



Computational studies on the α - and β - Elimination Pathways for the Kinetics and Thermodynamics of the Gas-Phase Pyrolysis of Allyl Formates

Adejoro Isaiah Ajibade, Adeboye Omolara Olubunmi

Chemistry Department, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Abstract: The study of α - and β -elimination pathways for the kinetics and thermodynamics of the gas-phase pyrolysis of allyl formate was carried out in the gas-phase using Density Functional Theory (DFT with B3LYP at 6-311++G (2DF, 2P)). The two pathways proceeded through a six-centered cyclic transition state. The result obtained for the [C_{α} -O, (43-46%) C_{α} -H₁₁ and C_{β} -H₅ (9-10%)] bond lengthening character in a single step suggested that the reaction is concerted and asynchronous. The energy of formation for the α -elimination pathway that is elimination initiated from the formyl moiety to give propene and carbon (IV) oxide is lower compare with the β -elimination pathway, elimination initiated from the allyl moiety to give propan-1, 2-diene and formic acid. The activation parameters [E_a =178.59kJ/mol, $\log A$ =10.10 s^{-1} ΔH^\ddagger =172.79 kJ/mol ΔS^\ddagger =8.16 J/mol.K and ΔG^\ddagger = 167.20kJ/mol] obtained for the α -elimination pathway are in good agreement with the experimental value than the values obtained for the β -elimination pathway.

[Adejoro Isaiah Ajibade, Adeboye Omolara Olubunmi. **Computational studies on the α - and β - Elimination Pathways for the Kinetics and Thermodynamics of the Gas-Phase Pyrolysis of Allyl Formates.** *Nat Sci* 2023;21(9):31-44]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print); ISSN 2375-7167 (online). <http://www.sciencepub.net/nature>. 04. doi:[10.7537/marsnsj210923.04](https://doi.org/10.7537/marsnsj210923.04).

Keywords: Allyl formates, pyrolysis, pathways, Elimination, DFT calculations

1. Introduction

The gas-phase pyrolysis of some alkyl formate has been reported to give formic acid and corresponding unsaturated alkenes as the only product. According to experimental reports (Markens and Eversole, 1931; Anderson and Rowley, 1943;

Szarc and Taylor, 1953; Blades, 1954; Gordon *et al.*, 1957; Vernon and Waddington, 1969; Gil'burd and Moin. 1967; Blades and Sandhu, 1971; Hamon *et al.*, 1989) the mechanism of these reactions proceeds through a concerted six-centered cyclic transition state (Figure 1)




Figure1.Mechanism of pyrolysis of alkyl formate

Allyl formate is an ester of formic acid which is obtained by replacing the phenyl π -system of a benzoyl formate (Vernon and Waddington, 1969) by a vinyl group and when pyrolyzed in a static system produced propene and carbon (IV) oxide. The reaction was found to be homogeneous and follow a

first order rate law. The results obtained were: energy of activation $E_a = 43.15 \pm 0.66$ Kcal/mol (180.5 ± 2.9 kJ/mol), $\log A = 10.0 \pm 0.2$ and activation entropy $\Delta S^\ddagger = -14.6 \pm 1.1$ e.u (-60.9 J/mol.K). These reaction was said to have proceeded through a [1, 5]-hydrogen

shift (Figure 2) from the formyl hydrogen atom (Robinson and Holbrook, 1972)




Figure 2. Mechanism of pyrolysis of allyl formate

Another work on the gas-phase pyrolysis of allyl formate to give carbon (IV) oxide and propene shows that $\log A = 10.10 \pm 0.3$, $\Delta H^\ddagger = 174.00$ kJ/mol, $E_a = 179.91$ kJ/mol, $K = 6.65$ S⁻¹ and $\Delta G^\ddagger = 167.74$ kJ/mol. The recent theoretical calculation shows that the pyrolysis or thermal decomposition of allyl formate proceeds through a six-centered and a four-centered cyclic transition state to give carbon (IV) oxide and propene (Mora, *et al.*, 2013). However, from experimental and theoretical calculations obtained, it appears that there is a controversial problem of the minimum pathways leading to the gas-phase pyrolysis of allyl formate. Consequently, this work aimed at studying the α - and β -elimination pathways (elimination of hydrogen attached to the α -carbon of the formyl moiety and β -carbon of the allyl moiety) and the effect of these elimination pathways on the kinetics and thermodynamic parameters using Spartan software with density functional theory method of calculations with Becke Lee Yang Parr number three at 6-311++G (2DF,2P) level.

