Expression of the C-terminal family 22 carbohydrate-binding module of xylanase 10B of *Clostridium thermocellum* in tobacco plant[☆]

Olawole O. Obembe[1,2,*]

[1]*Laboratory of Plant Breeding, Box* 386, 6700*AJ, Wageningen, the Netherlands*; [2]*Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, PMB* 1023, *Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria*

Abstract

Carbohydrate-binding modules have been shown to alter plant cell wall structural architecture. Hence, they have the potential application of being used to engineer the plant to produce tailor-made natural fibers in the cell wall. The *Clostridium thermocellum* xylanase, Xyn10B, contains two carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs) that belong to family 22 (CBM22). The C-terminal CBM22-2 of the glycoside hydrolase (GH) 10 had been characterized to interact with xylan, a major hemicellulosic component in the secondary cell wall of plants. In this work, the expression of the CBM22-2 in transgenic tobacco plants was evaluated. Histological examinations of the transgenic stems did not reveal marked cell wall phenotype. In addition, there were no observable changes in the height or the appearance of the transgenic plants expressing the CBM22-2 module. The results indicate that the family 22 carbohydrate binding module is not a potential candidate for use in planta modification of the cell wall. [Life Science Journal. 2008; 5(3): 14 – 18] (ISSN: 1097 – 8135).

Keywords: carbohydrate binding module; xylan binding protein; cell wall modification; cellulose-hemicellulose network; *Nicotiana tabacum*

1 Introduction

Plant cell walls are dynamic, highly complex extra-cytoplasmic matrix consisting of various polysaccharides that interact with each other, through extensive and closely-knit networks (Neill and York, 2003). As a result of this intricate structure of the cell wall, access of cell wall degrading enzymes to their various target polysaccharides is restricted (Hall *et al*, 1995). In order to overcome this challenge, plant cell wall hydrolytic enzymes have evolved a complex modular architecture comprising a catalytic module appended to one or more non-catalytic carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) (Boraston *et al*, 2004). The CBMs do not only bind the enzyme to the polysaccharides, thereby increasing the local concentra-tion of the enzyme and leading to more effective degradation (Bolam *et al*, 1998; Gill *et al*, 1999). But some are also involved in substrate disruption and therefore, making the substrate more available for the catalytic module (Din *et al*, 1994; Southall *et al*, 1999).

The work of Din *et al* (1991) indicated that CBMs have potential in modification of natural fiber. They showed that modification of the polysaccharide structure could be achieved with isolated CBMs. It has been shown that CBMs can modulate cell wall structure (Obembe *et al*, 2007a) as well as growth of transgenic plants (Kilburn *et al*, 2000; Obembe *et al*, 2007b; Quentin, 2003; Safra-Dassa *et al*, 2006; Shoseyov, 2001). The expression of the CBM3 gene from *Clostridium cellulovorans* in potato plants (Safra-Dassa *et al*, 2006) and poplar tree plants (Shoseyov, 2001) was reported to enhance the growth rate of the transgenic plants. Similarly, Kilburn *et al* (2000) reported that transgenic plants expressing a mannan-recognising CBM27 exhibited enhanced plant growth. However, a reduction in growth rate as well as delayed plant development was reported for transgenic tobacco

plants expressing the promiscuous CBM29-1-2 from a non-catalytic protein 1, NCP1, of the *Piromyces equi* cellulase/hemicellulase complex (Obembe *et al*, 2007b).

The family 22 CBMs are often found with a family 10 glycoside hydrolase catalytic module (GH10) (Devillard *et al*, 2003; Feng *et al*, 2000; Fontes *et al*, 1995; Sunna *et al*, 2000). Xylanase, Xyn10B from *Clostridium thermocellum* (*C. thermocellum)* is a modular enzyme that contains two of such family 22 carbohydrate binding modules, one at the N-terminal (CBM22-1) and the other at the C-terminal (CBM22-2) of the GH10 (Fontes *et al*, 1995). The C-terminal CBM22-2 was shown to interact with purified xylan through its Trp 53, Tyr 103, and Glu 138 (Xie *et al*, 2001). It is of interest to note that members of the family CBM22 also exist in *Arabidopsis* and *Populus* (Henrissat *et al*, 2001; Suzuki *et al*, 2002; Kallas, 2006).

