

*A study of b-vex function with convex optimization*Sachin Kumar Agrawal¹, Navneet Rohela², Mayank Pawar³^{1,2}Moradabad Institute of Technology, Moradabad³Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad

Abstract: In this paper we deal with different generalizations of b-vex functions. Some known properties of convex functions are studied for b-vex functions. Stress is given to establish certain interrelations among these functions. These results are also extended for vector valued functions on the lines of work done by [8].
 [Sachin Kumar Agrawal, Navneet Rohel, Mayank Pawar. *A study of b-vex function with convex optimization Researcher* 2013;5(2):36-38]. (ISSN: 1553-9865). <http://www.sciencepub.net/researcher>.7

Keywords: b-vex functions, convex optimization, Concave Optimization, non linear programming

Introduction

The class of convex functions has been extended to a class of b-vex functions by [1], which are quite similar to (α, λ) -concave functions introduced by [2]. We now define b-vex functions and study some of their properties.

Let X be a non-empty convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbb{R}_+ denote the set of non-negative real numbers. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, b : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. We now define b-vex functions on the lines of [1] by taking $b_1(x, u, \lambda) = \lambda b(x, u, \lambda)$ and $b_2(x, u, \lambda) = 1 - \lambda b(x, u, \lambda)$, where $b_1(x, u, \lambda) \geq 0$ and $b_2(x, u, \lambda) \geq 0$.

Definition 1 : The function f is said to be b-vex at each $u \in X$. If there exists a function $b(x, u, \lambda)$ such that, for $x \in X, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$f[\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u] \leq \lambda b(x, u, \lambda) f(x) + (1 - \lambda b(x, u, \lambda)) f(u)$$

where $\lambda b(x, u, \lambda) \leq 1$. f is said to be b-vex on X if it is v-vex at each $u \in X$. For the sake of brevity, the argument of b is omitted unless needed for specification.

Definition 2 : Given $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, S is said to be b-vex set if $(x, \alpha), (u, \beta) \in S$ imply that for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda b\alpha + (1 - \lambda b)\beta) \in S$$

A characterization of b-vex functions is now presented in terms of b-vexity of the epigraph $E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

Theorem 1 : A numerical function f defined on a convex subset X of \mathbb{R}^n is b-vex if and only if $E(f)$ is a b-vex set in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof : Suppose that f is b-vex on X . Let $(x, \alpha), (u, \beta) \in E(f)$. Then $f(x) \leq \alpha$ and $f(u) \leq \beta$. Since f is b-vex on X , for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) &\leq \lambda b f(x) + (1 - \lambda b) f(u) \\ &\leq \lambda b\alpha + (1 - \lambda b)\beta \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda b\alpha + (1 - \lambda b)\beta) \in E(f)$$

Hence, $E(f)$ is a b-vex set.

Conversely, assume that $E(f)$ is a b-vex set. Let $x, u \in X$. Then $(x, f(x)) \in E(f), (u, f(u)) \in E(f)$. Thus, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

$$(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda b f(x) + (1 - \lambda b) f(u)) \in E(f)$$

and it follows that, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) \leq \lambda b f(x) + (1 - \lambda b) f(u)$$

Hence, f is a b -vex function on X .

Theorem 2 : If $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of b -vex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, then their intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ is also a b -vex set.

Proof : Let $(x, \alpha), (u, \beta) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ and let $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then for each $i \in I, (x, \alpha), (u, \beta) \in S_i$. Since S_i is a b -vex set, for each $i \in I$, it follows that

$$(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda b \alpha + (1 - \lambda b) \beta) \in S_i$$

Thus, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda b \alpha + (1 - \lambda b) \beta) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$$

Hence, the result follows.

Theorem 3 : If $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of numerical functions which are b -vex and bounded from above on a convex set X in \mathbb{R}^n , then the numerical function

$$f(x) = \sup_{i \in I} f_i(x) \text{ is a } b\text{-vex function on } X.$$

Proof : Since each f_i is a b -vex function on X , its epigraph

$$E(f_i) = \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, f_i(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

is a b -vex set in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, their intersection

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i \in I} E(f_i) &= \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, f_i(x) \leq \alpha, i \in I\} \\ &= \{(x, \alpha) \mid x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) \leq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

is also a b -vex set in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, by Theorem 2. This intersection is the epigraph of f . Hence, by Theorem 1, f is a b -vex function on X .

A convex function defined on some open set is a continuous function [6]. But it can be seen from the following example that it is not necessarily true for a b -vex function.

Example 1 : Let $X =]0, 2[$. Define a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ x & \text{if } 1 \leq x < 2 \end{cases}$$

Let $b : X \times X [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by

$$b(x, u, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda(u - x)/u & \text{if } x \leq u \\ (u + \lambda(x - u))/\lambda x & \text{if } x > u, \lambda \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > u, \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

It can be seen that f is a b -vex function and epigraph $E(f)$ of f is a b -vex set in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, but f is not continuous at $x = 1$.

Conclusion

Every convex function is b -vex, where $b(x, u, \lambda) \equiv 1$. However, the converse is not necessarily true. The function f considered in Example 1 is b -vex but is not convex, because for $x = 1/2, u = 3/2, \lambda = 1/2$, $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)u) > \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(u)$.

References

- [1]. C. R. Bector and C. Singh, B-vex Functions, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 71(2), 237-253(1991).
- [2]. E. Castagnoli and P. Mazzoleni, About Derivatives of Some Generalized Concave Functions, *Continuous-Time Fractional and Multiobjective Programming*, edited by C. Singh and B. K. Dass, Analytic Publishing Company, New Delhi, 53-63(1989).
- [3]. Goran Lesaja And Verlynda N. Slaughter, Interior-Point Algorithms For A Class Of Convex Optimization Problems, *Yugoslav Journal Of Operations Research* Volume 19 Number 2, 239-248(2009).
- [4]. J. M. Borwein and A. S. Lewis. *Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization*. Springer, 2000.
- [5]. M.P. Bendsøe, A. Ben-Tal and J. Zowe, "Optimization methods for truss geometry and topology design," *Structural Optimization*, vol. 7, pp. 141–159, (1994).
- [6]. O. L. Mangasarian, *Non-linear Programming*, McGraw-Hill, New York(1969).
- [7]. Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe, "Convex Optimization", cambridge university press.
- [8]. V. Jeyakumar and B. Mond, On Generalized Convex Mathematical Programming, *Journal of Australian Mathematical Society*, Ser. (B), 34(1), 43-53 (1992).

1/8/2013