using the finite element method benefitting the above mentioned COMSOL Multiphysics software (Strnadel & Machač, 2009a; Machač et al., 2009). The suitability of the relationship approximating the results of these numerical calculations obtained for β = 0 was tested for fall of spherical particles within viscoelastic fluids by Strnadel & Machač (2009b). In the paper, the relationships valid for 0 ≤ β ≤ 0.5 were suggested and their usability was tested by comparing the calculated wall factor data with the results of our new extensive set of falling sphere experiments in polymer solutions showing different degrees of shear thinning and elasticity.

**2. Material and Methods**

**2.1. Principles**

Numerical solution of the mathematical model provided in (Strnadel & Machač, 2009a; Machač et al, 2009) resulted in the velocity, pressure, and stress fields. The magnitude of the drag force, FD, on the sphere and the resulting drag coefficient, CD, were the main outputs for further investigation:

Where d is the sphere diameter, ρ is the density of the fluid, and U is the sphere terminal falling velocity.

The drag coefficient, CD, for the creeping flow of a Carreau model fluid around a sphere is expressed as:

Where,

Figure 1: Dependence of the wall correction factor FW on β, m, and Λ for ηr = 1

Figure 2: Dependence of the wall correction factor FW on β, m, and Λ for ηr= 0.75

is the Reynolds number based on the zero-shear rate viscosity and X is the corrective factor of drag coefficient depending on the Carreau model parameter, m, the dimensionless time parameter Λ, and the ratios ηr and β. By comparing Eq. (4) with Eq. (5) we have

Then the wall correction factor, FW, (Eq. 3) is:

To calculate factor X, an iterative solution was used for such velocity, U < U∞, where the drag force is FD = FD∞ (Strnadel & Machač, 2009a).

Dependences of FW = f (β, m, Λ) calculated for ηr = 1 and 0.75 are exhibited in Figures 1 and 2.

The wall correction factor, FW, is fully dependent on ratio β. The dependence of FW on m and Λ varies based on the value of the viscosity parameter ηr. For ηr → 1, the theoretical estimates of FW are largely depended on m and Λ. At the same time, the wall effect becomes less significant with the decreasing values of m and increasing values of Λ. By the decrease of the values for ηr, the dependence of FW on m and Λ decreases and the dependence of FW on Λ show a local maximum.

Table 1: Composition of the model liquids

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Liquid symbol | Polymer | Composition/mass % |
| L1 | Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) | 1.2 |
| L2 | Hydroxyethylcellulose (Natrosol 250 HHX) | 1.0 |
| L3 | Methylcellulose (Tylose) | 3.0 |
| L4 | Polyacryl amide (Separan 45) | 1.2 |
| L5 | Polyacryl amide (Hercofloc 818) | 0.8 |
| L6 | Polyacryl amide (Kerafloc KX4026) | 0.75 |
| L7 | Polyacryl amide (Praestol 2540) | 0.4 |
| L8 | Polyethelene oxide (Polyox WSR 303) | 1.2 |
| L9 | Polyalkylene glycol (Emkarox HV45)/CMC | 35/0.02 |
| L10 | Polyalkylene glycol (Emkarox HV45)/CMC | 35/0.04 |
| L11 | Polyalkylene glycol (Emkarox HV45)/CMC | 35/0.08 |
| L12 | Polyalkylene glycol (Emkarox HV45)/CMC | 35/0.16 |

When dimensionless time Λ → 0 or m = 1, then the calculated value for FW will be reduced to the Newtonian correlation, which is in accordance with the viscosity function since the Carreau model (Eq. (1)) predicts constant viscosity i.e. η = η0. The identical situation arises for high values of the product, when behavior of the Carreau liquid rheological approaches that of a Newtonian liquid with viscosity equal to η∞. Given this fact, the existence of the aforementioned maximum can be described as the wall factor values are lower for Newtonian fluids than for shear thinning liquids.

For simplification of the computations to determine the wall factor, Fw, a relationship approximating the numerically calculated data X (ηr, m, Λ, β) was used. By optimizing the resulted numerical data, it was found that, the following relationship can be used to predict factor X in the intervals: 0.3 ≤ ηr ≤ 1, 0.3 ≤ m ≤ 1, 0.1 ≤ Λ ≤ 2000, and 0 ≤ β ≤ 0.5.

The limiting values of X for Λ = 0 and Λ →∞ are as the following.

Moreover, the parameters k1–k4 (Eq. (9) are as the following.



The mean relative deviation between the data determined based on the Eq. (9) and Eq. (10) and those calculated numerically are only 0.8 %. The observed maximum deviations (6–9.3 %) were for ηr = 1, m = 0.3, and β > 0.3.