It had been previously suggested that specific CBMs, such as CBM22-2 could be used especially to prevent the binding of xylan to cellulose during biosynthesis, with a view to producing cellulose fibers with enhanced properties (Obembe, 2006). In this work, the effect of expressing the CBM22-2 in transgenic tobacco plants was investigated.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Preparation of transformation construct

The CBM22-2 construct was prepared by amplifying the gene fragment from pET21a, recombinant plasmid vector used for cloning and expression in *Escherichia coli* (Xie *et al*, 2001). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using primers that included *Bam*-HI and *Sma*I recognition sites (5'- cg**ggatcc**gttcatgctactaactgttgtt-3' and 5'-tcc**cccggg**cctttaattaattgcgtcatcgt-3'; the *Bam*HI and *Sma*I sites, respectively, are underlined). The three bases highlighted in bold type are the stop codon. The amplified fragment of the tandem CBM22-2 was digested with *Bam*HI and *Sma*I (Invitrogen, The Netherlands) and cloned into a similarly digested binary vector pGreen7k (Hellens *et al*, 2000) as described (Obembe *et al*, 2007a). Upstream of the expansin fragment in the binary vector, two more gene fragments. The first sequence codes for a tobacco transit peptide for transporting a cellular glycoprotein NTP303 across the plasma membrane into the cell wall (Wittink *et al*, 2000), while the second sequence encodes a hexa-histidine tag. The tag was placed so as to facilitate affinity purification of the expressed protein. Cloning of these upstream components was done as described by Obembe *et al* (2007a). The control construct did not contain any of the CBMs,

the transit peptide and the hexa-histidine epitope tag. All constructs were sequenced to verify that the sequences encoding the transit peptide, hexa-histidine tag, and expansin CBM were in frame.

2.2 Tobacco transformation, regeneration and growth

The CBM22-2 construct and the empty vector control construct were used for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of *in vitro* leaf explants of *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Samsun NN as follows as described by Obembe *at al* (2007a). Thirteen transformed plantlets were transferred to the greenhouse to generate mature plants.

2.3 RNA gel blot analysis of transgenic plants

Total RNA was isolated from 3 to 5 g transformed *in-vitro* shoots as described elsewhere (Kuipers *et al*, 1995). Separation of RNA on agarose gel, blotting onto a nylon membrane, hybridizing with labeled probes of CBM22-2 and the exposure of radioactively labeled to imaging films were carried out as described (Obembe *et al*, 2007a).

2.4 Light microscopy

Three individual plants per transgenic tobacco line and three wild-type plants, as control, were used for microscopic examination. Three transgenic lines were used (two high expressers and one low expresser). Stem sampling, fixing, embedding, sectioning and examination under a bright field microscope were carried out as described (Obembe *et al*, 2007a).

3 Results and Discussion

It has been shown previously that CBMs has the capabilities of being used to engineer the plant to produce tailor-made natural fibers in the cell wall (Obembe *et al*, 2007b). To express the *C. thermocellum*-derived xylan-binding module in tobacco plants, the CBM22-2 gene was cloned into a binary plasmid pGreen7k, the expression of which was driven by the CaMV 35S promoter. The plasmid pGreen7k without the CBM gene was used as a vector control. Thirteen antibiotic-resistant tobacco transformants carrying the CBM22-2 transgene were regenerated and transferred to the greenhouse. Total RNA from leaves of the transgenic plants was isolated to analyze the expression level of the *C. thermocellum* CBM22-2 in each transgenic line by Northern blot analysis using tobacco 18S ribosomal RNA gene as a control. Varying hybridization intensities with the transgenic plants revealed differential expression of the CBM22-2

gene in the individual plants. Based on the level of expression, transgenic plants have been categorized into three classes as high, low and none expressers; the respective representatives for the classes are shown in Figure 1. Five plants each were classified as high and low expressers, whereas three plants were classified as none expressers. It should be noted that these none expressers may include plants with very low RNA expression, which could not be detected. Attempts at purifying the protein of the CBM22-2 module using affinity purification with hexa-histidine tag were not successful for reasons previously discussed (Obembe *et al*, 2007b).

The transgenic plants appeared normal in that there was no morphological or developmental change when compared to the pGreen7k vector control. The average plant height of the transgenic plants expressing the CBM22-2 at maturity was 73 cm, which was comparable to 75 cm average height for the pGreen7k vector control plants. There was also no particular trend in plant development with respect to stem elongation and flower formation.

