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**Abstract: Background and study aim:** Recently, the occurrence of de novo or recurrence of HCC in HCV patients, who treated with direct acting antivirals (DAAs),has gained a risinginterestin clinical application. There are wide debates about the possible role of these treatments and the possible risk predictors in this respect. The aim of our study is to evaluatepossible risk predictors related to de novo HCC in HCV patients who achieved sustained virologic (SVR) to either DAAs or peg-IFN regimens. **Patients and methods:** Seven hundred and fifty treated HCV patients, who achieved SVR, were retrospectively included. They were divided to two groups, *group1* included 150 patients proved to have denovo HCC after treatment, and *group2* included 600 patients did not prove to have HCC after treatment. All patients were investigated as regards the degree of liver fibrosis using FIB4 score, the severity of liver dysfunction using Child Pugh and MELD scores, DM and HCV treatment regimens either DAAs or Peg-IFN **Results:**FIB4 score had a high significant risk for HCC by multivariable logistic regression (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =1.626 with 95% CI=1.347-1.964)**.** Child Pugh score had a high significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =1.426 with 95% CI=1.172-1.734).The MELD score had a high significant risk for HCC (p value =0.028 and Odds ratio =1.124 with 95% CI=1.013-1.246).However, after adjustment of the risk estimate of DM by multivariable logistic regression, we found that DM had a non-significant risk for HCC (p value =0.431 and Odds ratio =1.2 with 95% CI=0.762-1.891).HCV patients who were treated by DAAs had lower -however non significant- risk for HCC (p value =0.639 and Odds ratio =0.898with 95% CI=0.572-1.409).**Conclusion:** Higher grades of liver fibrosis using FIB4 score and advanced liver dysfunction using either MELD or Child Pugh scores are independent risk predictors for de novo HCC in HCV patients treated by either DAAs or Peg-IFN who achieved SVR.DAAs had lower -however non significant- risk for HCC.
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**1. Introduction:**

HCV infection and HCCs are worldwide health problems **(1)**.In Egypt, HCC is considered to be one of the commonest cancers **(2,3)**. Generally, hepatocellular carcinomas have an inferior prognosis due to late diagnosis and lack of efficient treatment modalities **(4)**. Accordingly, early diagnosis of HCC in cirrhotic patients is essential **(5)**.

Recent international published studies reported a significant risk reduction of HCC in HCV patients who achieved SVR to DAAs, however, this risk is still high in those with advanced liver fibrosis, thus they need continuous screening programs for HCC **(6,7)**.

Our aim of this study is to evaluate possible risk predictors related to de novo HCC in HCV patients who achieved sustained virologic response to either DAAs or peg-IFN regimens.

**2. Patients and methods:**

This retrospective case control study was performed at both *Clinical Oncology Department* and *the Hepatology Unit of Internal Medicine Department* at Tanta University Hospitals.

We retrospectively analyzed Seven hundred and fifty treated HCV patients, who achieved sustained virologic response to either the new DAAs or Peg-IFN, who visited our outpatient HCC screening clinic in the period from January 2014 to December 2018 and who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria of this study.

They were divided to two groups as regards the occurrence of de novo HCC. Firstly, we selected group1 that included 150 patients, who proved to have de novo HCC after treatment, it included 82 (54.6%) males and 68 (45.4%) females, the median (range) of their ages was 45 (37) years, and the median (range) observational time (which is the time to HCC) was 29 (25) months, of them 120 (80%) patients were treated by the DAAs regimen, and 30 (20%) patients were treated by peg-IFN regimen. Then, group2 was selected as a matched comparative group that included 600 patients, who did not prove to have HCC after treatment, it included 345 (57.5%) males and 255 (42.5%) females, the median (range) of their ages was 44 (36) years, and the median observational time (which is the time to registered last visit) was 30 (31) months, of them 490 (81.7%) patients were treated by the DAAs regimen, and 110 (18.3%) patients were treated by peg-IFN regimen. All patients in both groups were matched as regards age, sex and the follow up time after treatment.

All patients were evaluated as regards the degree of liver fibrosis, non-invasively, using FIB4 score, and as regards the severity of liver dysfunction using Child Pugh score or MELD score. All patients were investigated as regards the presence of diabetes mellitus (DM) and HCV treatment regimens either DAAs or Peg-IFN and the time to HCC occurrence.

HCC was diagnosed using triphasic computed tomography or dynamic magnetic resonance imaging by the presence of typical hypervascular characteristics in the arterial phase followed by rapid washout in the portal venous or delayed phases.

