Occurrence and Implications of resistance to antibiotics and organic acids in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from fruit juices marketed in Ado-Ekiti

*David, O. M., Fagbohun, E. D. and Falegan, C. R.

Department of Microbiology, University of Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria
*davidoluwole5@gmail.com

Abstract: The study investigated the growth of *Enterococcus faecalis* in various fruit juice products, their resistance to antibiotics and their ability to multiply in the presence of most organic acids commonly employed as preservatives. *Enterococcus faecalis* strains were isolated from seven out of nine fruit juices using serial dilution and pour plate methods. The resistance of the isolates to some antibiotics and increasing amounts of organic acids was determined using disc diffusion and agar dilution methods respectively. The total bacterial counts observed in the juice samples ranged between 20 CFU/ml in brand EXT and 460 CFU/100mL in brand MNN. The total coliform count in Brand EXT exceeded all other samples (275 CFU/100mL) but enterococcal load in the fruit juices ranged between 10 CFU/100mL to CFU/100mL in brand MNN and brand LCS respectively. The isolates were all susceptible to vancomycin while resistance was observed to other antibiotics evaluated. Resistance of the isolates was 93% and 90% to erythromycin and penicillin respectively. *Enterococcus faecalis* strains EFTT, EFFA and EFBT were all inhibited at higher concentrations of acetic acid (1.25 and 5.0%w/v) while lower concentrations (0.31% and below) could not effectively inhibit the growth of the isolates. The inhibitory effect of citric acid was pronounced in EFFA. At 0.31% w/v all the isolates were inhibited except EFFA. Benzoic acid had inhibitory effect on just two of the five strains while formic acid demonstrated no inhibition to all the isolates. EFFA and EFXT were also resistant to ethanoic acid at the tested concentrations. Since most organic acids used for the preservation of most fruit juice allowed the survival of *Enterococcus faecalis*, there is a need to provide rational basis for designing interventions that are needed to assure the microbiological safety of final products.
[David, O. M., Fagbohun, E. D. and Falegan, C. R.. **Occurrence and Implications of resistance to antibiotics and organic acids in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from fruit juices marketed in Ado-Ekiti.** *Biomedicine and Nursing* 2018;4(2): 45-50]. ISSN 2379-8211 (print); ISSN 2379-8203 (online). http://www.nbmedicine.org. 9. doi:10.7537/marsbnj040218.09.

Keywords: Antimicrobial Resistance, *Enterococcus faecalis,* Fruit Juice, Bacterial Count, organic acids.

1. Introduction

Juices are much appreciated for their nutritive values. With the help of modern technologies juices are now more similar to the raw fruits and vegetables from which they are produced (Calloway and Carpenter, 1981; Conway, 2006). Juices in general, are a good source of sugars, vitamins, and minerals; being all valuable components to human health (Pao and Davis, 1999; Arthey and Ashurst, 1996; Conway, 2006). The current trend towards healthier diets makes juice consumption an important natural food alternative (Parish, 1997), and this improves the availability of its nutritive compounds (Kumpulainen *et al.,* 1999).

Contamination of juice could arise from the field through faecal contact, but other causes like the use of dropped, unwashed fruits have been implicated as the source of pathogens in some disease outbreaks associated with fruit juice (Besser *et al.*, 1993; CDC, 1996). However, vectors such as birds could potentially deposit pathogens on tree-bound fruit (Wallace *et al.*, 1997). In an outbreak of salmonellosis in 1995 from unpasterurized orange juice, *Salmonella* spp. was isolated from amphibians around the processing facility (Parish, 1997).

Some of the contaminated pathogens have been demonstrated to survive for periods of 18 days or more in fruit juice (Linton, 1999). Prior exposure of the pathogens to sub-lethal pH incurs adaptive mechanisms, which greatly enhances their ability to withstand acidic conditions (Ryu *et al.*, 1999; Brudzinski and Harrison, 1998). Such adaptation could potentially be induced while inhabiting the digestive system of some mammals, thereby increasing resistance to juice acidity, as well as stomach acidity upon ingestion (Lin *et al.*, 1996). Most of the time, organic acids are used to prevent fruit spoilage and elongate the shelf life. They have been reported to have growth inhibitory effect on enteric pathogens (Ryu *et al.*, 1999).