2. Computational procedure

The mechanisms, kinetics and thermodynamics of the gas-phase pyrolysis of allyl formate have been examined using the density functional theory (DFT) with B3LYP at 6-311++G(2DF, 2P) level using Spartan. Conformational search was done using molecular mechanics force field (MMFF) to obtain the most stable conformer (Warren, 2003). Geometry optimization was performed on the most stable conformer at the ground state, transition state and product to obtain the bond length, bond angle, dihedral angles and atomic charge distribution. Reaction path studies were carried out using α -H₁₁-C₁ and β -H₅-O₁₂ as the reaction coordinates for allyl formate, here the internal coordinates were varied from its initial distance in the stable reactant form to its values in the product form. For allyl formate, the initial distance between H₁₁ and C₁ is 3.331, and between H₅ - O₁₂ is 4.478 Angstroms. The inter atomic distance was slowly altered throughout the reaction path calculation taking the value from 3.331 to 1.09 and 4.478 to 0.971 Angstroms its approximate

value in the stable product molecule in 20 steps. Location and characterization of the transition state was done by subjecting the transition state structure to test in order to verify that the geometry corresponds to the transition state and that this correspond to the reactants and products. The tests are: there will be one imaginary IR value that will be in the range of 400-2000 cm⁻¹ and the normal coordinates must correspond to the imaginary frequency which will connect the reactants and the product. The intrinsic reaction coordinate method was also used, kinetic and thermodynamic calculations were done using the data obtained from the geometric calculations on the reactants, transition state and products. The kinetic and thermodynamic parameters were obtained using the sum of the ground state energy (GSE) and the statistical mechanically calculated enthalpy to arrive at a closer approximation of the true energy of the molecule.

The enthalpy of a species will be defined as:

$$H_i = GSE_i + H_i^{sm}$$

Where the superscript 'sm' is the statistical mechanically calculated enthalpy. Substitute this into the initial definition of the heat of reaction we have:

$$\Delta H_{rxn} = (GSE_{product} + H_{product}^{sm}) - (GSE_{reactant} + H_{reactant}^{sm})$$

Activation energy (E_a) was calculated according to the transition state theory for a unimolecular reaction at 698K (Anslyn and Dougherty, 2006).

$$E_a = \Delta H^\ddagger - RT$$

The entropy of the reaction was calculated by taking the difference of product and reactant entropies that is

$$\Delta S_{reaction} = S_{product} - S_{reactants}$$

$$\text{And } \Delta S_{activated} = S_{transition} - S_{reactant}$$

The Gibbs free energy and Gibbs free energy of activation was calculated using the modified version of the heat of reaction equation. Knowing that The first order coefficient k(T) was calculated using transition state theory (TST) (Benson and O' Neal, 1970).

K' and h are the Boltzmann and Planck constants respectively.

Pre-exponential factor is given as $A = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left[\frac{-\Delta S^\ddagger}{R}\right]$

The bond lengthening character was used to describe the extent of bond lengthening to show the rate determining step and to explain the concertedness and synchronicity of the reaction.

$$G = H - TS \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta G = \Delta H^\ddagger - T\Delta S^\ddagger$$

Where ΔG^\ddagger is the Gibbs free energy change between the reactant and the transition state and

assuming that the transmission coefficient is unity as shown in the following equation

$$k(T) = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left[\frac{-\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT}\right]$$

Arrhenius rate was obtained using the rate equation (Wright, 2004)

$$k(T) = A \exp\left[\frac{-E_a}{RT}\right]$$

$$\text{Bond lengthening} = \frac{\text{TS bond length} - \text{GS bond length}}{\text{GS bond length}}$$

(Hamon *et al.*, 1989)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Conformational Search

Conformational search was (Warren and Ohlinger, 2009, 2010) done using Molecular mechanics force field (MMFF) showed that allyl formate has five (5) different conformers with the most stable conformer having energy of -7.12kJ/mol (Figure 3)




Figure 3. Conformers of Allyl Formate with their corresponding energy values.

