Websites: http://www.sciencepub.net/nature http://www.sciencepub.net

Emails: naturesciencej@gmail.com editor@sciencepub.net





Effect of L-Arginine on Intrauterine Growth Restriction Fetuses Measured by Birth weight a Randomised Controlled Trial

Moustafa I. Ibrahim MD, Hayam F. Mohammad MD, Manar L. Younis M.B.B.Ch

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Egypt. <u>manaryunis88@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract: Background An area of fetal medicine research interest is to determine whether the enhancement of NO productivity could boost fetal growth patterns. There are attempts to the treatment of IUGR pregnancies by L-Arginine but the results are still inadequate. Objective: This study investigate the effect of L-arginine supplementation on fetal growth and pregnancy outcome. Methodology A prospective interventional randomized controlled research trial, conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital. From 2017till 2018, 260 pregnant females as research study Categorized randomly into two equal numbered research groups, 12 cases were dropped out due to loss of contact with them. Finally249 pregnant women were diagnosed with IUGR and have been categorized into two groups according to the results: Group I:125 pregnant women with IUGR received 3g L arginine and 75 mg of Acetyle salicylic daily. Group II: 124 pregnant women with IUGR received 75mg Acetylesalicylicic acid daily only. Both research groups were followed up by daily fetal movement counting, day after daycardiotocography (CTG), doppler twice weekly, Pelvic sonographic assessment weekly for: Head Circumference (HC), Abdominal circumference (AC), Femur Length (FL), Estimated fetal weight (EFW), Amniotic fluid index (AFI) or Mean Vertical Pocket. Results The Rate of estimated fetal weight increase, birth weight and Apgar score were statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p values<0.001). NICU admission and preterm delivery were statistically significantly less frequent among L-Arginine group than among control group (p value<0.001). Conclusions L-arginine seems to be useful management agent for improving asymmetrical mild IUGR fetuses viaraising nitric oxide levels which enhances the fetomaternal circulatory functional performance.

[Moustafa I. Ibrahim, Hayam F. Mohammad, Manar L. Younis Ch. Effect of L-Arginine on Intrauterine Growth Restriction Fetuses Measured by Birth weight a Randomised Controlled Trial. *Nat Sci* 2019;17(12):158-166]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print); ISSN 2375-7167 (online). <u>http://www.sciencepub.net/nature</u>. 22. doi:10.7537/marsnsj171219.22.

Keywords: L-arginine, IUGR, nitric oxide.

1. Introduction

Intrauterine growth restriction is a crucial issue as regards perinatal care, causing perinatal mortality and morbiditysequlaeaffectingaround8% of pregnancies. IUGR is clinically defined as a fetal weight under the 10thcentile for gestational age. The probability of IUGR is higher among severe SGA infants. **1,2,3**.

IUGR could be categorized into two main types according to the causative path physiological origin as non-placenta mediated growth restriction, e.g structural or chromosomal anomaly, inborn errors of metabolism and fetal infection; and placental-mediated growth restriction e.g due to Maternal issues pathologically affecting placental transfer function low pre-pregnancy weight, under nutrition, medical diseases affecting placental implantation and vasculature such as pre-eclampsia, autoimmune disease.**4**,**5**,**6**. Furthermore IUGR is categorized into 2 types symmetrical when the fetus is small but wellproportioned and asymmetrical when the fetus abdominal growth is restricted. Different wide spectrum management approaches integrated with extensive research efforts are undertaken to avoid fetal restrictive growth issues. Prior research efforts and management protocols implemented the usage of aspirin to prevent placental insufficiency, however, there is insufficient evidence for such therapy to be routinely indicated for fetal growth restriction prevention.**7.8.9**.

Interestingly it was revealed and displayed at cellular and molecular levels that the maintainenece of the physiological Vascular tone is critical in maintenance of feto placental perfusion. It was observed by prior groups of investigators that Nitric Oxide synthesized from the placental vascular system is of cornerstone importance in maintenance adequate placental blood flow by decreasing the placental vascular tone, decreased Nitric Oxide availability could have a critical role in the path physiological origin of IUGR. Consequently, nitric oxide donor segglyceryltrinitrate and isosorbidemononitrate precursors (L-arginine) and NO mediator as sildenafil citrate could be a valuable management protocol possible for IUGR issues.10,11,12.

