Comparative Study between Combined Metformin - Clomiphene Citrate and Bilateral Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling in Clomiphene Citrate Resistant Infertile Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. A randomized controlled trial

Mohammed Abd El-Razik Ramadan, Ahmed Walid Anwar Morad, Mohammed Ibrahim Mohammed and Aziza Negm

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Benha Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt Aziza.negm@fmed.bu.edu.eg azizanegm25@yahoo.com

Abstract: Aim: To compare the hormonal-metabolic profiles LH, FSH, Fasting Glucose, Fasting Insulin, Serum testosterone level and reproductive outcomes between women receiving metformin and clomiphene and those undergoing bilateral ovarian drilling in clomiphene citrate resistant women. **Methods:** The study included 160 infertile women diagnosed were randomized into two groups; group 1 (80 women) were subjected to Metformin850 mg twice daily and Clomiphene Citrate 100 mg daily for 5 days starting from the third day of spontaneous or induced menses. Group 2 included (80women) were subjected to bilateral laparoscopic ovarian drilling. **Results:** There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in the rates of ovulation (57.8 vs. 60.3% in groups 1 and 2, respectively with p value = 0.495) and pregnancy (13.7% 12.1% respectively; P =0.55). There was no significant difference in the first trimester miscarriage rate between both groups. This study showed that, PCOS patients benefit from metformin treatment with regard to hyperandrogenism. The current study showed a significant decrease in the levels of LH in drilling group. **Conclusions:** The current study showed that LOD and CC plus metformin seem to be two effective approaches to the treatment of patients with CC-resistant PCOS. In view of the invasiveness and cost of surgery, Metformin plus CC should be considered as the best second-line treatment to induce ovulation in patient with CC-resistant PCOS, LOD may still be considered a further valid strategy, specifically in the case of anovulatory patients.

[Mohammed Abd El-Razik Ramadan, Ahmed Walid Anwar Morad, Mohammed Ibrahim Mohammed and Aziza Negm. Comparative Study between Combined Metformin - Clomiphene Citrate and Bilateral Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling in Clomiphene Citrate Resistant Infertile Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. A randomized controlled trial. *Nat Sci* 2018;16(5):100-104]. ISSN 1545-0740 (print); ISSN 2375-7167 (online). http://www.sciencepub.net/nature. 14. doi:10.7537/marsnsj160518.14.

Keywords: Comparative Study; Combined Metformin; Clomiphene Citrate; Bilateral Laparoscopic Ovarian; Drilling; Clomiphene Citrate; Resistant; Infertile; Women

1. Introduction:

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common disorder in women in their reproductive years and is by androgen excess, ovulatory characterized dysfunction, and polycystic ovarian morphology. It is also associated with several metabolic abnormalities, particularly insulin resistance and obesity, which play an important role in the pathophysiology of PCOS and, in particular, negatively influence ovarian function and fertility (Pasquali. 2018). Insulin sensitizers are a useful tool for the long-term management of reproductive outcomes of PCOS (Conway et al., 2014). Metformin use in PCOS women is also supported by its favorable effects on insulin resistance (Moghetti et al., 2015). Metformin plus CCco-administration improves fertility outcomes in infertile CC-resistant patients with PCOS with no other infertility factors (Palomba et al., 2018). CC has been the first line medical treatment for ovulation in PCOS patients for over 60 years (Samara and Casper., 2018). Laparoscopic ovarian drilling (LOD) is still a controversial decision; (Sevam and Hefzy., **2018).** As a reflection, various oral ovulation induction agents have also evolved over time competing with LOD for treatment of CC resistant PCOS. As the pendulum swings for ovulatory agents prescribing for women with CC-resistant PCOS, we must ensure that LOD will not harm the patient by any iatrogenic complication such as pelvic adhesions or premature ovarian failure (Hashim, 2018).

2. Patients and Methods:

This randomized controlled study was conducted at Benha University Hospital and included 160 young infertile women with clomiphene citrate resistant polycystic ovary syndrome during the period from April 2014 to July 2017 to compare the hormonalmetabolic profiles LH, FSH, FG, FI, Serum testosterone level and reproductive outcomes between women receiving metformin and CC (Group 1) and those undergoing LOD (Group 2). Clomiphene Citrate- resistance is defined as failure to achieve adequate follicular maturation after 3 consecutive induction cycles with clomiphene citrate at 150 mg/day for 5 days (Shapiro et al., 2017). PCOS was defined based on Rotterdam criteria. Patients were collected among those attending the infertility clinic. Women with other causes of infertility as male factor or tubal factor and other endocrine disorders as thyroid dysfunction and hyperprolactinemia were excluded.

