Websites: http://www.sciencepub.net/newyork http://www.sciencepub.net

Emails: newyorksci@gmail.com editor@sciencepub.net



The relationship between Current and Cash Items on Net Return on Assets in Tehran stock exchange

Ehsan ghadrdan1*, Mohammad Rashedi2, saeid mohammad nezami3

1.*Faculty Member of Accounting Department, payame Noor University, I.R. of IRAN(Corresponding Author)
 2.Master of Accounting, accounting Department, payame Noor University, I.R. of IRAN
 3.Master of Accounting, accounting Department, payame Noor University, I.R. of IRAN
 E-mail: e.gh.pnu@gmail.com

Abstract: The purpose of this research is to examine the impact of The relationship between Current and Cash Items on Net Return on Assets in Tehran stock exchange .Previous studies have provided evidences that, higher accrual profitability obligation in comparison with accrual profitability in each period is associated with lower profitability performance in future periods. These findings were interpreted as an increase in operating accruals adversely affect operating profit in future periods, thus decreasing the profitability of coming period. 143 companies listed in Tehran Stock Exchange in the period 1382-1388 is reviewed. In this study, we demonstrated that, accrual profitability has no adverse effect on the operating profitability of the next period and lower stability of current accrual profitability to cash profitability in relation with operating profit of the next period lies beneath the stronger correlation between current accrual profitability and cash profitability with net operating assets.

[Ehsan ghadrdan1, Mohammad Rashedi, saeid mohammad nezami. **The relationship between Current and Cash Items on Net Return on Assets in Tehran stock exchange**. *N Y Sci J* 2021;14(8):14-21] ISSN 1554-0200 (print); ISSN 2375-723X (online) <u>http://www.sciencepub.net/newyork.3.</u> <u>doi:10.7537/marsnys140821.03.</u>

Keywords: Net return on assets, accrual profitability, cash profitability, quality of profit, extracting discretionary accruals

1. Introduction

Operating profit is one of the financial statement items that are regarded by all decision makers. The ratio of operating profit to capital is one the tools to measure performance of the company and managers, based on the results of past researches, extract discretionary accruals improve this ratio. In previous researches (Sloan, 1996; Barth, 1999; Colin and Hribar, 2011, Xie, 2001; Chan, Jegadeesh and Lakonishok, 2005; and Soliman and Tuna, 2006), they have concluded that, since accrual profitability has lower stability with profitability performance than current cash profitability of the future period, thus current accrual profitability has an adverse effect on operating profit of the future period. The result was an incentive for managers to increase operating profit of the coming period and improve profitability performance via manipulating the current operating accruals. Based on the results of Fairfield et al. (2007) and Jennifer and Smith (2007), the results of previous researches were not confirmed and this was concluded that, accrual profitability has no adverse effect on operating profit of the future periods and large manipulation of current accruals and extraction of discretionary items not only will not increase the operating profit of the next period but also reduces the quality of profits. Manipulating accruals and degrading its quality disables profit from estimating actual performance of the company (Deco, 1994; Deco et al., 1998, Liu, Nisim and Thomas 2002) and misleads the users (Haley and Wallen, 1999).

Sloan first introduced profitability performance. In his 1994 paper, he defined profitability performance as the ratio of operating profit to operating profit to capital. Sloan was not included liquidity of balance sheet in calculation of capital or net assets. Sloan, after several researches have concluded that, in relation with profitability performance of the next year, the components of accrual profitability have lesser stability than cash components.

Companies in which, working capital is increasing, have increase in total assets and accruals unless they face offsetting investments in non-current assets that generally seems unlikely (whisenant and yohn, 2007).

Moreover, since accruals reflect the growth in the net current assets of current liabilities, the accruals, in general, will increase total assets. In contrast, that is cash items affect total assets lesser than possible limit is acceptable, because cash profitability is not re-invested in the company.

Instead of investing money in the company, they usually used to payoff debts and interest, therefore, cash flow does not necessarily reflect in the total assets of the year's end. Therefore, we accept that, accruals have more impact on the total assets than cash items but they have disproportion in total assets. Research hypotheses were formed based on the above. The main objective of this study is correcting the perspective of managers regarding the manipulation and extracting discretionary current operating accruals to increase operating profitability of the coming period. Ketary (2001) has stated that, to improve profitability performance of the future periods, operating accruals must be reduced.

