

Timber Resource Status Of Ehor Forest Reserve In Uhunmwode Local Government Area Of Edo State, Nigeria

Jane Ihenyen; Okoegwale E.E and Mensah J.K

Department of Botany,
Ambrose Alli University,
Ekpoma, Edo State
Nigeria

inejanet@yahoo.com.

Abstract: Five sample plots of 30m × 30m were laid out in each of three selected compartments (81, 95 and 112) of 1.6 square kilometer within the Ehor Forest Reserve of Edo State, Nigeria. Fifty-four timber species were identified with compartment 81 having the highest number of species of thirty-four (34) and compartment 112 the least with thirty (30) species. Compartment 95 had thirty-three while the three compartments have eighteen species common to them. The following eleven species *Azelia africana*, *Albizia zygia*, *Bombax brevicuspe*, *Cordia millenii*, *Dacryodes edulis*, *Irvingia gabonensis*, *Khaya grandifoliola*, *Mansonia altissima*, *Milicia excelsa*, *Nauclea diderrichii*, *Terminalia ivorensis* were represented by only one stand in the three compartments covering an area of 4.8 square kilometers. This translates into 0.002 stand/hectare. It was therefore deduced that these eleven timber species were under threat of extinction from the reserve and therefore need to be conserved for their values. [Nature and Science. 2009;7(8):19-25]. (ISSN 1545-0740).

Keywords: Timber, threatened, extinction, conservation.

Introduction

Timber is wood in a form suitable for construction or carpentry, joinery or for reconversion to manufacturing purpose. Standing trees or felled trees capable of being converted for these purposes. In carpentry, a structural member (Anonymous 1973). According to Cunningham *et al.* (2005) timber accounts for about half of worldwide wood consumption. This exceeds the use of steel and plastic combined. It occurs in low density in most tropical forests hence large areas tend to be exploited diffusely to extract a few prized logs. Yield of the most valuable timber species often decline owing to initial overcutting and failure to leave sufficient seed trees (Kellman and Tackaberry, 1993). There were 58 commercial timber trees in Nigeria (Anonymous 1973). Gill and Okoegwale (1991) added sixteen (16) more species.

In order to reduce pressure on the popular timber species, newer species are being added to the popular ones. For instance, *Celtis zenkeri* which was not regarded as economic is now being heavily logged and currently has become one of the popular timber species (Isichei, 1995). Ola-Adams and Iyamabo (1977), cited by Omoregbe (2004) reported that only seventeen species of timber were thought to be of economic importance in 1950 but by 1975 the number had increased to forty-seven (47). This increase is as a result of technological advancement in forestry leading to improvement and multipurpose utilization of the non-timber resources. As at now, the number of commercial species is much more than that of ten years ago.

This study was undertaken to investigate and document the number of timber species present in Ehor Forest Reserve in order to provide a baseline

information on the current timber resource richness of the reserve.

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Location: Ehor Forest Reserve occupied an area of 76.8 square kilometers in Uhumwode Local Government area of Edo State, Nigeria. It is located between latitudes $6^{\circ} 34'N$ and $6^{\circ} 38'N$ and longitudes $5^{\circ} 54'E$ and $5^{\circ} 58'E$ fifty-six kilometers north of the state capital, Benin-City. It is divided into forty-eight compartments of 1.6 square kilometers each. The Orhionmwon River runs through the reserve. It is surrounded by nine villages viz: Ohe, Eguaholor, Egbisi, Ugieghudu, Uhi, Iriwe, Erhue, Evbowe and Ekudo. There are no settlements within the reserve.

2.2 Survey Method: Three compartments based on their state of degradation were sampled. They were

compartment 81 on the western end of the reserve which is the least degraded, 95 which is centrally located and the most degraded of the three compartments and 112 at the eastern end of the reserve (Fig 1). This is to have an adequate representation of the whole forest reserve. Five sample plots of $30m \times 30m$ were laid out in a randomized complete block design in each compartment using improvised wooden pegs. The timber trees in each plot were identified and the density of each species per compartments noted. The density was assessed by physically counting the number of stands for each species.

Plant identification was done by using Keay *et al.*(1964) and Hopkins (1974). The timber species were confirmed using Anonymous (1973) and Gill and Okoegwale (1991).



Figure 1: Map of Ehor Forest Reserve showing Compartments of Study

3.0 Results

Fifty-four timber species encountered in the reserve are presented in Table 1. Eighteen of them were common to the three compartments of study while seven (7), eight (8) and ten (10) were peculiar to compartments 81, 95 and 112 respectively (Table 2).

Compartments 81 and 95 have *Alstonia boonei*, *Antiaris africana*, *Khaya ivorensis*, *Piptadeniastrum africanum* and *Triplochiton scleroxylon* in common; 81 and 112 have *Allanblackia*

floribunda, *Ceiba pentandra*, *Nesogordonia papaverifera* and *Pterocarpus osun* in common while 95 and 112 have *Diospyros mespiliformis* and *Hannoa Klaineana* in common. Compartment 81 has the highest timber species of thirty-four (34) followed by 95 with 33 and 112 with 30 species. *Celtis zenkeri* had the highest density of 0.269 stand per hectare while ten (10) species had the lowest density of 0.002 stand per hectare.

