

Model for Predictive Analysis of Hardness of the Heat Affected Zone in Aluminum Weldment Cooled in Water Relative to HAZ Hardness of Mild Steel and Cast Iron Weldments Cooled in Same Media

Chukwuka I. Nwoye*¹, and Ihuoma E Mbuka²

¹Department of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, P.M.B. 5025, Awka, Nigeria.

²Department of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 1526, Owerri, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: chikeyn@yahoo.com Phone no.0806 800 6092

Abstract: Model for predictive analysis of hardness of the heat affected zone in aluminum weldment cooled in water has been derived. The general model;

$$= 0.6090 ()$$

is dependent on the hardness of the heat affected zone (HAZ) in mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in same media. Furthermore, re-arrangement of these models could be done to evaluate the HAZ hardness of mild steel or cast iron respectively as in the case of aluminum. The respective deviations of the model-predicted HAZ hardness values , and from the corresponding experimental values was less 0.02% indicating the reliability and validity of the model. [Researcher 2010;2(4):44-48]. (ISSN: 1553-9873).

Keywords: Model, Hardness, Heat Affected Zone, Aluminum Weldments, Mild Steel, Cast Iron, Water.

1. Introduction

Davies (1993) and Higgins (1994) reported that several processes and methods of arc welding exist, for example carbon-arc welding, atomic hydrogen welding, shielded metal arc welding, plasma arc welding, electroslag welding, etc. Arc welding involves a process where by the heat generated by the electric arc is maintained in most cases between the electrodes and the work piece (Lancaster,1993). The arc supplies enough heat to melt the base metal in the vicinity of the arc and also the electrode. Callister (1996) reported that in arc welding, some of the processes utilize consumable electrodes which serve to strike an arc onto the work pieces, and then melt to provide the weld metal. In recent times, advancement has been made in such joining processes as mechanical fastening, brazing and soldering. However, welding remains the most important metal joining process.

The most widely used fusion welding process is arc welding. It produces smooth welding surfaces and utilizes both direct and alternating current. Oxidation is minimal as weld metal is completely shielded from the atmosphere. The process is excellent welding low carbon, medium carbon and alloy steels. The arc is quiet, discomfort from glare or fume is minimal, and is applicable in fabricating vessels, boilers and pipes, etc. Disadvantages of the process include need for very high current for welding operations and formation of a crater in the molten metal of the work piece arising from the pressure produced by the

stream of ions flowing from the cathode (Higgins,1994). Electrodes are the elements of an arc lamp or furnace between which an arc is struck. They are filler materials which a joining engineer should be able to match with the parent material to avoid failure (Davies,1993). Uncoated electrodes produce an atmosphere of oxygen and nitrogen, so that the oxides and nitrides formed may be in the weld metal, thus impairing ductility and impact toughness in the weld. The situation is avoided by use of coated electrodes, which contains slag and so form a fluid covering over the weld (Higgins,1994). In this case, stabilization of the arc is achieved by including materials which would produce ionization and consequently may be welded by the metallic arc process. Higgins (1977) reported that coated electrodes are used especially for low carbon steels but for alloy steels in which martensite occurrence is likely on cooling and formation of hydrogen embrittlement expected, the electrode coating must be free from hydrogen forming cellulose.

One of the causes of low mechanical properties such as hardness and impact strength in welded parts is weldment cracking (Nnuka et al., 2008). Adjacent to the immediate welded area or fusion zone is the heat affected zone. The mechanical property of main importance in HAZ is the hardness since it gives an indication of the degree of embrittlement there. Lancaster (1987) reported that the heat affected zone hardness produced by any given welding operation

depends on the cooling rate experienced by the HAZ. Too rapid rate of cooling favours the formation of hard and brittle martensite in all the sub zones of the HAZ or increases the martensite region in size relative to the other regions. The presence of martensite in the HAZ results in a very high hardness value for the heat affected zone. Slow cooling favours a better microstructure needed for engineering applications. Also, the more rapid the quenching rate, the greater the HAZ hardness.