An area of fetal medicine research interest is to determine whether the enhancement of NO productivity could boost fetal growth patterns. There are attempts to the treatment of IUGR pregnancies by L-Arginine but the results are still inadequate.13,14,15.

Aim

The current study was to investigate the effectiveness of L-Arginine in enhancement of clinical pregnancy outcomes in IUGR cases.

Methodology

Aprospective interventional randomized controlled research trial, conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital. from 2017till 2018,260

pregnant females as research study Categorized randomly in to two equal numbered research groups inclusive research criteria Involved the following all pregnant women diagnosed with IUGR from 28 gestational weeks, singleton gestations, no maternal systemic disease, no congenital fetal malformation, estimated fetal weight below 10th centile, exclusive research criteria involved the following all pregnant woman diagnosed with IUGR before 28gestational weeks, multifetal gestations, maternal systemic disease, congenital fetal malformation. All recruited research study subjects have undergone full clinical history taking and examination full sonographic2 Dassessmente. **Bi-partial** g diameter, head circumference. abdominal circumference, femur length, estimated fetal weight estimated fetal weight percentile, amniotic fluid index Sonographic diagnosis of growth restricted fetuses have been on Hadlock formula for Estimated fetal weight (EFW) which is obtained by the ultrasound apparatus used in this study.

Hadlock 1: Log10BW=1.304+0.005251(AC) + 0.01938 (FL) 0.00004(Acx FL)

After obtaining a reliable gestational age and best estimate of the fetal weight (EFW), Hadlock fetal growth curve and table were be used to assign an EFW growth percentile. Fetuses with an EFW below the 10th centile.

Cases within the first research group were administered oral L-arginine 3000mg/day (L-ARGININE 1000mg by PuRITAN'S PRIDE, INC.) till delivery and Acetylesalicylic acid 75mg once daily (Ezacard 75mg). Cases within the second research group were administered Acetylesalicylic acid 75mg once daily (Ezacard).

Both research groups were followed up by daily fetal movement counting, day after day CTG, doppler twice weekly, Pelvicsonographic assessment weekly for: HC, AC, FL, EFW, AFIor Mean Vertical Pocket.

The study was approved by Ethical committee of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University.

Statistical Analysis

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS) version 23. The quantitative data were presented as mean, standard deviations and ranges while qualitative variables were presented as number and percentages. The comparison between complicated and non complicated was done using Chisquare test and/or Fisher exact test for qualitative data and independent t-test for quantitative data. Logistic regression analysis univariate and multivariate by Backward (Wald) method was used to assess the most important predictors of complications. The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. So, the p-value was considered significant at the level of P-value < 0.05.

Results

A total of 260 pregnant women were diagnosed with IUGR after 28 weeks gestation were enrolled to the study and were divided into two groups.

Group A: received oral L-arginine 3000mg/day till delivery and Acetylsalicylic acid 75mg once daily. in this group 5 cases were missed.

Group B: received Acetylesalicylic acid 75mg once daily.6 cases were missed in this group.

12 cases were dropped out due to loss of contact with them. Finally249 pregnant women were diagnosed with IUGR and have been categorized into two groups according to the results: **Group I:** 125 pregnant women with IUGR. **Group II:** 124 pregnant women with IUGR.

3. Results

Table 1 revealed there was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginie and control research groups as regards demographic characteristics. b (age, BMI, parity, p values=0.713, 0.704, 0.448conseutively)

There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginie and control research groups as regards basal Umbilical artery PI (p value =0.195). Umbilical artery PI significantly improved in both group (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery PI at delivery was statistically significantly lower among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery PI reduction was statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control research group (p value <0.001). Table (2). There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginie and control research groups as regards basal Umbilical artery RI (p value=0.164). Umbilical artery RI was statically

significantly improved in both research groups (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery RI at delivery was statistically significantly lower among L-Arginine research group than among control research group (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery RI reduction was statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control research group (p value<0.001). Table (3).