3.1 Geometry optimization





Figure 4. Structure of Allyl formate

Geometry optimization was performed on the structure of allyl formate (Figure 4) in the ground state, transition state and product to obtain the bond length, bond angles, dihedral angles and atomic charge distribution (Figure 5) for the α - and β -elimination series. The bond length (Table 1) observed between C₁- C₄ in the ground state for the α - and β -elimination series 1.326 changed to 1.381 and 1.322 respectively in the transition state but in the product form, it changed to 1.494 the expected bond length for a C-C single bond. It is observed that in the β -elimination series, the bond length between

C₁- C₄ maintained the expected values for a C-C double bond in the GS, TS and product form (GS=1.326, TS=1.322 and Product=1.304). Considering the bond length between C₄- C₆, it is observed that the release of electron from C₆-O₉ and C₄-H₅ (points of cleavages) for both α - and β -elimination series makes the C₄- C₆ carbon-carbon single bond attained a carbon-carbon double bond character as shown in the values of the bond length in the transition state and product form (TS=1.381/1.373 and PRD=1.327/1.298 for α - and β -elimination series respectively). As shown in Table 1, for α - elimination series in the TS, the long stretch in the bond lengths between C₁₀-H₁₁ (from 1.102 to 1.213) and C₆-O₉ (from 1.444 to 2.068) are indications the cleavages occurs and between C₁-H₁₁

from GS= no bond to TS=1.645 and finally to PRD=1.090 showed that a new bond is being formed. In the β -elimination series, the long stretch between C₄-H₅ (from 1.085 to 1.312) and C₆-O₉ (from 1.444 to 2.086) showed that cleavages also occurred at these points and the change in the bond length between O₁₂-H₅ from GS= no bond, TS=1.302 and PRD=0.971 are indications that a new bond has been formed.

Table 1 also showed the bond angles and the dihedral angles for the ground state, transition state and product, the variation in the values from ground state and transition state is as a result of distortion that occurred in the structures during the transitions process and this kind of distortion are necessary for intramolecular transfer of protons.

Table1. Bond length, Bond angles and Dihedral angles for allyl formate (GS, TS and Products)

Dihedral Angle (Å)		α -elimination	β -elimination
C ₁ -C ₄ -C ₆ -O ₉	GS	-129.26	-129.26
	TS	-65.18	-169.89
C ₆ -O ₉ -C ₁₀ -O ₁₂	GS	-177.09	-177.09
	TS	-7.05	156.52
C ₆ -O ₉ -C ₁₀ -O ₁₁	GS	3.65	3.65
	TS	-65.18	-22.59




Figure 5. Optimized geometry of the reactant, transition state and products

Bond Angle	(Å)	α -elimination	β -elimination
C ₁ -C ₄ -C	GS	124.05	124.05
	TS	116.90	143.13
	PRD	125.31	179.77
C ₆ -O ₉ -C ₁₀	GS	117.43	117.43
	TS	113.81	113.77
	PRD	-	-
C ₁₀ -O ₁₂ -H ₁₁	GS	113.13	113.13
	TS	109.79	117.13
	PRD	-	27.01
O ₉ -C ₁₀ -O ₁₂	GS	122.78	122.78
	TS	135.93	124.96
	PRD	179.95	122.94

Bond Length	(Å)	α -elimination	β -elimination
C ₁ -C ₄	GS	1.326	1.326
	TS	1.381	1.322
	PRD	1.497	1.304
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	+ 0.055	-0.004
C ₄ -C ₆	GS	1.495	1.495
	TS	1.381	1.373
	PRD	1.327	1.298
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	-0.114	-0.122
C ₆ -O ₉	GS	1.444	1.444
	TS	2.068	2.068
	PRD	-----	-----
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	+0.624	+0.624
O ₉ -C ₁₀	GS	1.344	1.344
	TS	1.248	1.252
	PRD	1.159	1.193
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	-0.096	-0.092
C ₁₀ -H ₁₁	GS	1.102	1.102
	TS	1.213	1.107
	PRD	-----	1.103
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	+0.111	+0.005
C ₁₀ -C ₁₂	GS	1.193	1.193
	TS	1.212	1.278
	PRD	1.160	1.344
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	+0.019	+0.085
C ₄ -H ₅	GS	1.085	1.085
	TS	1.312	1.312
	PRD	1.086	-----
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	+0.227	+0.227
C ₁ -H ₁₁	GS	3.671	-
	TS	1.645	-
	PRD	1.090	-
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	-2.026	-
O ₁₂ -H ₅	GS	-	4.478
	TS	-	1.302
	PRD	-	0.971
	$\Delta d = TS - GS$	-	-3.176