Although much has been done on different joining processes and methods, but no emphasis has been placed on evaluation of the hardness of HAZ cooled in a particular medium as a function of the hardness of HAZ from the same material but cooled in different media. Researches carried out on HAZ; its cooling and mechanical properties have not addressed the issue of predicting or evaluating the hardness of the HAZ of a material cooled in a particular medium by simple substitution of the value of the hardness of HAZ from the same material, but cooled in different media. Nwoye (2008) found that the hardness of HAZ in aluminum, cast iron and mild steel cooled in kerosine is exactly the same as the hardness value of the same materials cooled in groundnut oil. This implies that

$$H_G = H_K \tag{1}$$

Where

H_G = Hardness of HAZ cooled in groundnut oil

H_K = Hardness of HAZ cooled kerosine

Nwoye (2008) reported that 8-10% less hardness than that from water occurs when kerosine or groundnut oil is used as quenchant for HAZ. He discovered that quenching the HAZ with kerosine or groundnut oil gives approximately 8-10.7% more hardness than that from quenching with air. He found that palm oil gave the lowest hardness and cooling rate on the HAZ.

Nwoye (2009) derived quadratic and linear models for predicting the HAZ hardness of air cooled cast iron weldment in relation to the combined and respective values of HAZ hardness of aluminum and mild steel welded and cooled under the same conditions. It was discovered that the general model;

$$= \left(\frac{2.9774 -}{2} \right) + \left[\left(\frac{-2.9774}{2} \right)^2 - \right] \tag{2}$$

predicts the HAZ hardness of cast iron weldment cooled in air as a function of the HAZ hardness of both aluminum and mild steel welded and cooled under the same conditions. The linear models; $= 2.2391$ and $= 1.7495$ on the other hand predict the HAZ hardness of cast iron weldment cooled in air as a function of the HAZ hardness of aluminum or mild steel welded and cooled under the same conditions. Re-arrangement of the general model also resulted to the evaluation of the corresponding HAZ hardness in aluminum and mild steel weldments

$$= \left(\frac{2.9774 -}{+} \right)^2 \tag{3}$$

$$= \left(\frac{+^2}{2.9774 -} \right) \tag{4}$$

It was found that the validity of the model is rooted on the fractional expression; $\sqrt{2.9774} + \sqrt{2.9774} + \sqrt{2.9774} = 1$ since the actual computational analysis of the expression was also equal to 1, apart from the fact that the expression comprised the three metallic materials. The respective deviations of the model-predicted HAZ hardness values $, ,$ and $$ from the corresponding experimental values $_{exp}, _{exp},$ and $_{exp}$ was less than 0.003% indicating the validity and reliability of the model.

The present work is to derive a model for predictive analysis of hardness of the heat affected zone (HAZ) in aluminum weldment cooled in water, relative to HAZ hardness of mild steel and cast iron welded and cooled under the same conditions.

2. Materials and methods

Aluminum, mild steel and cast iron were cut and welded using the shielded metal arc welding technique and the hardness of the HAZ (cooled in water maintained at room temperature) tested. The hardness of the HAZ is as presented in Table 2. The full details of the experimental procedures and equipment used are presented in the previous report (Nwoye,2008). Table 1 shows the welding current and voltage used.

3. Model formulation

Experimental data obtained from research work (Nwoye,2008) carried out at Metallurgical and Materials Engineering Department of Federal University of Technology, Owerri were used for this work. Results of the experiment as presented in the report (Nwoye,2008) and used for the model formulation are as shown in Table 2. Computational analysis of the experimental data (Nwoye,2008) shown in Table 2 resulted in Table 2.

Table 3 shows that the hardness of HAZ in aluminum weldment cooled in water is a function of the hardness of HAZ in cast iron and mild steel weldment also cooled in water. Therefore,

$$= 0.4535 \tag{5}$$

$$= 0.8179 \tag{6}$$

$$= 1.8036 \tag{7}$$

Multiplying equations (5) and (6) as arranged in Table 2;

$$\underline{\quad} \times \underline{\quad} = 0.4535 \times 0.8179 \tag{8}$$

$$\underline{\quad}^2 = 0.3709 \tag{9}$$

$$\underline{\quad}^2 = 0.3709 \tag{10}$$

$$= (0.3709) \quad (11)$$

$$= 0.6090 \quad (12)$$

The derived model is equation (12)