Figure (1): Flow chart of the studied cases

There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginine and control research groups as regards basal Umbilical artery SD (p value=0.160). Umbilical artery SD statistically significantly improved in both research groups (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery SD at delivery was statistically significantly lower among L-Arginine group than among control research group (p value<0.001). Umbilical artery SD reduction was statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p value<0.001). Table (4).

There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginine and control research groups as regards basal AFI (p value=0.829). AFI statistically significantly improved in both research group (p value<0.001). AFI at delivery and AFI elevation have been statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p value<0.001). Table (5).

There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginine and control groups as regards basal GA (p value=0.103). GA statistically significantly increased in both research group (p value<0.001). GA at delivery and GA prolongation have been statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p value <0.001). Table (6).

There was no statistical significant difference between L-Arginine and control research groups as regards basal fetal weight (p value=0.203). Fetal weight statistically significantly increased in both research group (p value<0.001). Fetal weight at delivery and fetal weight increase were significantly higher among L-Arginine group than among control group (p value<0.001). Table (7).

The Rate of estimated fetal weight increase was statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control group (p values<0.001) Table (8).

Apgar scores were statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control research group (p value<0.001). NICU admission and preterm delivery were statistically significantly less frequent among L-Arginine group than among control group (p value<0.001). Table (9).

Variables	Measures	L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р
Age	Mean±SD	29.0±3.0	29.1±3.2	∧0.712
(years)	Range	21.0-38.0	21.0-36.0	0.715
BMI	Mean±SD	26.9±2.5	26.8±2.3	<u>∧0.704</u>
(kg/m^2)	Range	21.0-31.9	21.6-32.3	0.704
Parity	Primi	30 (24.0%)	35 (28.2%)	#0.448
(n, %)	Multi	95 (76.0%)	89 (71.8%)	#0.448

Table (1): Demographic characteristics among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, #Chi square test

Table (2): Umbilical artery PI among the studied groups

Time	Measures	(N=125)	(N=124)	Р
Level	•			
Defense	Mean±SD	1.23±0.21	1.26±0.17	0.105
Belore	Range	0.66-1.74	Control (N=124) 1.26±0.17 0.89–1.59 1.04±0.19 0.67–1.49 0.23±0.11 0.01–0.48 33 (26.6%) 87 (70.2%) 4 (3.2%) <0.001* 95% CI 0.04–0.10 95% CI 1.4%–21.8% 1.8%–31.7% 1.02–1.32 4.6–72.0	0.195
Deliner	Mean±SD	0.94±0.17	1.04±0.19	<0.001*
Denvery	Range	0.53-1.31	I.26±0.17 0.89-1.59 1.04±0.19 0.67-1.49 0.23±0.11 0.01-0.48 33 (26.6%) 87 (70.2%) 4 (3.2%) <0.001*	<0.001"
Deduction	Mean±SD	0.30±0.11	0.23±0.11	<0.001*
Reduction	Range	0.03-0.64	0.01-0.48	<0.001"
	0.00-	18 (14.4%)	33 (26.6%)	0
Reduction grades	0.20-	*5 (N=125) (N=124) D 1.23 ± 0.21 1.26 ± 0.17 0.66-1.74 $0.89-1.59$ D 0.94 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.19 0.53-1.31 $0.67-1.49$ D 0.30 ± 0.11 0.23 ± 0.11 0.03-0.64 $0.01-0.48$ 18 (14.4%) 33 (26.6%) 89 (71.2%) 87 (70.2%) 18 (14.4%) 4 (3.2%) <0.001*		
	≥0.40	18 (14.4%)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	~0.001^
#P		<0.001*	<0.001*	
Value of L-Arginine in decreasing	PI			
Items		Mean±SD	95% CI	
Reduction		0.07±0.01	0.04-0.10	
Value of L-Arginine in decreasing	PI ≥0.20			
		Value	95% CI	
Rate elevation		12.2%	1.4%-21.8%	
Efficacy		16.6%	1.8%-31.7%	
Relative Rate		1.166	1.02-1.32	
Number needed to treat		8.2	4.6-72.0	