To determine whether there were cellular events resulting from the expression of the CBM22-2 in the tobacco plant, light microscopic examinations of stem sections stained with toluidine blue was made. Three representatives of each expression class were examined. Figure 2 showed representative micrographs of the cortex of the transgenic and the control stems, which reveal no marked cellular phenotype in the transgenic stems. One argument for the unexpected result could be that there had been


Figure 1. Transcript expression analysis of the CBM22-2 gene in transgenic tobacco leaves. A differential transcript expression pattern is shown in the upper panel with the representative of each class in the transgenic line CBM22-2. Lines 10, 7 and 3 represent high, low and none expressers, respectively. Lower panel shows RNA blots for the ribosomal RNA internal control with comparable intensities.

some sort of post-translation silencing. This is especially suggestive, since the transcript expression analysis was good. This argument apparently appears strong when one considers the evidence of xylan-binding attribute of the CBM22-2, on which the present investigation was premised. The evidence was based on *in vitro* binding and site directed mutagenesis studies (Charnock *et al*, 2000; Xie *et al*, 2001). However, recent immunohistochemical


Figure 2. Cross sections of representative transgenic and control stems. Sections of the transgenic line 10, high expresser of CBM22-2 module (A) and empty pGreen7k control (B) were stained with toluidine blue. Figures 2A and 2B show the cortex of the sections of the transgenic and the control plants, respectively. Figures reveal no marked difference in the cortex of the two stem sections. ct = cortex, ep = epidermis, Scale bar = 50 μm.

evidence provided by McCartney *et al* (2006) gave new insight as to why the transgenic plants did not exhibit marked cellular phenotypes. In their approach, recombinant CBMs, which were fusion proteins of the CBMs and histidine tags, were used as molecular probes to localize their ligands in planta. The detection of the polysaccharide-CBM interactions within the plant cell wall was facilitated with the use of anti-histidine antibodies against the histidine tags. The investigation revealed that CBM22-2 has restricted secondary cell wall recognition; in that whereas it bound effectively to pea stem, it only bound weakly to flax and tobacco stems (McCartney *et al*, 2006). This likely reflects the context of xylan in different cell walls (McCartney *et al*, 2006), especially since CBM22-2 can readily recognize isolated xylan polymers and also because xylan was found to be present in all of the secondary cell walls of the plant materials used, as indicated by the binding of other xylan-binding CBMs.

4 Conclusion

Although it is well established that CBMs are capable of altering plant cell wall structure and could be potentially used as a tool for tailoring cellulose fiber with enhanced properties for specific industrial applications, it is strongly suggested that their appropriateness for such as task should be empirically determined. This study suggests that the CBM22-2 of xylanase 10B of the *C. thermocullum* might be not suitable for use in planta modification of cellulose fiber.