However, in the following we will show that, the lower stability of accrual profitability with net return on assets of the future periods comes from the strong correlation of these items with net asset (denominator of the net return on assets) not from the adverse effect of current accrual profitability to the operating profitability of the next period.

Many recent studies have concluded that, higher levels of relative accruals relative to cash flow have an inverse process regarding the profitability of the next period, so it can be a guide for profitability management (Penman and Zhang 2001; Richard, 2001). In this study we have shown that, high levels of relative accruals to cash flow has no inverse relationship with operating profit of the next period, but it only reduces the profitability performance of the next period via increasing the denominator of these ratios.

Many recent studies have concluded that, higher levels of relative accruals relative to cash flow have an inverse process regarding the profitability of the next period, so it can be a guide for profitability management (Penman and Zhang 2001; Richard, 2001).

Fairfield and colleagues (2007) in their study found that, after controlling the net returns on the current assets, the growth in non-current net operating assets and operating accruals, in an equivalent manner, decline the net returns on assets. Based on their results, we are trying to find out that, discovering the lower stability of accruals to cash flow in relation with operating profitability of the next fiscal period must provide compelling evidence for profitability management. In other words, the relationship between accruals and employed capital (denominator of net returns on asset) must provide another argument for lesser stability of accruals for net returns on asset.

Based on the research of Fairfield and colleagues (2007), we will examine that, whether the differences in the stability of accruals and cash flow for the net return on assets of the coming financial period is resulted from different relationships with operating profit of the coming financial period, growth in net operating assets, or both of them. We are trying to reach the conclusion that, operating accruals have a stronger association with the growth in net operating assets than operating cash items. In contrast, we are going to show that, regarding the operating profit in the next fiscal period, operating accruals have not lower stability than operating cash items.

Researches have shown that, selecting a deflator has an important impact on experimental researches. Researchers often use the words profitability or profit to measure the net return on operating assets (Richardson et al, 2001; Penman and Zhang, 2001; Xie, 2001; and Colin and Hribar, 2011). Therefore, the net return on assets, accordingly, measures returns on employed capital to produce profit. Researchers should be cautious while interpreting the results of measuring the return on capital in relation with profitability.

Previous research had expressed market mispricing of accruals (Sloan, 1996; Xie, 2001 and Fairfield et al., 2007). The results of Trapli (2011) shows that, the market mispricing of accruals will not lead to inability of investors to correct management profitability but it leads to the inability of investors to understand the growth rates will or as Fairfield and colleagues (2007), Desayand colleagues (2002), and Lokonishok and colleagues (1994) expressed, causes of disability in including the effects of the secondary or marginal returns or leads to the old and conservative accounting towards new investments.

Sloan (1996) for the first time, divided profit into accrual and cash parts and conducted his research on the relative stability of cash and accrual components of current profits in order to predict future profits and have used an elementary (simple) prediction model based on fundamental accounting process to predict current profits, (for the first time, he did not used time-series) and concluded that, the cash part of current profit has more stability than the accrual part of current profits. Sloan (1996), through the relative rate of deviation from the mean has concluded that, deviation from the mean occurs faster in companies in which, have higher accruals than companies with higher cash flow.

Most of the previous researches (Sloan, 1996; Colin and Hribar, 2011; Xie, 2001; Sloan, 2002; Chan, Jegadeesh and Lakonishok, 2005; Richardson 2005; Soliman and Tuna 2006) using correlation coefficient concluded that, the correlation between accruals and profits of the next year is lower than the correlation between cash items and profits of the next year. However, in a 2007 study by Jennifer and smith, they conducted a comprehensive in this field and the weaknesses of past researches in this area were revealed. They showed that, for more than 85% of companies, there are no evidences indicating that, the correlation of accruals is lower than cash items.

Jennifer and Smith (2007) showed that, in previous researches that had shown that, accrual and cash items have stability differences with operating profit, non-commercial transactions of the period have caused a descending deviation in the stability of accruals and ascending deviation in the stability of cash items. In addition, they showed that, in the cases in which, non -commercial transactions of the period have been extracted in measuring these items; the stability difference in accruals and cash items was very low. One of their other reasons was that, a part of the difference in accruals and cash items was due to the abnormal accruals.

2. Material and Methods

The statistical population of this study to collect data and calculate variables is the companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange. In the present study, to determine the statistical sample, we did have not used a special relationship to estimate sample size and sampling, instead, we used exclusion method. In other words, those companies that meet the following criteria were selected as sample, and the rest was excluded.

