# Exploitation of Genetic Variability in Cowpea Improvement for High Moisture Tolerance: 3. Response of Genotypes to High Moisture Stress

D. O. Idahosa1 J. E. Alika2 and A. U. Omoregie1

 Department of Crop Science, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria
Department of Crop Science, University of Benin, Nigeria. E-mail: danielidahosa@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** Cowpea is cultivated in varied agroecological environments in the humid tropics and is beset with different type of stresses such as high moisture – a phenomenon of heavy rainfall characterized by temporary waterlogging and drought at all stages of plant development and eventual low grain yield production. Twenty-seven germplasm of cowpea from diverse genetic origin were grown under rainfall condition in two locations located in rainforest-savanna transition area with sandy-loam soils and in the humid rainforest area with clay and clayey-loam soils during early and late cropping seasons in randomized complete block design with three replicates in 2006. The response of the cowpeas to increasing moisture tolerance was evaluated for different agronomic characters based on mean weekly soil moisture level and susceptibility rating scale. High moisture deficit delayed days to 50% flowering, 50% maturity, reduced pods per plant, seeds per pod, 100-seed weight and grain yield. Early season planting resulted in significant difference in crop yield of 613kg/ha in Ekpoma and 117.4kg/ha in Obayantor. The results indicated that cowpea grown under increasing moisture stress experienced delay in flowering and seed development phases though some genotypes can be exploited and improved upon for effective adaptation to waterlogged prone areas. [New York Science Journal 2010;3(5):123-129]. (ISSN 1554 – 0200).

Keywords: Cowpea germplasm, response, high moisture stress, grain yield.

#### 1. Introduction

Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. crop plant is known to be well suited to the tropical guinea savanna areas due to its characteristic nature for drought-tolerant with better growth in warm climates. However, to some extent, the crop is grown in the rainforest regions during the period of rains by subsistence peasant farmers. Since cowpea crop is cultivated in varied agroecological environments in the humid tropics in developing countries of Africa in general and Nigeria in particular, it is beset with different type of stresses which consequently result in low grain yields attributable mostly to eratic and early ceasation of rains in arid areas (Ngundo and Taylor, 1974) on one hand, and high soil moisture resulting from high water table, hard pan and/or poor soil drainage among others for cowpea crop production in the south. The exposure to high soil moisture at the vegetative, flowering and podding stages are common phenomena.

The periods of heavy rainfall are characteristic of the rainy season in the humid rainforest tropics and often result in temporary waterlogging (Minchin *et al.*, 1978). This is due to the fact that rainfall distribution in Nigeria experiences a bimodal pattern which are typically characterized by alternate periods of drought and heavy rains and can occur during any stage of plant development. Researches have shown that cowpea requires a precipitation of 50mm-127mm each month from sowing through flowering (Ndunguru and Summerfield, 1975; De-Oliveriera and De-Carvalho, 1988). Vidal et al (1981) reported that podding is the yield component most responsive to moisture and that pod number, pod weight and seed weight were greatly affected by moisture stress and that pods were unfilled and grain wrinkled (Kamara, 1976) in cowpea while seeds per pod and seed vield were reduced in mung bean (Pandey et al., 1984b). In all grain legumes, number of pods per plant exert a very strong influence on the yield produced by the plant (Kumar and Dubey, 2001; Mera et al, 2003; Kumari and Prasad, 2005) which is as a result of water supplied during the reproductive stage (Singh et al, 1997).