Enterococci are widely distributed, found mostly in water, sewage, soil, and vegetation but their primary source is the intestine of humans and warm-blooded animals. Enterococci survive environmental conditions that destroy most microorganisms of sanitary significance (Ksoll *et al.*, 2007). Due to their resistance to freezing, low pH, and moderate heat

treatment, enterococci have been suggested as indicators of faecal contamination in food products (Hartz *et al.*, 2008).

Organic acids occur throughout nature and are used in food industry. Apart from being used as antimicrobial, they serve as anti-foaming agents and emulsifiers, aid in setting of pectin gels, and have a strong effect on the taste of a food (Fennema, 1996). With a characteristically sour taste, organic acids have an important role in the flavor of fruits and their juices by balancing the sugar/acid ratio (Arthey and Ashurst, 1996).

The objective of this study is to isolation strains of *Enterococcus faecalis* from commercial juice samples and determines their resistance to commonly used antibiotics and organic acids and evaluates the implications of such resistance.

2. Materials and methods

Source of Sample

Different processed (commercial) fruit juice drinks were purchased from different super markets in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. The juices were examined for any sign of spoilage; expiry date and National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC) approval numbers. The method of Fawole and Oso (2001) was used to determine the microbial load of the samples. The characterization of enterococcal isolates was carried out on overnight culture using standard methods of Olutiola *et al.* (2000) and, Fawole and Oso (2001) by the observation of cultural, morphological and biochemical properties. The isolates were then identified based on Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (Holt *et al.,* 1994).

Antibiotic sensitivity test

The isolates were grown at 37°C in Mueller-Hilton broth (Oxoid) for 16-18h and diluted to an optical density of 0.1 (0.5 McFarland Standard) at a wavelength of 625 nm and stored at 4°C according to the method of Bauer *et al.* (1998). The disc diffusion method was used for susceptibility testing as described by Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (2008). The isolates were tested against 15 different commercial antibiotic disks (Abtek Biologicals and Oxoid Limited) with their concentrations (in µg): amoxicillin (25), gentamicin (10), cotrimoxazole (25), augmentin (30) and tetracycline (30), erythromycin (5), chloramphenicol (30), cloxacillin (5), and vancomycin (30).

Determination of susceptibility of *E. faecalis* strains to organic acids

Five strains of *E. faecalis* were selected, based on their antibiotic resistant pattern, for the organic acids susceptibility. The organic acids: acetic, citric, benzoic, formic and ethanoic acids (analytical grades, BDH) were serially diluted to obtain concentrations ranging from 5.0 to 0.08 %w/v. Agar diffusion method was used to assess the antimicrobial effect of the organic acids using Mueller-Hilton agar (Oxoid). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h and the zones of inhibitions were measured to the nearest mm with a graduated ruler and interpreted according to CLSI (2005).

3. Results and Discussion

The total bacterial count observed in the juice samples ranged between 20 and 460 CFU/100mL. The highest and the least values were detected in EXT and MNN respectively as shown in Table 1. The prevalence of the bacteria in the fruit juice could be as a result of the suitable environmental conditions provided them by the fruit juice (Parish, 1997).

Table 1: Total bacterial load, coliform and enterococcal load of fruit juice samples

Sample	Load (CFU/100mL)		
	Total bacteria	Coliform	Enterococci
CVT	110	26	4
FAL	225	50	19
BST	110	45	15
MNN	20	10	0
BMM	150	115	29
LCS	65	17	0
TTT	130	20	12
GLT	200	115	19
EXT	460	275	65

Data is the modal value of three determinations

The total coliform count in EXT exceeded those for all the other samples (275 CFU/100mL). MNN and TTT had the same number of coliform (10 CFU/100mL). Enterococcal load in the fruit juices ranged from 10 CFU/100mL to 65 CFU/100mL in MNN and LCS respectively. *Enterococcus faecalis* like *Salmonella* can be more readily isolated from decaying fruits and vegetables as reported by Wells

and Butterfield (1997). This study shows that *Enterococcus faecalis* was present in most of the juice products examined except in MNN and LCS.