Companies must have financial statements, including balance sheets, profit and loss, and cash flow accounts.

Companies must have cash flow accounts in a balance sheet or indirect form.

Companies that their main purposes are financial services were excluded, since they lack accruals.

Companies must be active throughout the fiscal year. The company's fiscal year end must be Esfand (March) 29.

Based on these restrictions, 143 companies were selected in the period of 1382(to 1388. At the

were selected in the period of 1382(to 1388. At the following we will define the dependent and independent variables as well as hypotheses. First hypothesis

The stability of accrual profitability with the returns on capital of next period is always lower than the stability of current cash profitability.

Second hypothesis

The correlation between accrual profitability and capital is always more than the stability of cash profitability.

Third hypothesis

Lower stability of accrual profitability with returns on capital of next period is due to the denominator of return on capital.

Accrual profitability is one of the key variables of the research. The model we benefitted to measure accruals is Sloan's model. Sloan(1996) defined and measured accrual as follows: Accruals = Δ CA - Δ CL - DEP Accruals = $(\Delta AR + \Delta INV + \Delta OCA) - (\Delta AP + \Delta OCL)$ - DEP

Where:

 $\Delta CA \text{ is change in non-cash current assets}$ $\Delta CL \text{ is change in current liabilities excluding short-term liabilities and payable taxes}$ DEP is depreciation of tangible and intangible assets $\Delta AR \text{ is change in accounts receivable}$ $\Delta INV \text{ is change in inventories of goods}$ $\Delta OCA \text{ is change in other current assets}$ $\Delta AP \text{ is change in accounts payable}$ $\Delta OCL \text{ is change in other current liabilities}$ Another independent variable is the cash

profitability that is calculated based on the Sloan's model by following equation:

CFOt = Operating Incomet - ACCt

The dependent variable is the return on employed capital after one year RNOA t+1 that is the ratio of operating profit of next year Operating Income t+1 to current employed capital NOA t+1 :

RNOA t+1 = $\frac{Operating Income_{t+1}}{NOA_t}$

Regarding Regarding this relation, we will investigate the stability difference between accruals and cash items with its numerator in order to show that, the stability difference between independent variables comes from return on assets to denominator, i.e. net assets excluding cash assets that Sloan (1996) called it employed capital and as a result, resolve the flaws of previous researches (Barth, 1999; Colin and Hribar, 2011; and Xie, 2001) claiming that, lower stability of accruals toward cash items is due to the numerator and subsequently, the increase in accruals adversely affect operating profit of the next year. Moreover, the control variable to prove the hypotheses associated with return on capital is OPINC t+l which is defined as follows:

OPINe t+1 =
$$\frac{Operating Income_{t+1}}{NOA_{t-1}}$$

In order to calculate NOAt-1 the following models were used:

GrNOAt = NOAt - NOAt - 1

NOAt = Operating Assetst(OAt) - Operating Liabilitiest(OLt)

OAt = ARt + INVt + CAOt + PPEt + INTt + AOt

OLt = APt + CLOt + LOt

Where,

AR is receivable accounts INV is inventory

CAO is other current assets

PPE is properties, plant, and equipment

INT is intangible assets

AO is other long-term assets

AP is accounts payable

CLO is other current liabilities

LO is other long-term liabilities

3.Results

In this section, initially, the correlations between all variables are summarized in the following table, then the results will be interpreted and finally the research hypotheses will be tested.

	RNOA	OPINC	GrNOA	CFO	ACC
RNOA	1	0.533	-0.073	0.396	0.236
OPINC	0.533	1	0.381	0.458	0.412
GrNOA	-0.073	0.381	1	0.173	0.265
CFO	0.396	0.458	0.173	1	-0.325
ACC	0.236	0.412	0.265	-0.325	1

Table 1: Correlation matrix

According to the above table, the desired results based on the theoretical framework of the research were obtained. As it can be seen I the table, in relation with return on capital at the future periods (RNOAt+1), the correlation of current operating accruals (ACCt) is lower than operating cash items (CFOt) which are 0.236 and 0.396, respectively. Therefore, as expected, the correlation between current accruals and return on capital at the future period is lower than current cash items. But it is important to get to the result that, lower stability of current accruals with return on capital is not due to the adverse effect of these items on the operating profit of the coming period, but it is a result of high correlation of them with net assets, i.e. the capital of future period. We also conclude that, in order to calculate (RNOAt+1), we divided operating profit of the next year by current year's equities, but to calculate the variable of OPINCt+1 we divided operating profit by last year's year equities.