Both too much and too little soil moisture have been known to be major causes of crop yield losses around the world. In order to achieve improved and stable yields in stress-prone environments, it is desirable to improve tolerance to major abiotic constraints prevalent in target environments (Zaidi et al, 2008) and in this case high soil moisture stress. Thus, the ability of cowpea crop to tolerate high moisture can therefore be an important selection criterion in the development of improved genotypes for high rainfall areas. Consequently, this study is aimed at evaluating some cowpea germplasm agronomic characters response to high moisture stress.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Two field experiments in each of the two locations were conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma located in a rainforest-savanna transition zone with mean yearly precipitation of 1200mm-1550mm, and Benin-Owena River Basin Development Authority, Obayantor situated in a humid rainforest zone with mean yearly precipitation of 2,032mm-2540mm in 2006, respectively. The soil in Ekpoma is sandy-loam while soil in Obayantor consists of clay and clay-loam (Table 1). Twenty-four lines of cowpea obtained from IITA genebank along with three other local cultivars were used as genetic materials. Each test material was sown into plot sizes of 3m x 2m at a spacing of 30cm x 60cm in three replications in two different seasons - early (01st and 06th May, 2006) and late (07th and 12th August, 2006) at Ekpoma and Obavnator. respectively.

Soil samples from the two experimental locations were taken from top soil surface (0-

15cm) with soil augur prior to sowing and at weekly intervals in each replicate throughout the period of experimentation. Soil samples collected were oven-dried for 24 hour at 105°c for moisture level determination (Igwilo, 1982) and values obtained in percentage transformed by arcsine (Gomez and Gomez, 1984)(Table 2). Observations of cowpea genotype's response to high moisture stress commenced from the fourth week after sowing (DAS) at weekly intervals. Evaluation was based on visual observation under field condition of the growing cowpea plants. IBPGR (1983) rating scale (1–9) for stress susceptibility level was adopted where 1-3 (tolerance); 4-6 (medium tolerance), and 7-9 (high susceptibility). All agronomic management was followed.

Data were collected on ten plants randomly selected from each plot. The following characteristics were evaluated for plant height, leaf area index (LAI), days to 50% flowering, 50% maturity, pods per plant, pod length, pod weight, seeds per pod and seed weight. Grain yield was obtained from the mid-rows in each plot. Pods were threshed and seeds oven-dried at 65°c for 24 hours and all vield adjusted to 12% moisture content. Data were subjected to appropriate statistical analysis using SAS software model. Mean separation of individual genotype was carried out using student-Newman-Keuls Test at 0.05 alpha.

<b>Co–ordinates</b>	Ekpoma	Obayantor
(Site locations):	Lat. $6^{\circ}$ 08' E,	Lat. 6° 09' N,
	Long. 6° 42' N	Long. 5° 35' 27" E
Attitude level:	460m	304.8m
Soil classification:	Sandy–loam	Clay and clay-loam
Precipitation (mm)	-	
March	96.9(6)*	78.7(8)
April	112.0(5)	149.0(9)
May	234.1(13)	290.8(17)
June	292.7(15)	170.4(10)
July	449.9(19)	358.0(20)
August	348.1(15)	279.2(17)
September	554.2(25)	368.2(24)
October	42.2(19)	268.7(15)

\*Figures in parenthesis are the numbers of rainy day in each month.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Agrometeorological conditions differed between the two experiments with abundant precipitation at the test locations (Table 2). Rainfall fostered an environment of high moisture at all stages of crop development in the two sites.

DAS*	Early	Season	Late	Season
	Ekpoma	Obayantor	Ekpoma	Obayantor
07	21.22	24.20	18.21	23.11
14	25.18	18.00	19.28	24.40
21	17.46	18.44	11.39	14.89
28	19.91	21.56	17.16	25.84
35	23.58	22.87	19.09	29.13
42	20.27	24.04	20.79	23.19
49	12.39	20.00	19.19	24.73
56	15.50	18.72	18.24	27.97
63	21.89	22.30	22.38	24.50
70	27.90	27.40	24.12	25.99
77	23.73	24.73	23.34	24.50
84	23.42	27.97	18.53	24.04
*DAG D	c. •			

Table 2. Angular transformed	l mean weekly soil mo	oisture level in the ex	perimental locations.