Where

- = Model-predicted hardness of HAZ in aluminum weldment cooled in water (VPN)
- = Model-predicted hardness of HAZ in mild steel weldment cooled in water (VPN)
- = Model-predicted hardness of HAZ in cast iron weldment cooled in water (VPN)

4. Boundary and initial conditions

The welding was carried out under atmospheric condition. After welding, weldments were also maintained under atmospheric condition. Welding current and voltage used are 180A and 220V respectively. SiO₂-coated electrodes were used to avoid oxidation of weld spots. The coolants used were maintained at 25⁰C (room temperature). Volume of coolants used; 1000cm³. No pressure was applied to the HAZ during or after the welding process. No force due to compression or tension was applied in any way to the HAZ during or after the welding process. The sides and shapes of the samples are symmetries.

5. Model validation

The derived model was validated by evaluating the model-predicted values of HAZ hardness in aluminum weldment cooled in water and comparing them with the corresponding values obtained from the experiment _{exp} (Nwoye,2008). Following re-arrangement of the model equation; (12), the values of and were also evaluated as;

$$= \left(\frac{2}{0.3709} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{0.3709} \right) \quad (14)$$

and compared with their respective corresponding experimental values _{exp} and _{exp} to further establish the validity of the model. The model-predicted values of , and are shown in Table 3.

Analysis and comparison between the model-predicted values , , and the respective corresponding experimental values _{exp}, _{exp} and _{exp} reveal deviations of model data from the experimental data. This is attributed to the non-consideration of the chemical properties of the coolant and the physiochemical interactions between the materials (aluminum, mild steel and cast iron) and the coolant which is believed to have played vital roles in modifying the microstructure of the HAZ during the coolant process. These deviations necessitated the introduction of correction factor to bring the model-predicted values to exactly that of the corresponding experimental values.

Deviation (Dv) of the model-predicted HAZ hardness values (, and) from the corresponding experimental values _{exp}, _{exp} and _{exp} is given by

$$Dv = \left(\frac{P_H - E_H}{E_H} \right) \times 100 \quad (15)$$

Where

- P_H = Model-predicted HAZ hardness values
- E_H = HAZ hardness values from the experiment (Nwoye,2008)

Correction factor (Cf) is the negative of the deviation i.e.

$$Cf = -Dv \quad (16)$$

Therefore

$$Cf = -100 \left(\frac{P_H - E_H}{E_H} \right) \quad (17)$$

Introduction of the value of Cf from equation (17) into the models give exactly the corresponding experimental values _{exp}, _{exp} and _{exp} (Nwoye,2008).

6. Results and discussion

Tables 4 and 5 show that on comparing the HAZ hardness values from experiment and those of the model, model values were found to be very much within the range of the experimental values. Model values of evaluated from equations (5) and (6) and tabulated in Table 4 show that all the equations are valid since all of them gave almost the same corresponding experimental values. The value of in equation (7) was evaluated to establish the validity of the model. It was found that the model-predicted value was also almost the same as the corresponding experimental value. This is a clear indication that the HAZ hardness of any of aluminum, mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in water can be predicted as a function of the HAZ hardness of any of the other two materials, providing each pair was cooled in water. Table 5 also indicates that the model-predicted value of is approximately the same as the corresponding experimental value.

It can also be seen from Table 5 that the model-predicted values of and are also almost the same as the corresponding experimental values of and respectively. Tables 4 and 5 indicate that the respective deviations of the model-predicted HAZ hardness values , and from those of the corresponding experimental values are all less than 0.02% which is quite negligible and within the acceptable model deviation range from experimental results. Furthermore, the values of and (from equations (13) and (14) respectively) evaluated to be approximately equal to the respective corresponding experimental values confirm the validity of the model. This also implies that the general model; equation (12) can predict the HAZ hardness of any of aluminum, mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in water as a function of the HAZ hardness of the other two materials, providing the three materials constituting the model (aluminum, mild steel and cast

iron) were cooled in water. Equation (12) is regarded as the general model equation because it comprises of the HAZ hardness of all the materials considered for the model formulation. Based on the foregoing, the models in equations (5), (6) and (12) are valid

and very useful for predicting HAZ hardness of aluminum, mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in water depending on the material of interest and the given HAZ hardness value for the other materials.