3.2. Reaction path study


Reaction path calculations were performed on the optimized geometry of the allyl formate using α -H₁₁-C₁ and β -H₅-C₄ as the reaction coordinate (Bamkole, 2006). The internal coordinate was varied from its initial value in the stable reactant form to its value in the product molecule. According to previous report (McIever and Kormonicki, 1971) instead of the energy to pass smoothly through a maximum, rose to a very high (219.46 and -43.23 kJ/mol for α and β -elimination respectively) value with a sudden drop in the geometry to a product with a drop in the heat of

formation with the values approximately the same as the sum of the expected products, propylene and carbon (IV) oxide for α -H₁₁-C₁ and propan-1, 2-diene and formic acid for β -H₅-C₄. The result obtained showed that the pyrolysis of allyl formate can be initiated from the hydrogen that is attached to the α -carbon of the formyl moiety to give carbon (IV) oxide and propene and the hydrogen that is attached to the β -carbon of the allyl moiety to give propan-1, 2-diene and formic acid as shown Table 2 with the corresponding structures in Figure 6.

Table 2. Calculated Values for Energy of formation the Reaction Path Study for Allyl Formate

MOLECULES	Energy of Formation (kJ/mol)	
	α - elimination	β -elimination
M0001	-274.45	-272.95
M0002	-273.83	-274.38
M0003	-273.05	-275.15
M0004	-272.15	-275.16
M0005	-271.17	-274.38
M0006	-269.87	-272.73
M0007	-267.66	-269.83
M0008	-266.44	-265.67
M0009	-261.76	-260.66
M0010	-254.63	-255.24
M0011	-245.65	-249.76
M0012	-233.93	-274.10
M0013	-214.85	-268.70
M0014	-182.84	-264.71
M0015	-138.05	-257.98
M0016	-86.52	-224.18
M0017	-30.10	-157.54
M0018	52.48	-95.29
M0019	219.46	-43.23
M0020	150.93	-127.48





Plot II

Figure 6. Reaction path plot for allyl formate showing elimination of hydrogen at the α -carbon of the formyl moiety (Plot I) and β -carbon of the allyl moiety (Plot II)

3.3. Transition state

The transition state was characterized using two methods, the IR values obtained for the α and β -eliminations has only one imaginary IR values $\nu(i713\text{ cm}^{-1})$, the main components of these stretching are $\text{C}_1\text{-H}_{11}$ and $\text{C}_{10}\text{-H}_{11}$ with intensity of 404.64 and $\nu(i1446\text{ cm}^{-1})$ with intensity 1062.67 are for $\text{C}_4\text{-H}_5$ and $\text{H}_5\text{-O}_{12}$ stretching. The $\text{C}_6\text{-O}_9$ stretching is not present because the bond is virtually broken. The intrinsic reaction coordinate calculation converged and the plot (Figure7) shows that the reaction is an

exothermic reaction. Hammond postulate was used to further explain the intrinsic reaction coordinate calculation; the calculated energy of formation obtained showed that the transition state structure resembles the structure of the reactant because the energy of the transition state is closer to the reactant structure which is true for exothermic reactions and this also shows that the transition state structure is a later transition state (Anslyn and Dougherty, 2006; Hammond, 1955).





Figure 7. Intrinsic reaction coordinate plot

3.4 Mechanism of pyrolysis of allyl formates

The pyrolysis of allyl formate has been reported to proceeds through a four and a six-centered cyclic transition state initiated from the hydrogen that is attached to the α -carbon of the allyl moiety to give carbon (IV) oxide and propene as products and it was also reported that the four-membered cyclic transition state was less stable.

However, the result obtained from this calculation showed that the pyrolysis of allyl formate could proceeds through a six-centered cyclic transition state initiated from the hydrogen that is attached to the α -carbon of the formyl moiety to give carbon (IV) oxide and propene and though a six-membered cyclic transition state initiated from the β -carbon of the allyl moiety to give propan-1, 2-diene and formic acid.