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

	Table (3): Umbilic	al artery RI among the s	studied groups	
Time	Measures	L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р
Level			· · · · ·	
Defense	Mean±SD	0.74±0.05	0.75±0.03	0.164
Belore	Range	0.62-0.83	tudied groups Control (N=124) 0.75±0.03 0.66−0.81 0.69±0.05 0.59−0.80 0.05±0.04 0.01−0.17 102 (82.3%) 22 (17.7%) 0 (0.0%) <0.001*	0.164
Deliment	Mean±SD	0.60±0.07	0.69±0.05	<0.001*
Denvery	Range	0.47-0.77	0.59-0.80	<0.001*
Deduction	Mean±SD	0.14±0.07	0.05±0.04	<0.001*
Range 0.01–0.32 0.01–0.17	0.01-0.17	<0.001*		
	0.00-	28 (22.4%)	102 (82.3%)	0
Reduction grades	0.10-	Mean±SD 95% CI 0.09±0.01 0.07-0.17 0.14±0.05 0.75±0.03 0.62-0.83 0.66-0.81 0.60±0.07 0.69±0.05 0.47-0.77 0.59-0.80 0.14±0.07 0.05±0.04 0.01-0.32 0.01-0.17 28 (22.4%) 102 (82.3%) 81 (64.8%) 22 (17.7%) 16 (12.8%) 0 (0.0%) <0.001*	≪ <0.001*	
_	≥0.20	16 (12.8%)	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Control & Control & (N=124) \\ \hline \hline C 0.75 \pm 0.03 & 0.66 - 0.81 & 0.69 \pm 0.05 & 0.59 - 0.80 & 0.05 \pm 0.04 & 0.01 - 0.17 & 102 (82.3\%) & 22 (17.7\%) & 0 (0.0\%) & 22 (17.7\%) & 0 (0.0\%) & 0 & (0.0\%) & (0.0\%) & 0 & (0.0\%) & 0 & (0.0\%) $	<0.001^
#P		<0.001*	<0.001*	
Value of L-Arginie in decrea	sing RI			·
Item		Mean±SD	95% CI	
Reduction		0.09±0.01	0.07-0.10	
Value of L-Arginie in decrea	sing RI ≥0.10			
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Value	95% CI	
Rate elevation		59.9%	48.2%-69.5%	
Efficacy		337.4%	204.3%-538.7%	
Relative Rate		4.374	3.04-6.39	
Number needed to treat		1.7	1.4-2.1	

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

<b>T1</b>	Maaannaa	L-Arginie	Control	D
Time	Measures	(N=125)	Control (N=124) 3.90±0.21 3.49–4.36 3.69±0.15 3.41–4.05 0.21±0.19 0.02–0.71 105 (84.7%) 19 (15.3%) 0 (0.0%) <0.001* 95% CI 0.27–0.39 95% CI 22.4%–44.2%	r
Level	•	• • •		
Defense	Mean±SD	3.86±0.28	3.90±0.21	0.160
Belore	Range	3.43-4.63	3.49-4.36	0.100
Deliment	Mean±SD	3.31±0.11	3.69±0.15	<0.001*
Denvery	Range	3.05-3.60	3.41-4.05	<0.001*
Deduction	Mean±SD	0.55±0.29	0.21±0.19	<0.001*
Reduction	Range	0.03-1.32	0.02-0.71	<0.001*
	0.00-	63 (50.4%)	105 (84.7%)	0_
Reduction grades	0.05-	53 (42.4%)	19 (15.3%)	~0 001*
	Mean±SD       Range       duction grades     0.00-       0.05-     ≥0.10	9 (7.2%)	0 (0.0%)	<b>~0.001</b> **
#P		<0.001*	<0.001*	
Value of L-Arginie in decreas	ing SD			
Item		Mean±SE	95% CI	
Reduction		0.33±0.03	0.27-0.39	
Value of L-Arginie in decreas	ing SD ≥0.05			
		Value	95% CI	
Rate elevation		34.3%	22.4%-44.2%	
Efficacy		223.7%	105.1%-427.4%	
Relative Rate		3.237	2.05-5.27	
Number needed to treat		2.9	2.3–4.5	