**2.2. Experimental setup**

Falling sphere experiments were performed in six cylindrical Perspex columns filled with aqueous solutions of different polymers. The columns were placed

in thermostatic water bath and tempered at 23.0◦C. Diameters of the columns were 16 mm, 21 mm, 26 mm, 34 mm, 40 mm, and 90 mm, which lead to ratio β ∈ . Polymer solutions L1–L8 were prepared by dissolution of powdered polymers in demineralized water; solutions L9–L12 by dissolution of the appropriate amount of powdered carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) in aqueous solutions of poly alkylene glycol Emkarox HV45. Table 1 shows the composition of the test liquids and their densities, and table 2 shows Carreau model parameters. Seventeen types of spherical particles of glass, ceramics, steel, lead, and tungsten carbide were used for the drop tests. Table 3 shows the characteristics of the test particles.

The range of terminal falling velocities, Uexp, in these experiments was from 0.063 mm s−1 to 202 mm s−1, which is in agreement with the Reynolds number interval from 2.52 × 10−6 to 2.64 and to the dimensionless time parameter interval from 0.129 to 1423. Time interval between individual drop tests was set at least five minutes to avoid the occurrence of a “depleted region” in the liquid.

Table 2: Characteristics of the model liquids

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Liquid symbol | Density | Carreau model (Eq. (1)) parameters (23◦C) |
| ρ/(kg m−3) | Η0/(Pa s) | η∞/(Pa s) | λ/s | m | ηr |
| L1 | 1002 | 6.103 | 0.001 | 2.16 | 0.509 | 1.000 |
| L2 | 1000 | 4.301 | 0.001 | 0.999 | 0.470 | 1.000 |
| L3 | 1006 | 6.965 | 0.001 | 0.290 | 0.610 | 1.000 |
| L4 | 1002 | 17.92 | 0.001 | 12.7 | 0.424 | 1.000 |
| L5 | 1000 | 63.50 | 0.001 | 34.5 | 0.310 | 1.000 |
| L6 | 1002 | 23.71 | 0.001 | 22.0 | 0.317 | 1.000 |
| L7 | 999.3 | 18.71 | 0.001 | 11.0 | 0.278 | 1.000 |
| L8 | 1000 | 3.864 | 0.001 | 4.08 | 0.512 | 1.000 |
| L9 | 1055 | 0.644 | 0.326 | 3.24 | 0.787 | 0.508 |
| L10 | 1055 | 0.876 | 0.284 | 2.04 | 0.752 | 0.676 |
| L11 | 1055 | 1.418 | 0.261 | 1.79 | 0.729 | 0.816 |
| L12 | 1047 | 1.675 | 0.116 | 1.57 | 0.691 | 0.931 |

Table 3: Characteristics of the test spherical particles

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Symbol | DiameterD/mm | DensityΡs/(kg m−3) | Symbol | DiameterD/mm | DensityΡs/(kg m−3) |
| S1 | 1.93 | 2525 | S10 | 7.99 | 3908 |
| S2 | 3.13 | 2486 | S11 | 0.99 | 15119 |
| S3 | 4.12 | 2597 | S12 | 1.49 | 15119 |
| S4 | 4.93 | 2508 | S13 | 1.99 | 15119 |
| S5 | 6.12 | 2495 | S14 | 2.99 | 15119 |
| S6 | 1.99 | 3908 | S15 | 0.99 | 7526 |
| S7 | 2.99 | 3908 | S16 | 3.17 | 7789 |
| S8 | 3.99 | 3908 | S17 | 2.00 | 11118 |
| S9 | 5.99 | 3908 |  |  |  |

Assessment of liquid flow curves, primary normal stress differences, oscillatory, creep and recovery, stress relaxation, and stress growth tests were performed at 23.0◦C on a rheometer Haake MARS (Thermo Scientific, Germany).

**3. Results**

**3.1. Rheological measurements**

Liquid viscosity functions calculated from experimentally obtained flow curves were estimated by the four-parameter Carreau model with a very good accuracy. Figure 3 shows an example of the viscosity function course of some model fluids.

As it appear from the creep and recovery tests (Fig. 4), polymer solutions L1–L3 and L9–L12 show only slight linear elasticity. On the opposite side, fluids L4–L7 (poly acryl amide solutions) exhibited strong linear elasticity. Somewhat weaker elasticity was observed in fluid L8 (solution of polyethylene oxide). Similar results were obtained from the oscillatory and stress relaxation measurements. It is worth mentioning that, the degree of linear elasticity of the test fluids corresponds with the values of parameter λ of the Carreau viscosity model (Table 2). Measurements of the primary normal stress difference, N1, showed that, the rate of non-linear elasticity of the test fluids L1, L2, L4–L7, and L9–L12 are in agreement with the above-mentioned fluid linear elasticity.

**3.2. Wall factor**

Values of U∞,exp of the terminal falling velocities in unbounded fluid were obtained by linear extrapolation of the experimental dependences Uexp vs. β to the value of β → 0. For extrapolation, interval β ∈ (0; 0.2) where the dependences of Uexp= f (β) were nearly linear, was selected. Using terminal falling velocities, U∞,exp, experimental values, FW,exp, of the wall correction factor were calculated from Eq. (3) and then compared with the corresponding values, FW,cal, obtained according to Equations (8)–(10).