These involves a six-membered cyclic transition state which proceeds through a C-H bond making, C-H (initiated from the hydrogen attached to the α -carbon of the formyl moiety) and C-O bond breaking on one part, a O-H bond making and C-H (initiated from the Hydrogen that is attached to the β -carbon of allyl moiety), C-O bond breaking on the other part, (Figure 8). In the transition state there is a stretch between C_{10} - H_{11} bond with bond length 1.213 against the bond length of 1.102 in the ground state

for the α -elimination series. In the β -elimination series, the long stretch between C_4 - H_5 (from 1.085 to 1.312) and C_6 - O_9 (from 1.444 to 2.086) showed that cleavages also occurred at these points and the change in the bond length between O_{12} - H_5 from $\text{GS}=4.478$, $\text{TS}=1.302$ and $\text{PRD}=0.971$ are indications that a new bond has been formed. Also, there is a stretch between C_6 - O_9 , the bond length is 2.068 against bond length of 1.444 in the ground state.






Figure 8. Reaction mechanisms of allyl formate showing elimination of hydrogen at the α -carbon of the formyl moiety and β -carbon of the allyl moiety.

3.5 Atomic charges

The formal charge (Table 3) showed that α - H_{11} and β - H_5 has positive charge development (+0.21 and +0.46) while C_6 has negative charges (-0.292 and -0.630). The polarization of C_6 - O_9 and C_1 - H_{11} bonds causes positive charges on C_4 and C_{10} (+0.138 and +0.410) respectively and causes C_1 to become more negatively charged (-0.341) for the α -elimination series and the polarization of the O_{12} - H_5 and C_6 - O_9 causes positive charges on C_4 and C_{10} (+0.343 and +0.192) to increase respectively (Figure 9).





β -elimination series (allyl moiety)

Figure .9. Showing the distribution of atomic charges for α - and β -elimination series

Table 3 SELECTED ATOMIC CHARGES FOR ALLYL FORMATE [B3LYP/6-31++G(2DF,2P)]

ATOMS		α - elimination	β -elimination
C ₁	GS	-0.426	-0.426
	TS	-0.340	-0.397
	PRD	-0.362	-0.482
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	+0.086	+0.029
C ₄	GS	+0.204	+0.204
	TS	+0.084	+0.343
	PRD	+0.138	+0.245
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-0.120	+0.139
C ₆	GS	-0.260	-0.260
	TS	-0.293	-0.630
	PRD	-0.416	-0.330
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-0.033	-0.370
H ₅	GS	-	+0.185
	TS	-	+0.464
	PRD	-	+0.295
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-	+0.279
C ₁₀	GS	+0.212	+0.212
	TS	+0.192	+0.192
	PRD	+0.150	+0.150
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-0.020	-0.020
H ₁₁	GS	+0.118	-
	TS	+0.214	-
	PRD	+0.096	-
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-	-
O ₁₂	GS	-	-0.341
	TS	-	-0.407
	PRD	-	-0.241
	$\Delta q = \text{TS-GS}$	-	-0.066

3.6 Calculation

The result obtained for kinetics and thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H^* = 172.79$ & 190.90

kJ/mol , $\Delta S^* = 8.16$ & 13.16 J/mol.K , $\Delta G^* = 167.20$ & 181.83 kJ/mol , $E_a = 178.59$ & 196.71 kJ/mol , and $\log A = 13.58 \text{ S}^{-1}$ for the α - and β - elimination series

respectively compared favourably well with experimental results ($\Delta H^* = 174.00$ kJ/mol, $\Delta G^* = 167.74$ kJ/mol, $E_a = 179.91$ kJ/mol and $\log A = 10.00$ S⁻¹ (Table 4). Negative Gibbs free energy (-103.46 and 130.93 kJ/mol) obtained showed that the reaction is spontaneous and the positive entropy of activation (8.16 and 13.16J/mol.K) suggest activation complexes with significant amount of bond cleavages to form two molecules (Anslyn and Dougherty, 2006). Negative values obtained for the enthalpy of reactions(-116.26 and -118.37 kJ/mol respectively)

are indications that the reaction is an exothermic reaction, this confirmed with the intrinsic reaction coordinate plot (Figure 7) and the calculated energy of formation (Table 5) for the reactant, transition state and products obeyed Hammond's postulate (Figure 10) for exothermic reactions because the values obtained for the transition state is closer to that of the reactants which implies that the transition state structure resembles the structure of the reactants which provide a model for it.