Since we want to attach the lower stability of current accruals with return on capital of next period

to the capital, then, consequently, by reducing the capital that can be obtained by comparing OPINCt+1 and RNOAt+1 the correlation between current accruals and OPINCt+1 must be more than its correlation with RNOAt+1 that the same result was obtained; the correlation of current accruals was raised from 0.236 to 0.412

Since the influence of company's operating accruals on increasing capital is more than the influence of operating cash items (Fairfield, whisenant and yohn, 2007), then, logically, the correlation of operating accruals with capital growth (GrNOAt) should be more than the correlation of cash items that, according to the table, this result is obtained. The correlation between operating accruals and operating cash items with capital growth is 0.26 and 0.17 respectively.

1. Testing first hypothesis

The results of hypotheses test are presented, summarized, and interpreted in the following tables:

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
αΟ	0.274391	0.039541	7.302087	0.0000	
CFO	0.593581	0.038207	12.50129	0.0000	
ACC	0.496218	0.051212	8.651284	0.0000	
R-squared	0.385422	Mean dependent var		0.7557	
Adjusted R-squared	0.298851	S.D. dependent var		0.8047	
S.E. of regression	0.592144	Akaike info criterion		2.7109	
Sum squared resid	221.5174	Schwarz criterion		2.9621	
Log likelihood	-587.1187	F -statistic		122.25	
Durbin-Watson stat	1.812238	Prob. (F -statistic)		0.0000	

Table 2: Estimation of first hypothesis

The coefficient of current cash profitability is equal to 0.59 and the coefficient of cash profitability is equal to 0.49, which clearly shows that, the correlation of cash profitability with return on capital of the next period is relatively higher than operating accruals. It should be noted that, the correlation difference between accruals and operating cash items with return on capital of the next period in the researches that conducted in USA is more than the correlation difference obtained in this study. Therefore, logically, in the rest of hypotheses, we must conclude that, the increase of capital by operating accruals is not more than operating cash items. According to the table, the coefficient of current accrual profitability (ACCt) with return on capital (RNOAt+1) is equal to 0.49 and coefficient of current cash profitability (CFOt) is equal to 0.59.

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F -statistic	7.671025	(1,512)	0.0037
Chi-square	7.671025	1	0.0035
Null Hypothesis Su	immary:		
Normalized Restrict	tion $(= 0)$	Value	Std. Err.
$\alpha 1 - \alpha 2$		0.187047	0.056460

Table 3. Results of the testing first hypothesis

Based on the p-value and Fisher tests at 0.05 of significance level (Table 2), the Ho: $\alpha 1 \neq \alpha 2$ hypothesis is rejected and the inverse hypothesis i.e. H1: $\alpha 1=\alpha 2$ is confirmed; therefore, the first hypothesis is confirmed.

Based on the results of Fisher and Wald tests we have shown that, the difference in stability between accruals and current cash items with return on capital of the future period is true. Therefore, the stability of accrual profitability with return on capital in the future period is always lower than current cash profitability, The desired result is that, the lower stability of current operating accruals with return on capital of coming period originates from the denominator of this profitability ratio. In the following we will test this.

5. Testing second hypothesis

In this regard, the H0 hypothesis must be rejected.

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
Y0	0.682387	0.037539	18.68534	0.0000	
CFO	0.398801	0.035628	7.988520	0.0000	
ACC	0.398657	0.041545	7.682022	0.0000	
R-squared	0.193341	Mean dependent var		1.235087	
Adjusted R-squared	0.146945	S.D. dependent var		0.772710	
S.E. of regression	0.583877	Akaike info criterion		1.502047	
Sum squared resid	179.8947	Schwarz criterion		1.660872	
Log likelihood	-423.1645	F -statistic		50.10558	
Durbin - Watson stat	1.779321	Prob(F -	statistic)	0.000000	

Table 4 Estimation of second hypothesis

According to this table, the coefficient of ACCt is more than CFOt, therefore, the impact of accrual profitability on capital growth is more than cash profitability, but this difference is not

Normalized Restriction (= 0)

yI-y2

considerable. Furthermore, in the following we will investigate the permanence of this premise that, the stability of accruals in relation with capital growth is more than the stability of cash items.

Std. Err.