## Table (4): Umbilical artery SD among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

Table (5): AFI among the studied groups					
Time	Measures	L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р	
Level					
Doforo	Mean±SD	4.0±1.7	3.9±1.6	0.820	
Delore	Range	0.5-7.1	Control (N=124)         3.9±1.6         0.6-7.0         9.3±1.7         5.6-12.3         1.7±0.6         0.1-3.0         41 (33.1%)         81 (65.3%)         2 (1.6%)         <0.001*	0.829	
Dalizan	Mean±SD	10.1±1.6	9.3±1.7	<0.001*	
Denvery	Range	5.7-12.8	5.6-12.3	<0.001*	
Elevetion	Mean±SD	2.4±0.6	1.7±0.6	<0.001*	
Elevation	Range	1.2-3.8	Control (N=124)           3.9±1.6           0.6-7.0           9.3±1.7           5.6-12.3           1.7±0.6           0.1-3.0           41 (33.1%)           81 (65.3%)           2 (1.6%)           <0.001*	<0.001*	
	0.00-	11 (8.8%)	41 (33.1%)	0	
Elevation grades	0.15-	91 (72.8%)	81 (65.3%)	<b>&amp;</b> <0.001*	
	≥0.30	23 (18.4%)	2 (1.6%)	<0.001*	
#P			<0.001*		
Value of L-Arginie in ele	vating AFI				
Item		Mean±SD	95% CI		
Reduction		0.7±0.1	0.6–0.9		
Value of L-Arginie inelev	ating AFI ≥0.15				
		Value	95% CI		
Rate elevation		24.3%	13.8%-32.0%		
Efficacy		36.3%	19.1%-50.8%		
Relative Rate		1.363	1.19-1.51		
Number needed to treat		4.1	3.1-7.2		

Table (5): AFI among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

Time	Maagurag	L-Arginie	Control	D
Time	Measures	(N=125)	(N=124)	r
Level	<u>.</u>			
Dofore	Mean±SD	31.9±1.9	31.5±1.6	0.102
Delore	Range	28.0-35.0	28.0-35.0	0.105
Delivery	Mean±SD	35.8±1.0	33.7±1.8	<0.001*
Denvery	Range	33.0-38.0	31.0-39.0	<0.001*
Prolong ation	Mean±SD	4.0±2.0	2.2±1.9	<0.001*
Protong-ation	Range	1.0-9.0	1.0-8.0	<0.001*
	1.0-	53 (42.4%)	106 (85.5%)	0_
Prolong-ation grades	4.0-	64 (51.2%)	9 (7.3%)	~
	≥7.0	8 (6.4%)	9 (7.3%)	<0.001*
#P	·	<0.001*	<0.001*	
Value of L-Arginie in prolongi	ng pregnancy			
Item		Mean±SE	95% CI	
Prolongation		1.8±0.3	1.3-2.3	
Value of L-Arginie in prolongi	ng pregnancy≥4. 0			
		Value	95% CI	
Rate elevation		43.1%	31.3%-52.7%	
Efficacy		296.8%	152.8%-545.5%	
Relative Rate		3.968	2.53-6.46	
Number needed to treat		2.3	1.9–3.2	

# Table (6): Fetal GA (weeks) among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

Table (7): Estimated fetal weight (gm) among the studied groups

Time	Measures	L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р
Level				
Defene	Mean±SD	1889.5±264.7	1849.4±230.4	0.202
Delore	Range	1347.0-2335.0	1289.0-2336.0	0.203
Deliner	Mean±SD	2321.9±160.6	2037.0±234.8	<0.001*
Denvery	Range	1907.0-2625.0	1558.0-2464.0	<0.001*
Inches	Mean±SD	432.7±157.9	187.6±150.9	<0.001*
Increase	Range	203.0-1072.0	15.0-734.0	<0.001*
	0.000-	17 (13.6%)	107 (86.3%)	0
Increase grades	0.250-	70 (56.0%)	8 (6.5%)	& <0.001*
	≥0.500	38 (30.4%)	9 (7.3%)	<0.001*
#P		<0.001*	<0.001*	
Value of L-Arginie in dec	reasing SD			
Item		Mean±SE	95% CI	
Increase		245.1±19.6	206.5-283.6	
Value of L-Arginie in dec	reasing SD ≥0.25	i0		
		Value	95% CI	
Rate elevation		72.7%	62.2%-80.8%	
Efficacy		530.2%	327.2%-838.8%	
Relative Rate		6.302	4.27-9.39	
Number needed to treat		1.4	1.2–1.6	