The sample size was determined using the following equation:- $\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{Z}^{2*}\mathbf{P}^*\mathbf{Q})/(\mathbf{E}^2)$. Informed consent was obtained from each participant after explaining the study purpose and procedures to all enrolled women. Basal hormone assays had been be performed with Transvaginal ultrasound. After 6 months, all the previous investigations were repeated.

The participant women were randomized according to computer generated random numeric table. The random allocation sequence were concealed in sealed dark envelope

During the 6 months of treatment, the ovulation, pregnancy and abortion rates were evaluated in each woman.. Ovulation rate, Pregnancy rate and abortion rate were calculated.

3. Results:

The Statistics Package for Social Science (version 22; SPSS Inc) was used for all statistical analyses.



Fig. (1): shows the flow chart of the study.

The analyzed data were collected and tabulated and the following results were obtained.

I. Baseline characteristics:

| Groups Variables | Group 1 Mean±SD | Group 2 Mean±SD | Test of significance | P-value |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| Age | 25.9±2.5 | 26±2.3 | Mann-Whitney U test $U = 3.091$ | 0.753 |
| BMI | 28.9±2.4 | 29.6±2.7 | independent-samples t test t $(153) = 1.86$ | 0.061 |
| Infertility Period | 3.1±0.6 | 3.3±0.6 | Mann-Whitney U test $U=3.27$ | 0.338 |
| Menstrual irregularity | 62(80.5%) | 65 (83.3%) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=155) = 0.207$ | 0.649 |
| FSH | 6.1±1 | 6.2±1.3 | independent-samples t test t $(153) = 0.552$ | 0.634 |
| LH | 8.6±0.94 | 8.9±1.8 | Mann-Whitney U test $U = 3.55$ | 0.199 |
| Testosterone | 1.6±0.5 | 1.7±0.5 | independent-samples t test t $(153) = 1.59$ | 0.87 |
| Fasting blood Glucose | 84.9±3.9 | 83.7±4.5 | Mann-Whitney U test $U = 2.47$ | 0.056 |
| Fasting Insulin | 13.4±1.3 | 13.2±3.3 | independent-samples t test t $(153) = 1.86$ | 0.339 |

Table (1): Clinical, hormonal, and metabolic data of women in group 1 and group 2 at studyentry.

These data shows that there was no statistical significant difference between the 2 groups regarding baseline characteristics

II. Clinical outcome:

Table (2): Comparison between group 1 and group 2 according to the menstrual regularity before and after treatment.

| | Before | After | test | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|-----------------|
| Group 1 (CC plus metformin) | 15/77(19.5%) | 23/33 (69.7%) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=110) = 1.98$ | $p \le 0.001*$ |
| Group 2 (LOD) | 13/78 (16.7%) | 23/34(67.6%) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=112) = 2.8$ | $p \le 0.001)*$ |

Six months after treatment CC plus metformin group (Group 1) showed significant improvement of cycle regularity ($p \le 0.001$).

On the other side ovarian drilling group (Group 2) showed significant improvement of cycle regularity ($p \le 0.001$).

The proportion of women who achieved a regular menstrual cycle was higher in the CC plus metformin group when compared with the LOD group, but the difference using Chi square (χ^2) test was not statistically significant $\chi^2(1, N= 67) = 0.033$, (p = 0.86).

III. Reproductive outcome data:

| Table (3): Reproductive outcome | s in PCOS women treated with CC r | plus metformin (group 1) or LC | D (group 2) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Hubic (b): Reproductive outcome | s in realed women acaded with eep | plus metiorinin (group 1) of Lo | D (Group 2) |

| Reproductive outcomes | Group 1 | Group 2 | TEST | P value |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|---------|
| Ovulation rate (no. ovulating cycles / no. cycles). | 186/322 (57.8 %) | 219/363 (60.3%) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=685) = 0.465$ | 0.495 |
| Pregnancy rate (no. pregnancies / no. cycles) | 44/322 (13.7 %) | 44/363 (12.1 %) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=685) = 0.363$ | 0.55 |
| Abortion rate (no. abortion / no. pregnancies) | 6/44 (13.6 %) | 8/44 (18.2%) | Chi square (χ^2) test $\chi^2(1, N=88) = 0.34$ | 0.56 |

There was no significant difference in ovulation, pregnancy and abortion rates between both groups (p = 0.49, 0.55 and 0.56 respectively).

Multiple pregnancies: None of the women had multiple pregnancies.