0.040541

Value

-0.089184

\mathcal{J}							
Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability				
F -statistic	4.401238	(1,512)	0.0399				
Chi-square	4.401238	1	0.0395				
Null Hypothesis Sun	nmary:						

Table 5: Results of	of testing s	econd hypothesis
---------------------	--------------	------------------

Based on the p-value and Fisher tests, the second hypothesis is significant at α =0.05 level. Comparing the f statistic with table, the H0:Y1=Y2 hypothesis is rejected and the inverse hypothesis i.e. H1:Y1 \neq Y2 is confirmed and the coefficients difference in Wald test confirms the test. Therefore, we can conclude that, the correlation between operating accruals and capital is always more than its correlation with operating cash items.

The result is that, despite the fact that, in Iranian companies, managers re-invest the operating cash in the company, but according to the results of Wald test, we conclude that, the amount of re-investment in operating accruals is more than operating cash items (Y1-Y2=-0.08). The other tests confirm this. The first hypothesis was confirmed by this difference and that, the stability of current operating accruals with return on capital in the next period is more than the stability of and operating cash items with return on capital in the next periods.

Testing third hypothesis

The results of hypotheses test are presented, summarized, and interpreted in the following tables:

Table 6: Estimation of third hypothesis					
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
B0	0.192301	0.033689	3.822148	0.0006	
CFO	1.025389	0.034201	29.20842	0.0000	
ACC	0.892016	0.037105	22.86201	0.0000	
R-squared	0.703036	Mean dependent var		0.883841	
Adjusted R-squared	0.756336	S.D. dependent var		0.892017	
S.E. ofregression	0.482036	Akaike info criterion		1.638623	
Sum squared resid	137.8896	Schwarz criterion		1.652209	
Log likelihood	-381.8741	F -statistic		573.2879	
Durbin-Watson stat	2.282658	Prob(F -statistic)		0.000000	

.

The coefficients of accrual profitability and cash items, based on the following table, are 0.89 and 1, respectively. It can be said that, the impact of these items on operating profit in the next period is identical. Therefore, we can conclude that, the lower stability of the current accrual profitability with return on capital in the next period is due to its strong correlation with capital and has no adverse effect on the operating profit of the future periods.

Table 7: the results of testing third hypothesis

Test Statistic	Test Statistic Value		Probability
F -statistic 3.036982		(1,512)	0.0875
Chi-square	1	0.0872	
Null Hypothesis Sun			
Normalized Restriction	Value	Std. Err.	
β1-β2	0.060689	0.036631	

Based on the p-value and Fisher tests, and comparison of f statistic with the table, the hypothesis of H0: $\beta 1 = \beta 2$ at $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance is accepted and the inverse hypothesis of H1: $\beta 1 \neq \beta 2$ is rejected and then, the third hypothesis is confirmed. Comparing the coefficients differences of Wald test, the differences of coefficients is very low and confirms the result of Fisher test. Therefore, we can conclude that, the operating accruals and cash items profitability have identical relationship with operating profitability of the coming period. Therefore, all of the managers who think current accrual profitability has adverse effect on operating profit of the coming period and try to increase future operating profit through manipulating, reducing, and extracting discretionary of accrual profitability, not only will not achieve their goal but also reduce the quality of profit.

6.Conclusion

Previous studies attached the lower stability of current accruals with net return on assets in the future periods to lower stability of current accruals, but in this work, we have showed that, the lower stability of current accruals with net return on assets in the future periods is due to the stronger correlation of current accruals with net assets. Therefore, current operating accrual and cash items profitability, both have identical relationship operating profit of the next period and extracting discretionary accruals with the expectation that, it will increases the operating profit of the future periods, is not acceptable and not only does not increase the operating profit of the future periods, but also accordingly reduces the quality of profits.