^Independent t-test, #Paired t-test, & Chi square test. *Significant. CI: Confidence interval

Time	Measures	L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р	
Inonaca	Mean±SD	127.0±46.4	98.9±43.4	<0.001*	
Increase	Range	84.3-248.0	15.0-192.0	<0.001*	
	0.000-	47 (37.6%)	77 (62.1%)	0	
Increase grades	0.100-	61 (48.8%)	47 (37.9%)	≪ ∽0.001*	
	≥0.200	17 (13.6%)	0 (0.0%)	<b>~0.001</b> "	
Value of L-Arginie in increasing the rate					
Item		Mean±SE	95% CI		
Increase		28.0±5.7	16.8–39.3		
Value of L-Arginie in increasi	ng the rate ≥0.100				
		Value	95% CI		
Rate elevation		24.5%	11.4%-36.8%		
Efficacy		64.6%	25.5%-116.2%		
Relative Rate		1.646	1.26-2.16		
Number needed to treat		4.1	2.7-8.8		

 Table (8): Rate of estimated fetal weight increase (gm/week) among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, *Significant. & Chi square test. CI: Confidence interval

Table (7). Rechatal condition among the studied groups						
Variables		L-Arginie (N=125)	Control (N=124)	Р	RR (95% CI)	
	Mean±SD	5.2±1.7	3.9±1.4	^		
APGAR I	Range	3.0-8.0	3.0-7.0	<0.001*		
ADCAD 5	Mean±SD	5.7±1.9	4.0±1.6	^		
AFGAK 5	Range	3.0-9.0	3.0-8.0	<0.001*		
Preterm (n, %)		05(76.0%)	112 (01 19/)	#	0.83	
		95 (70.0%)	115 (91.170)	0.001*	(0.75-0.93)	
NICU (n, %)		56 (11 8%)	108 (87 1%)	#	0.51	
		30 (44.878)	100 (07.170)	0.001*	(0.42 - 0.63)	

## Table (9): Neonatal condition among the studied groups

^Independent t-test, & Chi square test, #Fisher's Exact test, RR: Relative risk, CI: Confidence interval

## 4. Discussion

The current research study was investigating and comparing two research categorial groups Group A in females received L arginine which pregnant 3000mg/day orally and aspirin 75 mg /day and Group **B** pregnant females in this group received only aspirin 7 mg/day. Improvements in clinical outcomes was observed in both research groups however group A had shown statistical significant improvement various research outcomes were assessed such as birth weight umbilical artery Doppler indices, Apgar scoring level, amniotic fluid index, preterm labour and NICU admission. Concerning birth weight fetal weight statistically significantly increased in both research groups. Fetal weight at delivery and fetal weight increase was statistically significantly higher among L-Arginine research group than among control research group. The explanation of increase of birth weight due to L-arginine which acts as precursors of No which improve fetomaternal circulation via vasodilation. Also L-arginine has anti platelet aggregation effect. As a result of those actions the

blood flow increase in fetomaternal circulation which lead to increase in fetal weight.1,4.

Umbilical artery Doppler improved in both groups, but improved significantly in L-arginine group. We observed a statistically significant reduction in perfusion index of umbilical artery and resistance index too. Amniotic fluid in our study was measured by MVP or AFI. It showed statistically significantly increase in liquor in L-arginine research group. Apgar scoring also showed statistically significantly improvement in L-arginine research group. we thought that happen because of increase in pregnancy weeks so it promotes maturation of fetuses.2,5,9.