Acknowledgment

References

1. Bolam DN, Ciruela A, McQueen-Mason S, Simpson P, Williamson MP, Rixon JE, Boraston A, Hazlewood GP, Gilbert HJ. Pseudomonas cellulose-binding domains mediate their effects by increasing enzyme substrate proximity. Biochem J 1998; 331(Pt 3): 775 – 81.
2. Boraston AB, Bolam DN, Gilbert HJ, Davies GJ. Carbohydrate-binding modules: fine-tuning polysaccharide recognition. Biochem J 2004; 382(Pt 3): 769 – 81.
3. Devillard E, Bera-Maillet C, Flint HJ, Scott KP, Newbold CJ, Wallace RJ, Jouany JP, Forano E. Characterization of XYN10B, a modular xylanase from the ruminal protozoan *Polyplastron multivesiculatum*, with a family 22 carbohydrate-binding module that binds to cellulose. Biochem J 2003; 373(Pt 2): 495 – 503.
4. Din N, Damude HG, Gilkes NR, Miller RC Jr, Warren RAJ, Kilburn DG. C-1-C-x revisited: intramolecular synergism in a cellulose. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91: 11383 – 7.
5. Din N, Gilkes RN, Tekant B, Miller RC Jr, Warren RAJ, Kilburn DG. Non-hydrolytic disruption of cellulose fibers by the binding domain of a bacterial cellulase. Bio/Technology 1991; 9: 1096 – 9.
6. Feng JX, Karita S, Fujino E, Fujino T, Kimura T, Sakka K, Ohmiya K. Cloning sequencing and expression of the gene encoding a cell-bound multi-domain xylanase from *Clostridium josui* and characterization of the translated product. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2000; 64: 2614 – 24.
7. Fontes CMGA, Hazlewood GP, Morag E, Hall J, Hirst BH, Gilbert HJ. Evidence for a general role for the non-catalytic thermostabilizing domains in xylanases from thermophilic bacteria. Biochem J 1995; 307: 151 – 8.
8. Gill J, Rixon JE, Bolam DN, McQueen-Mason S, Simpson PJ, Williamson MP, Hazlewood GP, Gilbert HJ. The type II and X cellulose-binding domains of *Pseudomonas xylanase* A potentiate catalytic activity against complex substrates by a common mechanism. Biochem J 1999; 342(Pt 2): 473 – 80.
9. Hall J, Black GW, Ferreira LM, Millward-Sadler SJ, Ali BR, Hazlewood GP, Gilbert HJ. The non-catalytic cellulose-binding domain of a novel cellulase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* subsp. cellulosa is important for the efficient hydrolysis of Avicel. Biochem J 1995; 309(Pt 3): 749 – 56.
10. Hellens RP, Edwards EA, Leyland NR, Bean S, Mullineaux PM pGreen: a versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. Plant Mol Biol 2000; 42: 819 – 32.
11. Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Davies GJ. A census of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Mol Boil 2001; 47: 55 – 72.
12. Kallas Å. Heterologous expression, characterization and applications of carbohydrate active enzymes and binding modules. PhD thesis, School of Biotechnology, Department of Wood Biotechnology, Royal Institute of Technology, AlbaNova University Center, Stockholm, Sweden, ISBN 91-7178-349-0. 2006.
13. Kilburn DG, Warren AJ, Stoll D, Gilkes NR, Shoseyov O, Shani Z. Expression of a mannan binding domain to alter plant morphology. WO 2000/068391 A1. 2000.
14. Kuipers AGJ, Soppe WJJ, Jacobsen E, Visser RGF. Factors affecting the inhibition by antisense RNA of granule-bound starch synthase gene-expression in potato. Mol Gen Genet 1995; 246: 745 – 55.
15. McCartney L, Blake AW, Flint J, Bolam DN, Boraston AB, Gilbert HJ, Knox JP. Differential recognition of plant cell walls by microbial xylan-specific carbohydrate-binding modules. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103: 4765 – 70.
16. O'Neill MA, York WS. The Composition and Structure of Plant Primary Cell Walls. In: Rose JKC (ed). The Plant Cell Wall. Blackwell, London. 2003; 1 – 54.
17. Obembe OO, Jacobsen E, Timmers J, Gilbert HJ, Blake AW, Knox JP, Visser RGF, Vincken JP. Promiscuous, non-catalytic, tandem carbohydrate-binding modules modulate cell wall structure and development of tobacco plants. J Plant Res 2007b; 120: 605 – 17.
18. Obembe OO, Jacobsen E, Visser RGF, Vincken JP. Expression of an expansin carbohydrate-binding module affects xylem and phloem formation. Afri J Biotechnol 2007a; 6: 1608 – 16.
19. Obembe OO. Bioengineering cellulose-hemicellulsoe networks in plants. PhD thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands, ISBN 90-8504-352-2. 2006.
20. Quentin MGE. Cloning, characterisation and assessment of the wall-modifying ability of a fungal cellulose-binding domain. PhD thesis, Wageningen University, The Netherlands. 2003.
21. Safra-Dassa L, Shani Z, Danin A, Roiz L, Shoseyov O, Wolf S. Growth modulation of transgenic potato plants by heterologous ex-

pression of bacterial carbohydrate-binding module. Mol Breeding 2006; 17: 355 – 64.

22. Shoseyov O, Yosef K, Shani Z, Rehovoth, Shpigel E, Medigo K. Transgenic plants of altered morphology. US Patent 2001; 6: 184, 440.

23. Sunna A, Gibbs MD, Bergquist PL. A novel thermostable multi-domain 1,4-β-xylanase from *Caldibacillus cellulovorans* and effect of its xylan-binding domain on enzyme activity. Microbiology 2000; 146: 2947 – 55.

24. Suzuki M, Kato A, Nagata N, Komeda Y. A xylanase, AtXyn1, is pre-dominantly expressed in vascular bundles, and four putative xylanase genes were identified in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. Plant Cell Physiol 2002; 43: 759 – 67.

25. Wittink FRA, Knuiman B, Derksen J, Capkova V, Twell D, Schrau-wen JAM, Wullems GJ. The pollen-specific gene Ntp303 encodes a 69-kDa glycoprotein associated with the vegetative membranes and the cell wall. Sex Plant Reprod 2000; 12: 276 – 84.

26. Xie H, Gilbert HJ, Charnock SJ, Davis GJ, Williamson MP, Simpson PJ, Raghothama S, Fontes CMGA, Dias FMV, Ferreira LMA, Bolam DN. *Clostridium thermocellum* Xyn 10B carbohydrate-binding mod-ule 22-2: the role of conserved amino acids in ligand binding. Bio-chemistry 2001; 40: 9167 – 76.