References

- Bahnson, P., P. Miller and B. Budge. (1996).
 "Nonarticulation in Cash Flow Statements and Implicationsfor Education, Research, and Practice." Accounting Horizons 10, 1-15.
- [2]. Barth, M. and S. Kallapur. (1996). "The Effects of Cross-sectional Scale Differences on Regression Results in Empirical Accounting Research." Contemporary Accounting Research 13,527-567.
- [3]. Barth, M., D. Cram and K. Nelson. (2001). "Accruals and the Prediction of Future Cash Flows." The Accounting Review 7 6, 27-58.
- [4]. Barth, M., W. Beaver, J. Hand and W. Landsman (1999). "Accruals, Cash Flows, and Equity Values." Review of Accounting Studies 4,205-229.
- [5]. Bernstein, L. (1993). Financial Statement Analysis. 5thed. Homewood, IL: Irwin.
- [6]. Burgstahler, D. and I. Dichev. (1997).
- [7]. "Earnings Management to Avoid Earnings Decreases and Losses." Journal of Accounting and Economics 24, 99-126.
- [8]. Chan, K., Chan, L., Jegadeesh, N., Lakinishok, J., (2005). " Earnings quality and stock return" Working paper University of Illinois at UrbanaChapaign - Department of Finance, p.50
- [9]. Collins, D. and P. Hribar. (2011). "Earningsbased and Accrual-based Market Anomalies:
- [10]. One Effect or Two?" Journal of Accounting and Economics 29,101-123.
- [11]. Desai, H., S. Rajopal and M. Venkatachalam. (2002). "Value-glamour and Accruals Mispricing: OneAnomaly or Two?" Working Paper, Southern Methodist University
- [12]. Drtina, R. and J. Largay. (1985). "Pitfalls in Calculating CashFlows from Operations." The AccountingReview 60,314-326.
- [13]. Erickson, M. and S. Wang. (1999).
 "Earnings Management by Acquiring Firms in Stock for Stock Mergers." Journal of Accounting and Economics 27,149-176.
- [14]. Fairfield, P. and T. Y ohn. (2007). "Using Asset Turnover and Profit Margin to

Forecast Changes inProfitability." Review of Accounting Studies 6, 371-386.

- [15]. Fairfield, P., R. Sweeney and T. Yohn. (1996).
- [16]. "Accounting Classification and the Predictive Content of Earnings." The Accounting Review 71,337-355.
- [17]. Fairfield, P., S. Whisenant and T. Yohn. (2003). "Accrued Earnings and Growth: Implications for Future Profitability and Market Mispricing." The Accounting Review 78, 353-371.
- [18]. Francis, J., Smith, M., 2007, "A reexamination of the persistence of accruals and cash flows". Journal of accounting research, 1-28
- [19]. Givoly, D. and C. Hayn. (2011). "The Changing Time-series Properties of Earnings, Cash Flows and Accruals: Has Financial Reporting Become More Conservative?" Journal of Accounting and Economics 29, 287- 320.
- [20]. Hribar, P. and D. Collins. (2002). "Errors in Estimating Accruals: Implications for Empirical Research". Journal of Accounting Research 40, 105-134.
- [21]. Jansen, I. and T. Yohn. (2002). "Using Changes in Asset Turnover as a Signal of Potential Earnings Management." Working Paper, Georgetown University.
- [22]. Jones, J. (1991). "Earnings Management During Import Relief Investigations." Journal of AccountingResearch 29, 193-228.
- [23]. Kothari, S. P.(2001). "Capital Markets Research in Accounting." Journal of Accounting and Economics31, 105-232.
- [24]. Lakonishok, J., A. Shleifer and R. Vishny. (1994). "Contrarian Investment, Extrapolation, and Risk." Journal of Finance 49,1541-1578.
- [25]. McNichols, M. (2011). "Research Design Issue in Earnings Management Studies." Journal of Accounting and Public Policy 19, 313-345.
- [26]. McNichols, M. and P. Wilson. (1988).
- [27]. "Evidence of Earnings Management from the Provision for Bad Debts." Journal of Accounting Research 26, 1- 31.
- [28]. Penman, S. and X. Zhang. (2002). "Modeling Sustainable Earnings and PIE Ratios Using Financial Statement Information." Working Paper, Columbia University.
- [29]. Petroni, K. (1992). "Optimistic Reporting in the Property-casualty Insurance Industry."

Journal of Accounting and Economics 15,485-508.

- [30]. Richardson, S., R. Sloan, M. Soliman and I.
- [31]. Tuna. (2006). "Information in Accruals about the Quality ofEarnings." Working Paper, University of Michigan.
- [32]. Sloan, R. (1996). "Do Stock Prices Fully Reflect Information in Accruals and CashFlows about Futureliarnings?" The Accounting Review 71, 289-315.
- [33]. Tarpley, R. (2010). "Profitability of Information Contained m the Accrual Component of Earnings." Working Paper,

2/5/2021

George Washington University.

- [34]. Whisenant, S. and T. Yohn. (2007). "Do Measurement Errors m Accruals and Cash Flows Explain the Differential Persistence of Income Components?" Working Paper, Georgetown University.
- [35]. Xie, H. (2001). "The Mispricing of Abnormal Accruals." The Accounting Review 76, 357- 373.