\*DAS = Days after sowing

Weekly moisture levels in Ekpoma and Obayantor ranged from 12.39-27.90 and 18.00-27.97 during the early season respectively. Late season moisture levels were 11.39–24.12 (Ekpoma) and 14.89-29.13 (Obaynator) locations. There was a substantial moisture as from 35 DAS in both locations when most of the plants commenced their reproductive (flowering) phase in the early season planted cowpeas and this appeared to have influenced the reproductive performance and consequently affected the final yield of the individual genotype in Obayantor due to the clayey nature of the soil in this environment which may have hindered proper soil drainage. However, for the late season planted crops, only Obayantor site experienced a relatively much higher moisture deficit during the flowering phase. Studies have shown that flower opening is very sensitive to moisture stress. Stress at this phase leads to severe drop of flowers and fruits and that pod set and filling phases are adversely affected (Sinha, 1977; Haggani and Pandey, 1994). Thus, increased soil moisture may have significantly delayed flowering and maturity of all cowpea genotypes in this study. The delay in days to flowering was more apparent in Gopolonare (-A)(79.33) and Gar-bl (67.67); and Gopolonare (-A)(65.67) and Gar-bl (64.00) in both locations, respectively. However, some of the genotypes that attained flowering never progressed to maturity phase nor produce any pods. For instance, ZM/A-5004B, ZM/A-5029A, Ibadan-40, Egyptian(-A), 67-3, Gopolonare(-A), GIN 89-171 and ILCA-12666 due probably to the effect of increased moisture deficit on them in early sown cowpeas. In Ekpoma site, delayed maturity was observed in Gar-w(84.33), ZM/A-500AD(83.33) and ILCA-12648 (78.67); and in ILCA-12648(81.67); Ekp-br. (80.67), Gar-w(78.67) and Gar-bl(75.00) in Obayantor site.

There was a significant response to increasing moisture level in the early season grown cowpeas for yield and its components (Table 3a). Pods per plant were the most severely affected yield component due to increasing moisture stress. There was substantial variation among the genotypes in response to high moisture stress. In Ekpoma and Obayantor sites, highest pods per plant was obtained in ILCA-12666(15.00) and 325(4.67) for the early season crops when compared to ZM/A-5004D (14.13) in Ekpoma and Egyptian(-A)(5.33)in Obayantor for the late season crops (Table 3b). Pandey et al (1984) and Muchow (1985) had reported that pod number, pod weight and seed weight were greatly affected by moisture stress which is in conformity with the results in this study.

For pod length character, five genotypes-Ibadan-40, (21.87cm), Ekp-br. (20.10cm), *ILCA-12646*(17.67CM) *V.U.1*(18.57cm), and 325(16.80cm) exhibited relatively significant longer pods in Ekpoma in the early season sown cowpeas. Only ILCA-12646 (17.57cm) had longer pod length in Obayantor. Similar trend was observed in the late season crops in Ekp-br (18.83cm), ILCA-12643(18.70cm), ILCA-12646(18.70cm), ILCA-12647(18.20cm) and Gin 89-171(17.37cm) genotypes in Ekpoma location (Table 3b). However, only two genotypes exhibited similar longer pod length in Obaynator location -*Ekp-br*.(18.29cm) and *ILCA-12646*(18.09cm). Significant variations in seeds per pod and seed weight existed among the genotypes sown during early season in both locations. For seed numbers per pod, *Ekp-br*(16.10), *ILCA-12646*(15.40) were observed while ILCA-12643(14.20G), Ibadan-40(13.37g), 325(14.07g) were recorded for seed