1- Biochemical outcomes:

| Hormonal and metabolic profile [mean ± (SD)] | Before | After | TEST | P value |
|--|----------|----------|---|---------|
| FSH | 6.6±1.8 | 6.6±1.9 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 0.24$ | 0.97 |
| LH | 8.8±1 | 7.6±1.3 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 47.13$ | ≤0.001* |
| Testosterone | 1.9±0.3 | 1.6±0.3 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 32.67$ | ≤0.001* |
| FG | 85±4 | 84.2±8.6 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 0.24$ | 0.59 |
| FI | 13.7±1.2 | 12.3±1.5 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 1.144$ | ≤0.001* |

Table (4): Hormonal and metabolic data of women with polycystic ovary Syndrome treated with group 1.

There was significant decrease in LH level (p \leq 0.001), testosterone level (p \leq 0.001) and FI (p \leq 0.001), while other hormonal and metabolic levels

showed no significant changes by using Mixed ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test for multiple comparison.

| Tuble (c), Hormonaly and metabolie data of wollien with polycystic ovary synarome reacted with group 2 | Table (5): Hormonal, and metabolic data | of women with polycystic ovan | ry Syndrome treated with group 2 |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|

| Hormonal and metabolic profile [mean ± (SD)] | Before | After | TEST | P value |
|--|----------|----------|---|---------|
| FSH xc | 6.5±1.17 | 6.4±1.5 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 0.24$ | 0.46 |
| LH | 8.5±1.4 | 8.4±1.25 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 47.13$ | ≤0.001* |
| Testosterone | 1.8±0.45 | 1.5±0.6 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 32.67$ | 0.002 |
| FG | 83.8±4.1 | 82.3±6.3 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 1.144$ | 0.34 |
| FI | 12.1±2.9 | 11.9±2.2 | ANOVA with repeated measure $F(1,65) = 0.24$ | 0.58 |

There was significant decrease in LH level ($p \le 0.001$) and testosterone level (p 0.002), while other hormonal and metabolic levels showed no significant changes.

There was no statistical difference between the two groups before and after receiving the treatment both are equally effective regarding the hormonal and metabolic parameters measured by using Mixed ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test for multiple comparisons.

4. Discussion:

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in the rates of ovulation (57.8 vs. 60.3%in groups 1 and 2, respectively with p value = 0.495) and pregnancy (13.7% 12.1% respectively; P =0.55) These results are consistent with) Malkawi et al., 2003 (who found no significant difference in the ovulation or pregnancy rates between patients who received metformin or LOD and disagree with Palomba, 2004 who reported a higher pregnancy rate in the metformin group also disagree with) Hamed et al., 2010, El Sharkwy., 2013 (that reported Ovulation and pregnancy rates were better in the unilateral drilling group compared with metformin.

When ranked according to efficacy of ovulation induction, the systemic review found out that the clomiphene citrate in combination with metformin was the most efficacious followed by follicle-stimulating hormone, letrozole, metformin, clomiphene, tamoxifen, laparoscopic ovarian drilling, and placebo or no treatment in that order. This when ranked in percentage efficacy of effectiveness showed 90, 82, 80, 50, 46, 27, 22 and 3%, respectively (Wang et al., 2017).

In the present study there was no significant difference in the first trimester miscarriage rate between both groups. These results are consistent with those of (Hamed et al. 2010) in contrast to those of) Palomba et al. 2004) who reported significantly lower miscarriage rates with metformin compared with LOD also consistent with the results of a systematic review of 17 randomized controlled trials published up to June 2008 and subsequent meta-analysis evaluating the effect of pre-gestational metformin administration on the abortion risk in PCOS patients. Overall, metformin was found to have no effect on the abortion risk in PCOS patients when administered before pregnancy (Palomba et al., 2009).

This study showed that, PCOS patients benefit from metformin treatment with regard to hyperandrogenism. Metformin possibly exerts this effect through increasing sex hormone binding globulins (SHBG), modulation of adrenal androgen production, or decreasing intra ovarian androgen production (Pirwany and Tulandi, 2003) Also a significant reduction in FI that could be explained by the metabolic actions of metformin were seen. It inhibits hepatic glucose output and improves the peripheral insulin sensitivity with an increase in glucose utilization by skeletal muscles (Norman, 2004), the decrease in testosterone and FI in addition to improved FG/FI ratio are consistent with those reported by (Palombaet al., 2010). Also (Trolle et al., 2007) who studied the effect of metformin treatment on obese and non-obese women in a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled cross-over trial concluded that metformin therapy significantly reduced testosterone and improved insulin resistance in obese women. Our findings are in contrast to (Aruna et al., 2004). Who found no changes were noticed in the total testosterone and FI these different results can be attributed to criteria of their patient selection.

The current study showed a significant decrease in the levels of LH in drilling group that could be explained by the reduction of ovarian theca cell mass and consequently androgen production. Converting this androgen to an estrogen corrects disturbances of the ovarian-pituitary feedback, resulting in a decrease of luteinizing hormone pulse amplitude (Farquhar, 2004).