Preterm labor was 76.0% among L-arginine research group and 91.1% in the control group. NICU administration was statically significantly lower in L-arginine research group. The current study findings didn't observed any adverse effects of L-arginine, that is justified by the fact that the research team used the minimum therapeutic dose of oral L-arginine furthermore the long term. impact of L-arginine in neonates wasn't investigated.10,13.

Chen J et al. ¹⁴study a meta-analysis showed that L-arginine increased birth weight and prolonged gestational age at labor of IUGR fetuses and these findings are compatible with our findings.

Also In agreement with our study Soni *et al.*¹⁵found that l-arginine supplementation is improving volume of amniotic fluid in cases of oligohydramnios and prolonging pregnancy, allowing fetal lung maturation thus benefiting the neonatal outcome.

Mary *et al.* ¹⁶ study noticed that L-Arginine improves foetal weight more significantly in cases with idiopathic IUGR which computable with our study.

Jurisic *et al.*¹⁷ found that L-arginine diminished umbilical artery resistance significantly and allowed pregnancy to continue which agree with our study.

Bansal et al. ¹⁸proved that l-arginine increase amniotic fluid index in cases of oligohyramnios which agree with our study.

Another prior research study in harmony with the current study findings have revealed and displayed that the oral administration of l-arginine improved pregnancy clinical outcome (The mean birth weight of newborns, and gestational age at delivery was found to be higher amongl-arginine research group).7,19,20.

Another prior research group of investigators conducted a study similar to the current study in approach and methodology have observed interestingly an improvement in Apgar scoringanda decrease in NICU admission that shows great harmony to the current study findings.21,22,23.

Investigators in previous studies have denoted that L-arginine promote intrauterine growth of the fetus by increasing NO productivity therefore consequently improving the umbilical artery flow in pregnant women with pregnancy-induced hypertension and fetal growth restriction.12.

A prior research group observed there was no statistical significant difference in birth weight and Apgar scoring in between group treated with L-Arginine IN comparison to placebo research group in severe IUGR, but the current study investigated only moderate degrees of IUGR. **8,24,25** 

# Conclusions and recommendations for future research

**L-arginine** seems to be a useful management agent for improving asymmetrical mild IUGR fetuses viaraisingnitric oxide levels which enhances the fetomaternal circulatory functional performance. Future research efforts are required to verify the impact and role of oral L-arginine in improve outcome of sever IUGR fetuses in a multicenric fashion putting in consideration long-term safety profile of the drug and cost effectiveness of the course of management.

### References

- 1. Kusinski LC, Stanley JL, Dilworth MR, Hirt CJ, Andersson IJ, Renshall LJ, et al. eNOS knockout mouse as a model of fetal growth restriction with an impaired uterine artery function andplacental transport phenotype. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2012;303(1):86–93.
- 2. Wu G, Bazer FW, Davis TA, Kim SW, Li P, Marc Rhoads J, et al. Arginine metabolism and nutrition in growth, health and disease. Amino Acids. 2009;37:153–68.
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Wallace JM, Spencer TE. Board-invited review intrauterine growth retardation: implications for the animal sciences. J Anim Sci. 2006;84:2316–37.
- 4. Schiessl B, Strasburger C, Bidlingmaier M, Mylonas I, Jeschke U, Kainer F, et al. Plasma and urine concentrations of nitrite/nitrate and cyclic GMP in intrauterine growth restricted and preeclamptic pregnancies. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2006;274:150–4.
- 5. Thureen PJ, Baron KA, Fennessey PV, Hay WW Jr. Ovine placental and fetal arginine and metabolism at normal and increased maternal plasma arginine concentrations. Peads Res. 2002;51: 464–71.
- 6. Montagnani M, Chen H, Barr VA, Quon MJ. Insulin-stimulated activation of eNOS is independent of Ca2? but requires phosphorylation by Akt at Ser1179. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:30392–8.
- Lakhkar BN, Rajagopal KN, Gaurishankar PT. Doppler prediction of adverse perinatal outcome in PIH and IUGR. Indian J Radiol Imag. 2006;16(1):109–16.
- Roy A, Mukherjee S, Bhattacharyya SK, Banerjee P, Das B, Patra KK. Perinatal outcome in pregnancies with intra-uterine growth restriction by using umbilical and middle cerebral artery colour Doppler. J Indian Med Assoc. 2012;110(3):154–7.
- 9. Winer N, Branger B, Azria E, Tsatsaris V, Philippe HJ, Roze' JC, et al. L-arginine treatment for severe vascular fetal intrauterine growth restriction: a randomized double-bind controlled trial. ClinNutr. 2009;28(3):243–8.
- Guoyao Wu, Fuller W. Bazer, M. Carey Satterfield, Xilong Li, Xiaoqiu Wang, Gregory A. Johnson, Robert C. Burghardt, Zhaolai Dai, Junjun Wang, Zhenlong Wu Impacts of arginine nutrition on embryonic and fetal development in mammals Amino Acids (2013) 45:241–256DOI 10.1007/s00726-013-1515-z.
- 11. Shalini Singh, Archana Singh, Deepika Sharma, Abha Singh, M. K. Narula, Jayashree Bhattacharjee Effect of L-Arginine on Nitric