Figure 3: Dependence of the wall factor FW on the ratio β for model liquid L10, ηr=0.676, m = 0.512, Λ ∈ (4.33; 271.2), n = 0.873; (○ – calculated values), (●– experimental values), (**—**- Haberman and Sayre (1958)), ( —- power law model).

Results of the comparison for liquids L1, L5, and L10 are exhibited in Figures 3. These figures show that, experimental values of the wall factor were in a good agreement with the calculated results. Diagrams for the other test fluids were identical. The mean relative deviation between FW,exp and FW,cal data determined for the whole set of experiments was 3.9 %. Higher amounts of deviations (6–23 %) were observed for the ratio of β > 0.37. They can be partly as the results of experimental errors in the terminal falling velocity measurements in narrow columns when the strictly axial position of falling spheres is not easily obtainable and partly by the previously mentioned lower accuracy of the approximation formula (Eq. (10)) for β > 0.3. It is also worth mentioning that, the elasticity of test liquids L4–L8 did not largely affect the wall effects.

For comparison, dependences FW = f (β) obtained from the relationship of Haberman and Sayre (1958):

This relation is valid for Newtonian fluids. The following relationship

estimates the dependence FW = f (β) calculated numerically for power law fluids by Missirlis et al. (2001) and Strnadel and Machač (2008) that displayed in Figures 6–8. Parameters a1 to a4 are given by the following polynomial functions (Strnadel & Machač, 2008).

It is obvious that, container walls have smaller effect on the particle movement in shear thinning fluids than in Newtonian fluid. However, Eq. (12), based on the power law model, predicts for liquids characterized by flow index n < 0.8 higher values of FW compared to the experimental results.

**4. Discussions**

The results of our numerical simulation of the wall effects on the terminal falling velocity of spherical particles moving slowly (creeping flow system) in a cylindrical vessel filled with Carreau model fluid are presented. Via optimizing the obtained numerical data, the relationships (Eq. (9) and Eq. (10)) approximating the results of numerical solution of the drag coefficient corrective factor X were obtained. Validity of Eq. (9) and Eq. (10) was evaluated by comparing the wall factor data predicted using these equations with the results of our falling sphere experiments. The experiments were performed in six types of cylindrical Perspex columns filled with aqueous solutions of polymers showing different degrees of shear thinning and elasticity. Seventeen types of spherical particles of glass, ceramics, steel, lead, and tungsten carbide were used for the drop tests. A good agreement between the calculated and experimentally obtained FW data was observed, which confirms the applicability of the proposed relationship for the wall effects calculation. The mean relative deviation between these data was only 3.9 %. It was also shown that, the container walls have smaller effect on the particle movement in shear thinning fluids than in Newtonian fluids. similarily, it was seen that, elasticity of the viscoelastic test liquids does not largely affect the wall effects.

**Symbols**

* a1–a4 parameters, Eqs. (12) and (13)
* CD sphere drag coefficient
* d sphere diameter (m)
* D test column diameter (m)
* FD drag force (N)
* FD*,∞* drag force in an unbounded fluid (N)
* FW wall correction factor
* FW*,*cal calculated experimental wall correction factor
* FW*,*exp experimental wall correction factor
* J creep compliancy (Pa*−*1)
* k1–k4 parameters, Eqs. (9) and (10)
* m dimensionless parameter of the Carreau model
* N1 first normal stress difference (Pa)
* Re0 Reynolds number, Eq. (6)
* t time (s)
* U terminal falling velocity in a test column (m s*−*1)
* U*∞* terminal falling velocity in an unbounded fluid (m s*−*1)
* Uexp experimental terminal falling velocity in a test column (m s*−*1)
* U*∞,*exp experimental terminal falling velocity in an unbounded fluid (m s*−*1)
* X drag coefficient corrective factor, Eqs. (5), (7), and (8)
* X*∞* drag coefficient corrective factor for the fall in an unbounded fluid, Eq. (8)
* factor X for *Λ* = 0

* factor X for *Λ→ ∞*

* *β* sphere to tube diameter ratio (= d/D)
* *γ*˙ shear rate (s*−*1)
* *η* shear viscosity (Pa s)
* *η*0 Carreau model parameter (zero-shear rate viscosity) Pa s
* *η*r dimensionless relative viscosity (= 1 – *η∞*/*η*0)
* *η∞* Carreau model parameter (infinite shear rate viscosity) (Pa s)
* *Λ* dimensionless time parameter (= 2*λ*U*∞*/d)
* *λ* Carreau model time parameter s
* *ρ* liquid density kg m*−*3
* *ρ*s sphere density kg m*−*3
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