		-	ЕКРОМА							OBAYANTOR								
Genotype	Moist	50%	50%	Pods/	Pod	Seeds/	100-	Grain	Moist	50%	50%	Pods/	Pod	Seeds/	100-	Grain		
	Tol.	Flowering	Maturity	Plant	Length	Pod	Seed wt	Yield	Tol.	Flowering	Maturity	Plant	Length	Pod	Seed wt	Yield		
2851–C	1.00	40.67	59.33	11.33	10.9	10.6	9.27	485.1	4.85	41	60.00	4.6	9.8	7.97	8.13	31.9		
ZM/A-5004B	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Reauster	1.33	42.67	60.67	12	12.07	11.43	10.43	361.7	4.93	50.00	70.67	3.7	10.9	9.43	8.37	30.6		
ZM/A-5029A	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Ibadan-40	4.63	52.67	71.00	5.87	21.87	9.70	13.37	49.1	6.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Gar-w.*	6.17	60.00	84.33	4.07	16.43	10.43	10.53	24.3	6.43	63.33	78.87	3.77	15.87	12.03	9.17	33.6		
Ekpbr.*	1.67	47.67	68.00	8.20	20.10	16.10	10.43	250.4	2.83	50.00	80.67	2.93	16.44	15.10	8.57	60.4		
Egyptian(-A)	4.17	46.00	63.00	10.33	9.60	9.33	10.27	89.2	6.67	46.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
ILCA-12643	3.50	46.33	64.33	7.67	16.17	10.40	14.20	132.1	5.17	49.33	23.67	4.37	4.77	2.77	3.30	10.5		
67–3	6.60	44.67	70.33	7.57	14.13	10.30	9.90	9.60	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
ILCA-12646	1.80	46.67	6700	3.90	17.67	15.40	9.87	307.2	2.50	46.67	72.00	4.37	17.57	13.33	9.73	117.4		
G-126	2.13	44.33	62.33	4.40	11.83	10.73	9.33	240.5	5.37	47.33	67.67	4.40	12.00	8.63	8.80	18.7		
53-C-91-2	1.33	50.33	60.67	10.10	11.50	11.13	8.73	184.3	5.53	42.00	64.67	4.30	9.80	9.10	8.53	7.60		
ILCA-12647	7.00	67.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.93	65.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
325	1.33	45.33	62.00	2.47	1680	11.27	14.07	239.1	3.50	48.00	63.00	4.67	14.67	8.23	11.60	53.9		
Gopolonare(-A)	7.00	79.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	65.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Dan–Tamanin	1.00	42.33	60.00	3.13	16.43	15.00	8.53	268.3	3.70	48.00	63.00	1.87	14.97	11.77	7.87	82.3		
ZM/A-5004D	5.00	64.33	83.33	8.65	15.03	9.77	13.07	63.70	6.33	20.33	25.33	0.67	5.07	3.33	3.30	3.70		
53C	1.00	42.67	61.00	9.83	12.40	10.27	11.33	441.0	4.20	43.33	63.67	5.67	10.30	8.70	9.07	21.50		
ILCA-12665	4.17	51.67	70.00	11.33	15.60	14.97	8.53	613.0	1.93	40.67	59.67	9.50	12.07	11.20	9.07	136.2		
53-C-82	1.00	37.67	59.00	8.47	12.00	10.87	10.53	580.3	2.03	41.33	59.33	5.67	10.67	10.43	7.57	61.3		
Gin 89–171	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
304	1.00	42.67	61.00	8.50	14.00	12.33	9.93	353.2	4.27	48.00	64.00	3.67	14.03	9.07	10.03	12.7		
Garbl.*	7.00	67.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.77	64.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V.U.1	1.00	41.33	57.67	7.23	18.57	13.63	10.33	290.5	5.37	42.67	58.00	2.87	16.00	9.37	8.77	59.9		
ILCA-12666	1.00	43.00	54.67	15.00	14.33	13.27	6.67	94.8	6.33	53.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
ILCA-12648	2.67	62.00	78.67	6.97	14.10	15.03	8.23	98.5	3.20	62.67	81.67	2.30	14.00	15.40	8.50	116.7		
Mean	3.411	43.238	49.226	5.934	11.126	8.999	7.788	188.63	5.019	39.321	43.26	2.583	8.694	6.820	5.577	38.12		
SE	1.11	12.13	9.38	8.15	0.57	1.03	3.53	0.03	0.76	48.60	144.05	1.25	5.58	3.75	3.09	0.01		

Table 3a. Mean values for eight characters in twenty-even genotypes evaluated in Ekpoma and O	oayantor
early season	