Table 4. KINETIC AND THERMODYNAMICS PARAMETERS FOR ALLYL FORMATE AT 698K [B3LYP/6-311++G(2DF,2P)]

EXPERIMENTAL VALUES PARAMETERS	CALCULATED VALUES		
		α -Elimination	β -Elimination
ΔH (kJ/mol)	-	-116.26	-118.37
ΔS J/mol.K	-	18.13	17.96
ΔG (kJ/mol)	-	-103.46	-130.93
ΔS^* J/mol.K	-	8.16	13.16
ΔH^* (kJ/mol)	174.00	172.79	190.90
ΔG^* (kJ/mol)	167.74	167.20	181.83
E_a (kJ/mol)	179.91	178.59	196.71
$\log A$ (s ⁻¹)	10.10	13.58	13.58
k (s ⁻¹)	1.16	1.68	1.37

The calculated bond lengthening character showed that the bond lengthened in the C _{α} -O bond is to a much greater extent (43-46%) than that of the C _{α} -H₁₁ and C _{β} -H₅ bond (9-10%), the C _{α} -O bond dissociate in advance of C _{α} -H₁₁ and C _{β} -H₅ to cause acceleration in the rate of the reaction. This also showed that the reaction is concerted because the

bond cleavage and bond formation occurs in a single step and asynchronous because the dissociation of the C _{α} -O bond takes place before the formation of the C₁-H₁₁ and O₁₂-H₅.

However, the activation parameters obtained for the β -elimination series is higher with corresponding low rate value compared with the α -elimination series.

Table 5. Energy of formation for allyl formate (kJ/mol)

	α -Elimination	β -Elimination
GS	-804733.12	-804429.12
TS	-804557.25	-804247.68
PRD	-804851.25	-804538.75





Figure 10. Energy diagram to depict Hammond's postulate

IR calculation obtained theoretically (Table 6) for the reactants, transition state and product for allyl formate for α - and β -elimination series are shown in (Fig.11a & 11b). The spectra for α -elimination in the transition and the product state (Fig) shows prominent absorption bands for $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C}_{\text{str}})$ at 1551cm^{-1} , $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{str}})$ 1798cm^{-1} , $\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str sp}^2})$ 1832cm^{-1} and $\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str sp}^3})$ $3135-3259\text{cm}^{-1}$ and for the β -elimination

$\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{str}})$ $1372-1389\text{cm}^{-1}$, $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{str}})$ at 1662cm^{-1} , $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C}_{\text{str}})$ at 1808cm^{-1} , $\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str carboxylic}})$ at 2961cm^{-1} , $\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str}})$ at $3114 - 3217\text{cm}^{-1}$ and a sharp broad band that shows the appearance of $\nu(\text{O}-\text{H})$ band at 3652cm^{-1} . These IR bands compared favourably well the experimental spectra (IR Absorption Table (www.chem.ulca.edu/~webspectra/irtable.html)).

Table 6. Infra-red (cm^{-1})

REACTANT	CALCULATED	EXPERIMENTAL
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{O}_{\text{str}})$	1019, 1115	1200 -1180
$\nu(\text{C}=\text{C}_{\text{str}})$	1711	1600 -1500
$\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{str}})$	1840	1750 -1700
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str formyl}})$	2958	2900 -2700
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str}})$	3033-3218	3100 -3010
PRODUCT		
	α -elimination	β -elimination
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{O}_{\text{str}})$	1145	1136
$\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}_{\text{str}})$	1798	1662, 1848
$\nu(\text{C}=\text{C}_{\text{str}})$	1551	2035,1485
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str sp}^2})$	3135 -3259	3120 - 3206
$\nu(\text{C}-\text{H}_{\text{str}})$	1832	1808, 2961, 2965 (formic)
$\nu(\text{O}=\text{H}_{\text{str}})$	nil	3652

Fig 11a: IR spectra for α -elimination of allyl formate (GS, TS and PRD)




Fig 11b: IR spectra for β -elimination of allyl formate (GS, TS and PRD).