The pattern of resistance for the enterococci isolated from the fruit juices is as shown in Table 2. The isolates were all susceptible to Vancomycin. The highest resistance was observed for erythromycin 93%, followed by Penicillin and tetracycline that exhibited 90% resistance respectively. Gentamicin was very effective against the isolates with 23%. All the isolates were sensitive to Vancomycin (30µg). They are all resistant to tetracycline (30µg) and erythromycin (15µg) as shown in Table 3. EFTT was resistant to all the

antibiotics except vancomycin. Isolates EFFA showed intermediate resistance to gentamicin, and streptomycin. Comparatively EFBT has the least resistance. It was susceptible to four out of the nine antibiotics.

Table 2: Antibiotic resistant pattern of *E. faecalis* isolated from fruit juice

Antibiotics	**Resistant pattern of strains of *Enterococcus faecalis***		
	Resistance n (%)	**Intermediate n (%)**	**Susceptible n (%)**
Gentamicin (10) (µg)	7 (23)	4 (13)	21 (70)
Ampicillin (10µg)	22 (73)	3 (10)	6 (20)
Chloramphenicol (30µg)	23 (77)	2 (7)	5 (17)
Cloxacillin (1µg)	19 (63)	3 (10)	8 (26)
Erythromycin (15µg)	28 (93)	2 (7)	0 (0)
Penicillin (10µg)	27 (90)	0 (0)	3 (10)
Streptomycin (10µg)	23 (76)	1 (3)	6 (10)
Tetracycline (30µg)	27 (90)	2 (7)	1 (3)
Vancomycin (30µg)	0 (0)	0 (0)	30 (100)

Table 3: The zone of inhibition (mm) of selected *E. faecalis* strains used for organic acid susceptibility test

Antibiotics	**Strains of *Enterococcus faecalis***				
	EFTT	**EFFA**	**EFBM**	**EFBT**	**EFXT**
Gentamicin (10) (µg)	8.0 (R)	13.0 (I)	17.0 (S)	6.0 (R)	15.0 (I)
Ampicillin (10µg)	12.0 (R)	9.0 (R)	9.0 (R)	20.0 (S)	18.0 (S)
Chloramphenicol (30µg)	10.0 (R)	8.0 (R)	7.0 (R)	4.0 (R)	19.0 (S)
Cloxacillin (1µg)	10.0 (R)	7.0 (R)	12.0 (I)	13.0 (S)	6.0 (R)
Erythromycin (15µg)	10.0 (R)	3.0 (R)	8.0 (R)	9.0 (R)	8.0 (R)
Penicillin (10µg)	12.0 (R)	10.0 (R)	14.0 (R)	12.0 (R)	8.0 (R)
Streptomycin (10µg)	10.0 (R)	14.0 (I)	5.0 (R)	15.0 (S)	10.0 (R)
Tetracycline (30µg)	11.0 (R)	8.0 (R)	13.0 (R)	6.0 (R)	10.0 (R)
Vancomycin (30µg)	18.0 (S)	20.0 (S)	24.0 (S)	21.0 (S)	22.0 (S)

R= resistance, I= intermediate and S= susceptible

This study suggests that *E. faecalis* isolated from fruit juices was resistant to most antibiotics used in clinical practice. This is similar to the report of Ruiz-Garbajosa *et al.* (2009) and Calva *et al.* (1996) that reported the resistance of enterococci to tetracyclines and erythromycin. It follows therefore that the isolates recovered from the juice samples were resistant to the common (first hand) antibiotics. This is not surprising since they are often used as handy antimicrobials in disease prevention, and their widespread use may have contributed to their high rates of resistance. For instance the frequency of tetracycline resistance in the *E. faecalis* earlier investigated was 63% (Calva *et al.*, 1996). The fact that most resistance genes of large number of handy antimicrobials are located on mobile genetic elements makes them easily transmissible between bacteria (Marcinek *et al.,* 1998; Philippon *et al.*, 2002).

Five representative isolates with different resistant patterns were selected for acid tolerance.