\*Local cultivars

\*\*Pods without seeds

			ЕКРОМА				OBAYANTOR									
Genotype	Moist	50%	50%	Pods/	Pod	Seeds/	100-	Grain	Moist	50%	50%	Pods/	Pod	Seeds/	100-	Grain
	Tol.	Flowering	Maturity	Plant	Length	Pod	Seed wt	Yield	Tol.	Flowering	Maturity	Plant	Length	Pod	Seed wt	Yield
2851–C	1.67	46.33	68.00	11.03	9.60	8.83	145.6	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ZM/A-5004B	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Reauster	1.67	48.67	68.00	5.63	12.80	9.97	12.03	143.9	7.00	49.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ZM/A-5029A	2.53	60.00	83.33	4.23	16.97	12.87	12.27	145.1	4.83	60.00	76.00	5.20	16.73	10.93	14.07	27.6
Ibadan-40	1.33	46.67	74.00	5.77	13.77	11.90	11.47	255.1	3.70	50.00	76.00	1.00	9.67	9.77	10.43	38.5
Gar-w.*	1.00	55.67	72.67	4.87	16.87	15.30	9.33	476.1	2.63	58.67	75.67	2.93	15.47	15.00	10.23	161.8
Ekpbr.*	1.00	50.67	69.00	4.73	18.83	16.87	9.63	478.9	1.67	50.67	73.00	2.40	15.17	14.17	10.47	191.0
Egyptian(-A)	2.17	46.67	71.00	7.07	11.47	10.60	9.77	195.3	3.97	48.67	72.67	3.83	18.20	9.73	11.17	27.0
ILCA-12643	4.00	51.00	68.00	4.07	18.70	8.80	12.53	53.3	3.00	49.00	74.00	3.90	18.17	10.07	14.03	87.0
67–3	2.93	54.33	74.67	5.63	12.70	8.77	8.17	158.7	4.43	61.33	76.33	3.63	17.83	10.53	8.20	17.5
ILCA-12646	1.00	47.00	65.33	5.33	18.70	16.60	9.33	541.8	1.10	50.67	72.62	5.33	10.53	14.53	10.37	269.5
G-126	1.67	45.00	64.33	3.50	14.07	12.60	9.70	292.7	2.67	49.67	69.67	5.27	17.63	8.20	10.20	131.4
53-C-91-2	3.87	46.67	68.00	6.37	11.93	10.97	8.17	86.3	5.00	48.67	74.00	3.13	12.03	5.23	5.63	0.2
ILCA-12647	3.27	54.00	75.67	5.37	18.20	12.97	10.50	162.6	2.33	60.00	77.67	4.13	18.43	15.83	11.37	233.4
325	1.33	49.33	66.33	2.97	16.50	10.83	13.10	380.7	3.50	40.23	70.00	3.67	13.73	7.37	12.30	50.1
Gopolonare(-A)	1.00	51.67	69.00	3.87	14.10	15.90	8.23	402.7	2.50	50.67	69.33	2.67	10.43	14.9	8.63	190
Dan–Tamanin	1.33	45.00	64.00	3.30	16.87	15.27	8.03	340.8	3.03	46.67	72.33	2.53	18.33	7.97	7.90	103.6
ZM/A-5004D	2.50	52.33	74.00	14.13	15.07	10.97	12.73	238.3	3.50	49.33	76.33	3.07	14.67	8.00	12.33	64.8
53C	2.77	44.33	70.33	7.67	12.97	11.17	9.97	274.9	6.33	43.67	69.67	5.20	14.97	1.63	3.03	18.3
ILCA-12665	2.70	44.67	59.33	6.17	14.50	14.53	8.23	247.3	4.43	59.00	79.00	3.40	12.00	13.27	9.20	244.8
53-C-82	3.70	45.00	67.33	5.17	10.13	8.37	10.03	65.5	6.87	42.00	61.67	4.70	13.20	0.00	0.00	0.00**
Gin 89–171	2.27	54.67	69.33	3.90	17.37	12.63	9.33	173.9	2.93	64.00	77.00	1.40	10.17	12.73	9.13	83.8
304	5.33	46.67	68.00	2.10	5.00	4.10	3.10	67.0	6.83	44.00	60.00	1.97	14.83	0.00	0.00	0.00**
Garbl.*	6.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.23	60.00	72.00	1.00	9.67	14.27	9.07	74.4
V.U.1	4.03	48.67	69.00	0.00	0.00	15.27	10.57	109.2	7.00	42.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ILCA-12666	1.00	54.33	73.00	5.73	13.63	13.00	8.53	135.2	2.00	42.00	61.33	7.87	13.73	11.87	8.60	16.6
ILCA-12648	1.00	54.67	73.33	6.10	14.87	16.33	9.50	315.4	2.00	61.33	72.67	2.17	15.7	15.63	9.57	196.1
Mean	2.316	44.429	60.571	4.776	13.096	10.935	8.702	210.23	3.694	47.441	57.274	2.795	11.464	8.274	7.355	79.65
SE	2.07	5.14	59.14	3.18	3.33	2.49	1.73	0.01	1.39	11.97	8.97	1.73	0.66	2.70	2.95	0.02