Table1: Variation of materials with welding current and voltage (Nwoye,2008).

Materials	Current Type	Welding current (A)	Welding Voltage (V)
Aluminum	Direct (d.c)	120	280
Cast Iron	Alternating (a.c)	180	220
Mild Steel	Alternating (a.c)	180	220

Table 2: Hardness of HAZ in weldments (Nwoye,2008).

Materials	HAZ Hardness (VHN)
Aluminum	458
Cast Iron	1010
Mild Steel	560

Table 3: HAZ Hardness ratio between aluminum, mild steel, and cast iron weldments cooled in water.

/	458/1010	0.4535
/	458/560	0.8179
/	1010/560	1.8036

Table 4: Comparison of the hardness of HAZ in aluminum, mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in water as obtained from experiment (Nwoye,2008) and as predicted by derived model (each material as a function of 1- material).

N	Models derived	P_H	E_H	Dv (%)	Cf (%)
1	= 0.4535	458.0350	458.00	+0.0076	-0.0076
1	= 0.8179	458.0240	458.00	+0.0052	-0.0052
1	= 1.8036	1010.0160	1010.00	+0.0016	-0.0016

Table 5: Comparison of the hardness of HAZ in aluminum, mild steel and cast iron weldments cooled in water as obtained from experiment (Nwoye,2008) and as predicted by derived model (each material as a function of 2- materials).

N	Models derived	P_H	E_H	Dv (%)	Cf (%)
2	= 0.6090 ()	458.0069	458.00	0.0015	-0.0015
2	= $^2(0.3709)^{-1}$	559.9545	560.00	-0.0081	+0.0081
2	= $^2(0.3709)^{-1}$	1009.9180	1010.00	-0.0081	+0.0081

Where

N = No. of materials constituting the corresponding model as independent variable.

Conclusion

The derived model can predicts the HAZ hardness of aluminum weldment cooled in water relative to the HAZ hardness of mild steel and cast iron welded and cooled under the same conditions. Furthermore, re-arrangement of these models could be done to evaluate the HAZ hardness of mild steel or cast iron respectively as in the case of aluminum. The respective deviations of the model-predicted HAZ hardness values , and from the corresponding experimental values was less 0.02% indicating the reliability and validity of the model.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the management of Federal University of Technology, Owerri for providing the equipment used for this work.

Correspondence to:

Dr. Chukwuka Ikechukwu Nwoye
Department of Materials and Metallurgical
Engineering, Federal University of Technology,
P.M.B 1526 Owerri. Imo State, Nigeria.
Cellular phone: 0803 800 6092
Email: chikeyn@yahoo.com

References

- [1] Davies AC. The Science and Practice of Welding, 10th ed. Cambridge University, Press, 1993:521.
- [2] Higgins RA. Engineering Metallurgy, Arc Welding Processes, 2nd ed. Edward Arnold Publishers, London, 1994:57.
- [3] Lancaster JF Metallurgy of Welding 5th ed. London, Chapman and Hall, 1993:389.
- [4] Callister WD. (Jnr.). Material Science and Engineering. An introduction, 1st ed. Sydney John Wiley & Sons inc. 1996:852.
- [5] Higgins RA. Engineering Metallurgy Part II, 2nd ed. London, ELBS, 1977:466.
- [6] Nnuka EE, Ovat FA. and Oseni MI. Effect of Electrode Types on the Mechanical Properties and Structure of Welded Steel Joints. JMME, 2008:3(1): 7-13.
- [7] Lancaster JF. (1987). Metallurgy of Welding: The Mechanical Properties of the Heat Affected Zone, 4th ed. Allen and University, London, 1987:45.
- [8] Nwoye CI. Comparative Studies of the Cooling Ability of Hydrocarbon Based Media and their Effects on the Hardness of the Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) in Weldments. JMME, 2008:3(1):35-38.
- [9] Nwoye CI. Quadratic and Linear Models for Predicting the Hardness of Heat Affected Zone in Air Cooled Cast Iron Weldment in Relation to the HAZ Hardness of Aluminum and Mild Steel Weldments Cooled in Same Media. Res. J., 2009:1(4):1-6.

3/1/2010