Tables 4 to 8 show the resistant pattern of different enterococcal isolates to different organic acids commonly employ in the food industries for preservatives. Acetic acid, the oldest organic acids has been employed as food antimicrobial (Eklund, 1989). EFTT, EFFA and EFBT were all inhibited at higher concentrations (1.25 and 5.0%w/v) of acetic acid. Lower concentrations (0.31% and below) were not effective in controlling the growth of the isolates. Eklund (1989) reported similar that 0.5 % acetic acid is not effective in controlling of *Enterobactericeae.* The inhibitory effect of acetic acid depends on the rate

of dissociation as well as its ability to donate hydrogen ions in an aqueous system (Uljas and Ingham, 1998). This report supports the findings of the Lillard *et al.* (1987) which stated that acetic acid inhibits the growth of *Bacillus* spp., *Clostridium* spp., *Listeria* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli*.

Table 4: susceptibility pattern of selected *E. faecalis* to acetic acid (zone of inhibition in mm)

Strains of	**Concentration (%w/v)**							
E. faecalis	**5.00**	**2.50**	**1.25**	**0.63**	**0.31**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**Control**
EFTT	21.0	16.0	16.0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFA	33.0	28.0	17.0	9.0	0	0	0	0
EFBM	40.0	38.0	38.0	20.0	0	0	0	0
EFBT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFXT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Values are mean of three determinations

Table 5: Susceptibility pattern of selected *E. faecalis* to citric acid (zone of inhibition in mm)

Strains of	**Concentration (%w/v)**							
E. faecalis	**5.00**	**2.50**	**1.25**	**0.63**	**0.31**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**Control**
EFTT	38.0	28.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFA	40.0	40.0	38.0	20.0	10.0	0	0	0
EFBM	45.0	38.0	19.0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBT	18.0	16.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFXT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Values are mean of three determinations

Table 6: Susceptibility pattern of selected *E. faecalis* to benzoic acid (zone of inhibition in mm)

Strains of	**Concentration (%w/v)**							
E. faecalis	**5.00**	**2.50**	**1.25**	**0.63**	**0.31**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**Control**
EFTT	40.0	38.0	25.0	19.0	0	0	0	0
EFFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBT	10.0	10.0	7.0	4.0	0	0	0	0
EFXT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Values are mean of three determinations

Table 7: susceptibility pattern of selected *E. faecalis* to formic acid (zone of inhibition in mm)

Strains of	**Concentration (%w/v)**							
E. faecalis	**5.00**	**2.50**	**1.25**	**0.63**	**0.31**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**Control**
EFTT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFXT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Values are mean of three determinations

Table 8: Susceptibility pattern of selected *E. faecalis* to ethanoic acid (zone of inhibition in mm)

Strains of	**Concentration (%w/v)**							
E. faecalis	**5.00**	**2.50**	**1.25**	**0.63**	**0.31**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**Control**
EFTT	17.0	13.2	8.0	7.0	0	0	0	0
EFFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBM	28	14.0	10.0	0	0	0	0	0
EFBT	40	38	30.0	30.0	19.0	0	0	0
EFXT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Values are mean of three determinations

The inhibitory effect of citric acid was pronounced in EFFA. At 0.31%w/v all the isolates were inhibited except EFFA. Isolate EFXT was not inhibited by the acid even at 5.0%w/v. Citric acid prevents toxin production by most bacterial pathogens, inhibits the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* and own its inhibitory action is due to chelation by the anion (Doores, 1993). At lower pHs, in this form, the cell membrane is more permeable to the acid, allowing it to enter the cell (Bruice, 1995). The type of microorganism, inoculum load, and environmental conditions influences conditions for growth of pathogens in juice. Other factors are pH of the juice, temperature of storage and water activity (a_w).

Benzoic acid had inhibitory effect on just two out of the five strains. The inhibition of the susceptible isolates was 0.63%w/v. Formic acid was not inhibitory to the any of the isolates at the tested concentrations. In addition to affecting enzymes, excess protons in the cytoplasm upset the membrane potential necessary for energy production and transport across the cell membrane (White, 1999). Thus, organic acids can act on a cell by affecting both the external and internal pH.