Table 3b. Mean values for eight characters in twenty-even genotypes evaluated in Ekpoma and Obayantor
late season

\*Local cultivars

\*\*Pods without seeds

weight in Ekpoma when compared to *ILCA–12648*(15.40) and *325*(11.60g) for early season crops in Obayantor location. *Ekp–br* and *ILCA–12646* only exhibited higher number of seeds per pod in response to high moisture stress in the late season. Pandey *et al* (1984a) and Muchow (1985) reported reduction in seed weight under increasing water deficit in mung bean. An observation revealed that seed per pod was seriously reduced generally in Obayantor when compared to what was obtained in Ekpoma. Similar investigation was reported in mung bean (Mohanty and Sharma, 1983; Pandey *et al.*, 1984b).

In Tables 3a and 3b, grain yield performance in all genotypes evaluated for the character showed significant differences among each other in the two locations and seasons due to high moisture stress. Lower grain yield was recorded in Obayantor in comparison to Ekpoma in both seasons. In Ekpoma location, genotypes ILCA-12665(613 kg/ha), 53-*C*–82(580.3kg/ha), 2851–C (485.1kg/ha). *ILCA-12646*(307.2kg/ha) 53C(441kg/ha), and V.U.1 (290.5KG/ha) exhibited relatively higher grain yield in contrast to ILCA-12665 (136.2kg/ha), ILCA-12648(116.7kg/ha). DanTamanin(82.3kg/ha) and ILCA-12648(116.7kg/ha) in Obayantor during the same period. However, appreciable high grain yields were obtained in Obayantor in late season crops though still lower than what was obtained in Ekpoma in the same period. High grain yield has been reported in late season sown cowpea (Remison, 2005). However, despite increased moisture level in the soil, early season crops yielded more than the late season crops. This could be attributed to over-saturation of the soil in the late season grown crops as most crop plants have their threshold under which they can perform effectively.

These results indicated that cowpeas grown under increasing moisture deficit experienced moisture stress at flowering and seed development phases and consequently yield performance. This study further revealed that some genotypes exhibited more tolerance to increasing high moisture stress irrespective of the season. Such genotypes could be selected and further developed for adaptation to high soil moisture in waterloggedprone areas.

## Acknowledgement

The authors immensely appreciate staff of IITA (Genebank Unit) Ibadan for the test materials used and the Management of Rubber Research Institute of Nigeria (RRIN), Iyanomo for kindly providing the piece of land within its nursery field for this study to be conducted.