TABLE 1: Timber species in the compartments of study

Timber species	Density/hectare
<i>Afzelia Africana</i> Sm.	0.002
<i>Albizia ferruginea</i> (Guill & Perr.) Benth	0.045
<i>Albizia lebbek</i> (L.) Benth	0.004
<i>Albizia zygia</i> (DC.) J.E. Machr.	0.002
<i>Allanblackia floribunda</i> Oliv.	0.006
<i>Alstonia boonei</i> De Wild.	0.040
<i>Antiaris africana</i> Engl.	0.013
<i>Antiaris welwitschii</i> Engl.	0.042
<i>Berlinia grandiflora</i> (Vahl) Hutch. & Dalz.	0.088
<i>Blighia sapida</i> Konig	0.108
<i>Bombax brevicuspe</i> Sprague	0.002
<i>Bosqueia angolensis</i> Ficalho	0.054
<i>Brachystegia nigerica</i> Holye & A.P.D. Jones	0.169
<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> L.	0.023
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i> (L.) Garten	0.004
<i>Celtis zenkeri</i> Engl.	0.269
<i>Chrysophyllum delevoyi</i> De Wild	0.015
<i>Cleistopollis patens</i> (Benth.) Engl. & Diels,	0.050
<i>Combretodendron macrocarpum</i> (P.Beauv.) Keay	0.046
<i>Cordia millenii</i> Bak.	0.002
<i>Cylicodiscus gabunensis</i> Harms	0.006
<i>Dacryodes edulis</i> (G.Don) H.J. Lam	0.002
<i>Daniellia ogea</i> (Harms) Rolfe ex Holl.	0.094
<i>Diospyros alboflavescens</i> (Gurke) F. White	0.045
<i>Diospyros dendo</i> Welw. Ex Hien.	0.006
<i>Diospyros mesipiliformis</i> Hochst ex A. DC.	0.017
<i>Distemonanthus benthamianus</i> Baill.	0.006
<i>Entandrophragma angolense</i> (Welw.) C.DC	0.013
<i>Fagara macrophylla</i> Engl.	0.060
<i>Funtumia elastica</i> (Preuss) Stapf.	0.056
<i>Gossweilorodendron balsamiferum</i> (Verm.) Harms	0.004
<i>Guarea cedrata</i> (A. Chev.) Pellgr.	0.121
<i>Hannoa klaineana</i> Pierre & Engl.	0.045
<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i> (Auby-Lecomte ex O. Rorke) Baill.	0.002
<i>Irvingia grandifolia</i> Engl.	0.004
<i>Khaya grandifoliola</i> C.DC	0.002
<i>Khaya ivorensis</i> A.Chev.	0.056
<i>Lophira alata</i> Banks ex Gaetnf.	0.023
<i>Lovoa trichilioides</i> Harms	0.006
<i>Mansonina altissima</i> A. Chev.	0.002
<i>Milicia excelsa</i> (Welw.) C.C. Berg	0.002
<i>Musanga cecropioides</i> R.Br	0.142
<i>Nauclea diderrichii</i> (De Wild & Th. Dun.) Merrill	0.002

<i>Nesogordonia papaverifera</i> (A.Chev.) R. Capuron	0.023
<i>Pachyelasma tessmannii</i> (Harms) Harms	0.006
<i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i> Benth.	0.140
<i>Piptadeniastrum africanum</i> , (Hook F.) Brenam	0.027
<i>Pterocarpus osun</i> Craib	0.006
<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb.	0.069
<i>Ricinodendron heudelotii</i> (Baill.) Pierre ex Pax.	0.104
<i>Sterculia oblonga</i> Mast.	0.035
<i>Strombosia postulate</i> Oliv.	0.102
<i>Terminalia ivorensis</i> A. Chev.	0.002
<i>Triplochiton scleroxylon</i> R. Schum.	0.008

TABLE 2: Timber species according to their distribution in the three compartments