Ethanoic acid was not effective in controlling isolates EFFA and EFXT; they were all resistant to the organic acid at the test concentrations. EFBT showed the highest susceptibility to the organic acid. When the acid dissociates, it thus lowered the internal pH of the cell and disrupting cellular functions (i.e. enzyme stability) (Lück and Jager, 1997). Most of the organic acids are not effective against bacterial pathogens. This confirmed the findings of Brudzinski and Harrison (1998) that reported that organic acids are better acidulants and flavouring rather than preservatives. Chung and Goepfert (1970) showed that various organic acids are bacteriostatic to some pathogens at different pH levels. Citric, malic, and tartaric acids were inactivated in acidic medium (Conner and Katrola, 1995; Ryu *et al.*, 1999; Tsai and Ingham, 1997).

In conclusion, since fruit juices are good media for most microorganisms, contamination can occur starting from the orchard to the packaging process. This mandates appropriate measures to be adopted to prevent contamination. Good manufacturing practices must be practiced in handling and processing. Since most organic acids used for the preservation of most juice are not effective in inhibiting the pathogen, there is a need to provide rational basis for designing intervention that are needed to assure the microbiological safety of juice. Therefore to achieve microbiological safety of juice, good manufacturing practices must be followed for raw material purchase, processing, product handling, storage and distribution.

Acknowledgements:

Authors are grateful to Mr. S. S. Ajayi for technical assistance.

Corresponding Author:
Dr. David, O. M.
Department of Microbiology, Ekiti State University, PMB 5363, Ado-Ekiti, 360101, Nigeria. E-mail: davidoluwole5@gmai.com

References

1. Arthey, D. and Ashurst PR (1996). *Fruit Processing*. Chapman and Hall, Great Britain.
2. Bauer, A. W., Kirby, W. M. M, Shows, J. C. and Truck, M. (1966): Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standardized single disc method. *Am J. Gun. Path*, *45*:493-496.
3. Besser, R. E., Lett, S. M., Weber, J. T., Doyle, M. P., Barrett, T. J., Wells, J. G. and Griffin, P. M. (1993). An outbreak of diarrhea and haemolytic ureamic syndrome from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in fresh-pressed apple cider. *JAMA*. 269(17): 2217-2220.
4. Brudzinski, L. and Harrison, M. A. (1998). Influence of incubation conditions on survival and acid tolerance response of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and non-O157:H7 isolates exposed to acetic acid. *J. Fd. Prot.* 61(5):542-546.
5. Bruice, P. Y. (1995). *Organic Chemistry*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
6. Calloway DH, Carpenter KO (1981) *Nutrition and Health,* Saunders College Publishing,U.S.A.pp520-521.
7. Calva, J. J., Sifuentes-Osornio, J. and Ceron, C. (1996). Antimicrobial resistance in fecal flora: longitudinal community-based surveillance of children from urban Mexico. *Antimicrob. Agents and Chemother.* 40, 1699–702.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2000). Disease Information: Typhoid Fever. http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/typhoidfeverg.htm.
9. Chung, K. C. and Goepfert, J. M. (1970). Growth of *Salmonella* at low pH. J. Food Sci. 35:326-328.
10. CLSI. (2005). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.15th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; M100-S15.
11. Conner DE, Kotrola, JS (1995). Growth and survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 under acidic conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*. 61(1):382-385.
12. Conway, S. (2006). *Shelf Life of Fruit Juices*. Nutrition and Food Science Department, University of Maryland. Aston P.A.