## **Corresponding author:**

D.O. Idahosa, Department of Crop Science, Ambrose Alli University, P.M.B. 14, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria. E-mail: danielidahosa@yahoo.com

### References

- Ngundo, BW. Taylor, D. Effects of *Meloidogyne* sp. on bean yield in Kenya. Plant Diseases Report 1974; 58: 1028–1033.
- [2] Minchin, F.R., Summerfield, R.J., Eaglesham, A.R.J. and Stewart, K.A. (1978) Effects of short-term waterlogging on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). J. Agric Sci. 90:355-366.
- [3] Ndunguru, BJ. Summerfield, RJ. Comparative laboratory studies of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and soyabean (*Glycine max*) under tropical temperature conditions. II. Contribution of cotyledons to early seedling growth. East Africa Agric. For. J. 1975; 41: 65–71.
- [4] De-Oliveira, IP, De-Carvalho, AM. Climate and soils of the cowpea producing regions. In: Earl, EW de Araujo, JPP. eds.. Cowpea Research in Brazil. 1988; IITA/EMBRAPA. P12.
- [5] Vidal, A., Arnaudo, D. Aroux, M. Drought resistance of soyabeans I. Drought stress effect on growth and yield. Agronomic, 1981; 1(4): 295–302.
- [6] Kamara, CS. The effects of excess and deficient soil moisture on the growth and yield of cowpea. In: Tropical Grain Legume Bulletin 1976 No. 6. Pp. 4–8.
- [7] Pandey. RK. Herrera, WAT. Villegas, AN. Pendleton, JW. Drought response of grain legumes under irrigation gradient III. Plant growth. Agron. J. 1984b 76:557–560.
- [8] Kumar, S. Dubey, DK. Variability, heritability and correlation studies in grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.). Lathyrus Lathyrism Newsletter 2001; 2: 79-81.
- [9] Mera, M. Montenegro, A. Espinoza, N. Gaete, N. Barrientos, L. Heritability of seed weight in an inbred population of large seeded *Lathyrus sativus*. Lathyrus Lathyrism Newsletter 2003;3:24–25.
- [10] Kumari, V. Prasad, R. Model plant type in Khesari (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) suitable for hill farming. Lathrus Lathyrism Newsletter 2005; 4:15–17.

- [11] Singh, BB. Chambliss, OL. Sharma, B. Recent advances in cowpea breeding. In: Singh, BB. Mohan Raj, D R., Dashiell, KE. Jackai, LEN. eds. Advances in Cowpea Research. 1997 Co-publication of IITA and JIRCAS, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [12] Zaidi, PH. Yadar, M. Singh, DK. Singh, RP. Relationship between drought and excess moisture tolerance in tropical maize (*Zea mays L.*) Australian J. Crop Sci. 2008; 1(3):78–96.
- [13] Gomez, KA, Gomez, AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.1984 John Wiley and Sons, N.Y.
- [14] Igwilo, N. The effect of climatic changes on the growth and development of two cowpea varieties in south eastern Nigeria. I. Climatic changes – yield and seed quality. Niger. Agric J. 1982: 17/18: 243–260.
- [15] IBPGR Cowpea descriptors. 1983 Rome.
- [16] Sinha, SK. Food legumes: distribution, adaptability and biology of yield. FAO Plant Poduction and Protection Paper. 1977 AGCP MISC/3. FAO, Rome 124p.

- [17] Haqqani, AM. Pandey, RK. Response of mung bean to water stress and irrigation at various growth stages and plant densities: 1. Plant and crop growth parameters. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad) 1994: 71(4): 281–288.
- [18] Pandey. RK. Herrera, WAT. Pendleton, JW. Drought response of grain legumes under irrigation gradient .I. Yield and yield components. Agron. J. 1984a; 76:549–553.
- [19] Muchow, RC. Phenology, seed yield and water use of grain legumes under different soil water regimes in semi-arid tropical environments, Field Crops Res. 1985; 11(1): 81–97.
- [20 Mohanty, RN. Sharma, JP. Grown summer mungbean for efficient utilization of land and water. Indian Farming 1983: 31:7.
- [21] Remison, SU. Arable and vegetable crops of the tropics.2005 Gift–Print Associates, Benin-City. P56.

Submission Date: 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2010.