Oxide Levels in Intrauterine Growth Restriction and its Correlation with Fetal Outcome Ind J ClinBiochem (July-Sept 2015) 30(3):298–304 DOI 10.1007/s12291-014-0450-4

- 12. Dodd JM, McLeod A, Windrim RC, Kingdom J. Antithrombotic therapy for improving maternal or infant health outcomes in women considered at risk of placental dysfunction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013, Issue 7.
- SU-FANG SHEN & CAI-HONG HUA Effect of L-arginine on the expression of Bcl-2 and Bax in the placenta of fetal growth restriction The Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, June 2011; 24(6): 822–826.
- Chen J, Gong X, Chen P, Luo K, Zhang X. Effect of L-arginine and sildenafil citrate on intrauterine growth restriction fetuses: a meta-analysis. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2016 Dec;16(1):225.
- Soni A, Garg S, Patel K, Patel Z. Role of l-Arginine in Oligohydramnios. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India. 2016 Oct 1;66(1):279-83.
- Mary V, Bareen H and Padmanaban S. L-Arginine supplementation in iugr and its effect on fetal outcome: A randomised control trial. International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2018; 2(6): 114-117.
- 17. Jurisic A, Jurisic Z, Lefkou E, Pombo J, Girardi G. Pravastatin and-L-arginine combination improves umbilical artery blood flow and neonatal outcomes in dichorionic twin pregnancies through an nitric oxide-dependent vasorelaxant effect. Vascular pharmacology. 2018 Nov 1;110:64-70.

9/25/2019

- Bansal V, Bansal A, Bansal AK. Effectiveness of l-arginine in oligohydramnios on amniotic fluid index.
- 19. Cruz-Lemini M, Crispi F, Van Mieghem T, et al: Risk of perinatal death in early-onset intrauterine growth restriction according to gestational age and cardiovascular Doppler indices: a multicenter study. Fetal Diagn Ther 2012; 32: 116–122.
- 20. Godfrey KM, Haugen G, Kiserud T, Inskip HM, Cooper C, Harvey NC, et al. Fetal liver blood flow distribution: role in human developmental strategy to prioritize fat deposition versus brain development. PLoS One. 2012;7 (8):e41759.
- 21. Lausman A, and Kingdom J. Intrauterine Growth Restriction: Screening, Diagnosis, and Management. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2013;35 (8):741–748.
- 22. March MI, Warsof SL, Chauhan SP. Fetal biometry: relevance in obstetrical practice. ClinObstet Gynecol. 2012;55 (1):281–7.
- 23. Dutta S. Human teratogens and their effects: a critical evaluation. International Journal of Information Research and Review. 2015; 2(03): 525-536.
- 24. Ferrazzi E, Stampalija T, Makarenko K, Casati D. Fetal Growth Restriction (FGR)—Fetal Evaluation and Antepartum Intervention. CurrObstet Gynecol Rep. 2013; 2:112–121.
- 25. Hernandez-Andrade E, Stampalija T and Figuers F (2013): Cerebral blood flow studies in the diagnosis and management of intrauterine growthrestriction. Curr. Opin. Obstet. Gynecol.;25():138-144.