13. Doores, S. (1993). Organic acids. In Davidson, P.M. and Brainen, A. L. (ed.) *Antimicrobials in Foods*. 2nd Ed. Marcel Dekker. Inc. NY.pp 95-136.
14. Eklund, T. (1989). Organic acids and esters. In Gould, G. W. (ed) *Mechanism of action of food preservation procedures*. Elsevier Applied Science. London. 161-200
15. Fawole, M. O. and Oso, B. A. (2001). *Laboratory Manual of Microbiology*. Spectrum Books Limited, Ibadan, 127pp.
16. Fennema, O. R. (1996). *Food Chemistry*. 3rd ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
17. Hartz, A., Cuvelier, M., Nowosielski, K., Bonilla, T. D., Green, M., Esiobu, N., McCorquodale, D. S. and Rogerson, A. (2008). Survival Potential of *Escherichia coli* and enterococci in Subtropical Beach Sand: Implications for Water Quality Managers. *J. Environ. Qual.* 37: 898-905.
18. Holt, J. G., Krieg, N. R., Sneath, P. H. A., Staley, J. T. and Williams, S. T. (1994). *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* 9th Edn. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.
19. Ksoll, W. B., Ishii, S., Sadowsky, M. J. and Hicks, R. E. (2007). Presence and sources of fecal coliform bacteria in epilithic periphyton communities of Lake Superior. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*. 73: 3771-3778.
20. Kumpulainen, J. T., Lehtonen, M. and Mattila, P. T. (1999). *Equivalent antioxidant capacity of average flavonoid intake in Finland.* The Royal Society of Chemistry Cambridge U.K, pp 141-150.
21. Lillard, H. S., Blankenship, L. C., Dickens, J. A., Craven, S. E. and Shakelford, A. A. (1987). Effect of acetic acid on the microbiological quality of scalded pickled and unpickled broiler carcasses. *J. Food Prot*. 50:112-114.
22. Lin, J., Smith, M. P., Chapin, K. C., Baik, H. S., Bennett, G. N. and Foster, J. W. (1996). Mechanisms of acid resistance in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62(9): 3094 3100.
23. Linton, R. H. (1998). *E. coli* O157: H7 concerns and challenges for the next millennium food safety issues. Purdue University Ph. D Thesis.
24. Lück, E. and Jage, M. (1997). *Antimicrobial food additives: characteristics, uses, effects*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Germany.
25. Marcinek, H., Wirth, R., Muscholl-Silberhorn, A. and Gauer, M. (1998). *Enterococcus faecalis* gene transfer under natural conditions in municipal sewage water treatment plants. *Appl Environ Microbial* 64: 626-632.
26. Olutiola, P. O., Famurewa, O., Sonntag, H. G. (2000). *An Introduction to General Microbiology*. Hygiene-Institut Der Universitat Heidelberg Federal Republic of Germany 267pp.
27. Panish, M. E., Narciso, J. A. and Friedrich, L. M. (1997). Survival of salmonellae in orange juice. *Journal of Food Safety 17:* 273-281.
28. Pao, S. and Davis, C. L. (1999). Enhancing microbiological safety of fresh orange juice by firuit immersion in hot water and chemical sanitizers. *J. Food. Prot*. 62(7):756-760.
29. Parish, M. E. (1997). Public health and non-pasteurized fruit juices. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 23(2):109-119.
30. Philippon, A., Arlet, B. and Jacoby, G. A. (2002). plsamid-determined AmpC-type ß-lactamases *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 46: 1-11.
31. Ruiz-Garbajosa, P., delCampo, R., Coque, T. M., Asensio, A., Bonten, M., Willems, R., Baquero, F. and Canton, R. (2009). Longer Intestinal Persistence of *Enterococcus faecalis* Compared to *Enterococcus faecium* Clones in Intensive-Care-Unit Patients. *J. Clin. Microbiol*. 47(2): 345–351.
32. Ryu, J. H., Deng, Y. and Beuchat, L. R. (1999). Behavior of acid-adapted and unadapted *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 when exposed to reduced pH achieved with various organic acids. *J. Food Prot.* 62(5):451-455.
33. Tsai, Y. and Ingham, S. C. (1997). Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* spp. In acidic condiments. *J. Food Prot.* 60(7):751-755.
34. Uljas, H. E. and Ingham, S. C. (1998). Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in synthetic gastric fluid after cold and acid habituation in apple juice or trypticase soy broth acidified with hydrochloric acid or organic acids. *J. Food Prot.* 61(8):939-947.
35. Wallace, J. S., Cheasty, T. and Jones, K. (1997). Isolation of vero cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from wild birds. *J. Appl. Microbiol*. 82:399-404.
36. Wells, J. M. and Butterfield, J. E. (1997). Salmonella contamination associated with bacterial soft rot of fresh fruits and vegetables in the market place. *Plant Discov*. 81:867-872.
37. White, D. (2000). *The Physiology and Biochemistry of Prokaryotes*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, New York, New York.

6/25/2018