Common to all Compartments	Peculiar to each compartment of study		
	Compartment 81	Compartment 95	Compartment 112
<i>Antiaris welwitschii</i>	<i>Afzelia africana</i>	<i>Albizia ferruginea</i>	<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>
<i>Berlinia grandiflora</i>	<i>Cordia millenii</i>	<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i>	<i>Albizia zygia</i>
<i>Blighia sapida</i>	<i>Cylicodiscus gabunensis</i>	<i>Chrysophyllum delevoiyi</i>	<i>Bombax brevicuspe</i>
<i>Bosqueia angolensis</i>	<i>Diospyros alboflavescens</i>	<i>Combretodendron macrocarpum</i>	<i>Diospyros dendo</i>
<i>Brachystegia nigerica</i>	<i>Gossweilorodendron balsaminiferum</i>	<i>Dacryodes edulis</i>	<i>Irvingia gabonensis</i>
<i>Celtis zenkeri</i>	<i>Milicia excelsa</i>	<i>Lovoa trichilioides</i>	<i>Irvingia grandifolia</i>
<i>Cleistopholis patens</i>	<i>Pachyelasma tessmannii</i>	<i>Sterculia oblonga</i>	<i>Khaya Grandifoliola</i>
<i>Daniellia ogea</i>		<i>Terminalia ivorensis</i>	<i>Lophira alata</i>
<i>Distemonanthus benthamianus</i>			<i>Mansonia altissima</i>
<i>Entandrophragma angolense</i>			<i>Nauclea diderrichii</i>
<i>Fagara macrophylla</i>			
<i>Funtumia elastica</i>			
<i>Guarea cedrata</i>			
<i>Musanga cecropioides</i>			
<i>Pentaclethra macrophylla</i>			
<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i>			
<i>Ricinodendron heudelotii</i>			
<i>Strombosia postulate</i>			

Discussion

Of the seventy-four documented timber species in Nigeria (Anonymous 1973; Gill & Okoegwale 1991) fifty-four were found in the Ehor Forest Reserve so the reserve could be said to be rich in timber species though low in density of such species. Species like *Azelia africana*, *Albizia zygia*, *Bombax brevicuspe*, *Cordia millenii*, *Dacryodes edulis*, *Irvingia gabonensis*, *Khaya grandifoliola*, *Mansonia altissima*, *Milicia excelsa*, *Nauclea diderrichii* and *Terminalia ivorensis* were represented by only one stand. The results from the present investigations showed that these species were under threat of extinction from the reserve due to over harvesting. *I gabonensis* which also doubles as food crop was reported by Okafor (1980) as having a very low density of less than three stems per hectare in the forest. Almost thirty years later, the situation has degenerated. The density per hectare of these threatened timbers which are mostly the prized species translates to 0.002. Another timber which also doubles as food crop is *D.edulis* which has been domesticated in many communities in Edo State as a means of conserving the plant due to its depletion in the forest because of the frequency of its use.

Timber and its products play very important roles in our daily lives. In the house construction industry alone, it account for about 10-15% of the total cost of the building (Ratra and Panwar, 1980) so efforts should be made to conserve these timber species.

Conclusion

This study revealed that Ehor Forest Reserve is relatively rich in timber species though very poor in density.

None of the timber species encountered translates to one stand per hectare. *Celtis zenkeri* was the most abundant with a total of 129 stands in the three compartments of study. This translates to 0.269 stands per hectare. Urgent steps therefore need to be taken to arrest the dwindling density of timber in our forests by restocking the forest with these timber species and domesticating those that double as food crops.

Acknowledgement

We appreciate the Ambrose Alli University administration for funding this research and Pharm. (Dr.) Omone Ihenyen for typing this work.

All correspondence should be addressed to Dr. (Mrs.) Jane Ihenyen,

Department of Botany,
Ambrose Alli University,
Ekpoma, Edo State.
Nigeria.
E-mail: inejanet@yahoo.com

References

1. Anonymous: Nigeria Timber Standard. Technical Committee on Building and Construction. Nigeria Code of Practice 2, 1973; 71 p.
2. Cunningham PW, Cunningham MA and Saigo B. Environmental Science: A Global Concern. 8th edition. McGraw Hill 2005; 600 p.
3. Gill LS and Okoegwale EE: Nigeria Timber 1: Their Physical Properties and Possible End-uses. Wood News 1991; 1 (3): 39-41.

4. Hopkins B: Forest and Savanna. 2nd Edition, Heinemann Press, Ibadan. 1974; 154p.
5. Isichei AO: Omo Biosphere Reserve, Current Status, Utilization of Biological Resources and Sustainable Management. South-South Corporation Programme on Environmentally sound Socio-Economic Development in the Humid Tropics. Working paper No11: 1995.
6. Keay RWJ, Onochie CFA and Stanfield DP: Nigerian Trees. Vols 1 and 2; Dept. of Forest Research, Ibadan. 1964; 334p and 495p.
7. Kellman M and Tackaberry R: Tropical Environments- the Functioning and Management of Tropical Ecosystems. Routledge publication London and New York. 1997: 594p.
8. Okafor JC: Edible Indigenous Woody Plants in the Rural Economy of the Nigerian forest zone. Forest Ecology and Management 1980: 3:45-55.
9. Omoregbe RU: Status of Flora Biodiversity and Exploitation of Biological Resources in Sakponba Forest Reserve Edo State. M.Sc. Thesis. Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma. 2004: 133p.
10. Ratra RS and Panwar SK: Promotion of Lesser-known species of Timber. In Kumar S, ed. "Testing of Wood Products, Rationalization of Methodologies and procedures". India academy of wood science Dehra Dun, India. 1980: pp 23-24.