5. Conclusion:

The current RCT showed that LOD and CC plus metformin seem to be two effective approaches to the treatment of patients with CC-resistant PCOS. Even if, wider trials to detect statistically significant differences between the 2 different strategies are recommended. In view of the invasiveness and cost of surgery, Metformin plus CC should be considered as the best second-line treatment to induce ovulation in patient with CC-resistant PCOS, LOD may still be considered a further valid strategy, specifically in the case of anovulatory patients.

References:

- 1. Aruna J, Mittal S, Kumar S, Misra R, Dadhwal V, et al. Metformin therapy in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2004; 87(3):237–241.
- Conway G, Dewailly D, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Escobar-Morreale HF, Franks S, The polycystic ovary syndrome: a position statement from the European Society of Endocrinology. Eur J Endocrinol. 2014; 171(4): 1-29.
- 3. El Sharkwy IA. Metforminversus laparoscopic unilateral ovarian drilling in clomiphene resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle East Fertil Soc J. 2013;18:202-207.
- 4. Farquhar CM. The role of ovarian surgery in polycystic ovary syndrome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.2004; 18(5):789–802.
- Hamed HO, Hasan AF, Ahmed OG, Ahmed MA. Metformin versus laparoscopic ovarian drilling in clomiphene- and insulin resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2010; 108(2):143-147.
- 6. Hashim HA. Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling. In: Palomba S. (eds) Infertility in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Springer, Cham.2018:195-211.
- Malkawi HY, Qublan HS, Hamaideh AH. Medical vs. surgical treatment for clomiphene citrate-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2003; 23(3): 289– 293.

- Moghetti P, Carmina E, De Leo V, Lanzone A, 8. Orio F, et al. How to manage the reproductive issues of PCOS: а 2015 integrated endocrinological and gynecological consensus of Italian Society statement the of Endocrinology. J Endocrinol Invest. 2015; 38(9):1025-1037.
- Moll E, Veen F, Vanwely M. The role of metformin in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update. 2007; 13(6):527-537.
- Norman RJ. Editorial: metformin comparison with other therapies in ovulation induction in polycystic ovary syndrome. JClin Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 89(10):4797–4800.
- 11. Palomba S, Falbo A, La Sala GB. Insulin-Sensitising Drugs. In: Palomba S. (eds) Infertility in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Springer, Cham.2018; 135-152.
- Palomba S, Falbo A, Russo T, Orio F, Tolino A, et al. Systemic and local effects of metformin administration in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: (PCOS) relationship to the ovulatory response. Hum Reprod 2010; 25(4):1005–1013.
- Palomba S, Orio F, Falbo A, Russo T, Caterina G, Et al. Metformin administration and laparoscopic ovarian drilling improve ovarian response to clomiphene citrate (CC) in oligo anovulatory CC resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol. 2005;63(6):631-635.
- 14. Palomba S, Orio Jr F, Nardo LG, Falbo A. Metformin administration versus laparoscopic ovarian diathermy in clomiphene citrate-resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome a prospective parallel randomized double-blind

placebo controlled trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89(10):4797–4800.

- 15. Palomba S, Falbo A, Orio F Jr, Zullo F. Effect of preconceptional metformin on abortion risk in polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Fertil Steril. 2009; 92(5):1646–1658.
- 16. Pasquali R. Contemporary approaches to the management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2018; 9(4): 123 134.
- 17. Pirwany IR, Tulandi T. Laparoscopic treatment of polycystic ovaries: is it time to relinquish the procedure?. Fertil Steril. 2003; 80(2):241–251.
- Samara N and Casper RF. Aromatase Inhibitors. In: Palomba S. (eds) Infertility in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Springer, Cham 2018:119-133.
- 19. Seyam E and Hefzy E. Tumor necrosis factor alpha versus LH and androstendione as a reliable predictor of spontaneous ovulation after laparoscopic ovarian drilling for women with clomiphene citrate resistance polycystic ovarian disease. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2018; 222:126-133.
- 20. Shapiro A, Kashani B, Seungdamrong A., "Oral Ovulation Induction Agents." Topics in Obstetrics & Gynecology.2017; 37(13): 1-7.
- 21. Trolle B, Flyvbjerg A, Kesmodel U, Lauszus FF. Efficacy of metformin in obese and non-obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled cross-over trial. Hum Reprod 2007; 22(11):2967–2973.
- 22. Wang R, Kim BV, Wely MV, Johnson NP, Costello MF, et al. Treatment strategies for women with WHO group II anovulation: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ. 2017; 356: j136.

4/9/2018