4. Conclusion

The α - and β -elimination pathway for the pyrolysis of the gas-phase pyrolysis of allyl formates

was investigated using DFT with B3LYP at 6-311++G(2DF,2P) level. Reaction path study calculation showed the the gas-phase pyrolysis could

conveniently proceeds through the two pathways that is elimination initiated from the hydrogen that is attached to α -carbon of the formyl moiety and from the hydrogen that is attached to the β -carbon of the allyl moiety. However the energy of formation and other calculated kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for the elimination pathway from the hydrogen that is attached to α -carbon of the formyl moiety is lower compared with that of the β -carbon of the allyl moiety.

Acknowledgements:

Authors are grateful to the Department of Chemistry and the Postgraduate School University of Ibadan for creating a conducive environment to carry out this work.

Corresponding Author:

Adeboye, Omolara Olubunmi
c/o Dr. I.A. Adejoro
Department of Chemistry
University of Ibadan.
Telephone: 08058584417
E-mail: moadeb5848@yahoo.com

References

- [1]. Makens, R. F., Eversole, W.C., Kinetics of the thermal decomposition of ethyl formate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 61 1939; 3203-3206
- [2]. Blade, A.T. The Kinetics of pyrolysis of ethyl and isopropyl formates and acetates. *Can. J. Chem.* 32 1954; 366-372.
- [3]. Blades, A.T., Sandhu H. S. The Arrhenius factors for some six-center unimolecular reactions. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* III 1971; 187-193.
- [4]. Gil'burd, M. M and Moin, F. B. Mass-spectrometric studies of fast high-temperature reactions II. Thermal decomposition of ethyl formate. *Kinet. Katal.* 8 1967; 261-264.
- [5]. Anderson, R. B. and H. H. Rowley, Kinetics of the thermal decomposition of n-propyl and isopropyl formates, *J. Phys Chem.* 43 1943; 454-463.
- [6]. Gordon, E., Price, S.J.W. Trotman-Dickenson A. F.. The pyrolysis of tert-butyl formate. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1957; 2813-2815
- [7]. Vernon, J. M. Waddington, D. Thermolysis of allylic formates. *J. Chem. Commun II* 1969; 623-624
- [8]. Szwarc, M. Watson Taylor, J. Pyrolyses of benzyl benzoate, acetate and formate. *J. Chem. Phys.* 21 1953; 1746-1749
- [9]. Hamon, L. Levaisalles, J. and. Pascal, Y.L A non-empirical 1,5 elimination transition structure for ethyl formate. *Tetrahedron* 45 1989; 1711-1722.
- [10]. Robinson, P.J. and Holbrook, K. A. *Unimolecular Reactions.* John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 1972; 230.
- [11]. Mora, J. R., Perez, D. C. Maldonado, A. Lorono, M. Cordova, T. and Chuchani, G. Theoretical study on thermal decomposition kinetics of allyl formates in the gas-phase. *Elsevier-Computational and Theoretical Chemistry.* 1019 2013; 48-54.
- [12]. Warren Hehre, J. A Guide to Molecular Mechanics and Quantum Mechanical Chemical Calculations Irvine USA, 2003; 399.
- [13]. Warren Hehre and Sean Ohlinger, Spartan '06 for Windows, Macintosh and Linux tutorial and user's guide. Wavefunction Inc. USA, 2006-2009.
- [14]. Warren Hehre and Sean Ohlinger, Spartan '10 for Windows, Macintosh and Linux tutorial and user's guide. Wavefunction Inc. USA 2010.
- [15]. Benson, S. W. and O' Neal Kinetic Data of Gas Phase Unimolecular Reactions. NSRDS-MBS 21 1970.
- [16]. Wright, M. R. An Introduction to Chemical Kinetics. John. Wiley & Sons England, 2004; 100.
- [17]. Bamkole, T. O. Calculation of the Arrhenius Parameters for the Pyrolysis of Some alkyl Vinyl Ether using Mopac. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 63 2006; 631 – 634.
- [18]. Mclever, J. W. and Kormonicki, A. Rapid Geometry Optimization for Semi – Empirical Molecular Orbital Models. *Chem. Phys. Letts.* 10 1971; 303.
- [19]. Anslyn, E.V. and Dougherty, D.A. *Modern Physical Organic chemistry* University Science Books. Wilsted and Taylor Publishing Services USA, 2006. www.uscibooks.com.
- [20]. Hammond. G.S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 77 1955; 334.
- [21]. IR Absorption Table (www.chem.ulca.eduwebspectra/irtable.html)

9/22/2023