***Inclusion criteria***

All included patients were proved to receive treatment for HCV and achieved sustained virologic response to either DAA or peg-IFN.

***Exclusion criteria***

We excluded the following type of HCV patients from our study; all patients who did not achieve a sustained virologic response to either treatment regimens, all patients with HCV/HBV co-infection or HCV/HIV co-infection, and all patients with pretreatment history of HCC.

The study was approved by the Hospital Ethical Committee and was performed according to the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Statistical analysis of the data:**

All collected data were organized, tabulated and statistically analyzed using the IBM SPSS, version 23 statistic software (SPSS Inc., Armonk, NY, USA).

For quantitative data, the median and range were calculated if abnormally distributed or the mean and SD were calculated if normally distributed. Qualitative data were reported as frequencyand percentage or proportion. *Student t test* was used for two group comparisons of normally distributed data, and the *Mann–Whitney U* test where data was not normally distributed. *Chi-Square test* was performed to conduct group comparisons for categorical data.

Risk predictors for HCC were evaluated using univariable logistic regression and the adjusted risk estimates were calculated using the multivariable logistic regression. ROC curves were done for the optimum cut-off values for the significant risk predictors.Two sided p value ≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**3. Results:**

The main baseline characteristics for all included patients are illustrated in table 1. There was no significant differences between both groups as regards age and sex distribution, AST, ALT, serum creatinine, hemoglobin and the observational time, (p value =0.531, 0.395, 0.758, 0.062, 0.083, 0.564 and 0.096 respectively), however there were significant differences as regards serum bilirubin, serum albumin, INR and platelet count (p value = 0.0001).

The studied risk predictors that may be related to the occurrence of de novo HCC are illustrated in table2 and table3:

**Table 1: Baseline criteria**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Grouping | Statistics |
| **HCC N=150** | **No HCC N=600** | **P value** |
| Sex | Male | **82** | (54.6%) | **345** | **(57.5%)** | **0.531** |
| Female | **68** | (45.4%) | **255** | **(42.5%)** |
| Age (years) | Median (Range) | **45** | (37) | **44** | **(36)** | **0.395** |
| AST | Median (Range) | **43** | (17) | **42** | **(17)** | **0.758** |
| ALT | Median (Range) | **43** | (23) | **43** | **(17)** | **0.062** |
| Bilirubin | Median (Range) | **2.4** | (2.1) | **1.9** | **(1.5)** | **0.0001** |
| Albumin | Median (Range) | **2.9** | (1.6) | **3.2** | **(1.5)** | **0.0001** |
| INR  | Median (Range) | **1.75** | (1.0) | **1.5** | **(0.7)** | **0.0001** |
| PLT | Median (Range) | **120** | (112) | **147** | **(125)** | **0.0001** |
| S.creatinine | Median (Range) | **0.9** | (0.8) | **0.87** | **(0.8)** | **0.083** |
| Hemoglobin  | Median (Range) | **11** | (2.5) | **11.25** | **(2.5)** | **0.564** |
| Follow up time (ms) | Median (Range) | **29** | 25 | **30** | **31** | **0.096** |
| Time to HCC (ms)Median (Range) | DAAs | 28  | (25) |  |  | **0.302** |
| Peg-IFN | 29  | (25) |  |  |

**Table 2: Univariable logistic regression for studied risk factors**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Grouping | Statistics |
| **HCC N=150** | **No HCC N=600** | **B** | **P value** | **Exp.B** | **95% CI** |
| FIB4 score | Median (Range) | **4.19** | 5.76 | **1.98** | 3.1 | **0.807** | **0.0001** | **2.240** | **1.930-2.601** |
| Child score | Median (Range) | **9** | 5 | **6** | 5 | **0.719** | **0.0001** | **2.051** | **1.755-2.370** |
| MELD score | Median (Range) | **13.6** | 9.2 | **10.9** | 10.5 | **0.373** | **0.0001** | **1.453** | **1.345-1.569** |
| DM | Yes | **48** | 32% | **139** | 23.2% | **0.445** | **0.026** | **1.561** | **1.055-2.310** |
| No | **102** | 68% | **461** | 76.7% |
| Treatment regimen | DAAs | **120** | 80% | **490** | **81.7%** | **-0.108** | **0.639** | **0.898** | **0.572-1.409** |
| Peg IFN | **30** | 20% | **110** | **18.3%** |

**Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression for studied risk factors**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **B** | **P value** | **Exp.B** | **95% CI** |
| **Lower** | **Upper** |
| **FIB4 score** | **0.486** | **0.0001** | **1.626** | **1.347** | **1.964** |
| **Child score** | **0.355** | **0.0001** | **1.426** | **1.172** | **1.734** |
| **MELD score** | **0.116** | **0.028** | **1.124** | **1.013** | **1.246** |
| **DM** | **0.183** | **0.431** | **1.200** | **0.762** | **1.891** |

***Evaluations of the risk estimate of liver fibrosis using the FIB4 score:***

The univariable regression analysis for the FIB4 score showed that patients with higher scores had higher significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =2.240with 95% CI=1.930-2.601), and after adjustment of this risk estimate by multivariable logistic regression, we found that FIB4 score still had a high significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =1.626 with 95% CI=1.347-1.964)

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of liver dysfunction using MELD or Child Pugh scores:***

The univariable regression analysis for the Child Pugh score showed that patients with higher scores had higher significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =2.051 with 95% CI=1.755-2.370), and after adjustment of this risk estimate by multivariable logistic regression, we found that Child Pugh score still had a high significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =1.426 with 95% CI=1.172-1.734).

Similarly, The univariable regression analysis for the MELD score showed that patients with higher scores had higher significant risk for HCC (p value =0.0001 and Odds ratio =1.453 with 95% CI=1.345-1.569), and after adjustment of this risk estimate by multivariable logistic regression, we found that MELD score still had a high significant risk for HCC (p value =0.028 and Odds ratio =1.124 with 95% CI=1.013-1.246).

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of DM:***

The univariable regression analysis showed that the diabetic patients had higher significant risk for HCC (p value =0.026 and Odds ratio =1.561 with 95% CI=1.055-2.310), however, after adjustment of this risk estimate by multivariable logistic regression, we found that DM had a non-significant risk for HCC (p value =0.431 and Odds ratio =1.2 with 95% CI=0.762-1.891).

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of DAA vs Peg-IFN regimen:***

The univariable regression analysis showed that the HCV patients who were treated by DAA had lower, however non significant, risk for HCC (p value =0.639 and Odds ratio =0.898with 95% CI=10.572-1.409).

***Evaluation of the optimum cut-off values for the significant risk predictors:***

Table 4 and figures 1show the different cut-off values for FIB4 score, Child Pugh score and MELD score with their respective AUC, likelihood ratio, sensitivity and specificity.

On the other hand, There wasno any significant difference as regards the time to HCC occurrence between patients who were treated with DAA andthosetreated with Peg-IFN (P value =0.302).

**Table 4: ROC curve for the significant risk predictors**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **AUC** | **P value** | **95% C.I.** | **Cut off value** | **LR** | **Sensitivity** | **Specificity** |
| **Lower** | **Upper** |  |  |  |  |
| **FIB4 score** | **0.780** | **0.0001** | **0.736** | **0.824** | **4.34** | **10.9** | **46.7%** | **95.7%** |
| **Child score** | **0.783** | **0.0001** | **0.742** | **0.824** | **8** | **4.08** | **58%** | **85.8%** |
| **MELD score** | **0.751** | **0.0001** | **0.710** | **0.793** | **16.95** | **37.4** | **18.7%** | **99.5%** |

****

**4. Discussion:**

Recently, the occurrence of de novo or recurrence of HCC in HCV patients who were treated with DAAshas gained rising interest and wide debates in clinical application. In this study, we tried to face these debates and to evaluate the possible risk predictors related to de novo HCC in HCV patients who achievedSVR to either the new DAAs or peg-IFN regimens.

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of liver fibrosis using the FIB4 scores:***

Our results showed that liver fibrosis using FIB4 score is a significant independent risk predictor for occurrence of de novo HCC, moreover de novo HCC has a high likelihood ratio (10.9) to occurreata cut off level for FIB4 score of 4.34. Many recent published studieshad similar results that identified the possible carcinogenic hazard of advanced liver fibrosis,which could be used to identify patients at increased risk for HCC in HCV patients with SVR to DAAs**(8-10)**.

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of liver dysfunction using MELD or Child Pugh scores:***

Our results showed that MELD and Child Pugh scores are significant independent risk predictors for occurrence of de novo HCC; moreover de novo HCC has a high likelihood ratio to occurre in patients with higher MELD and Child Pugh scores (LR; 37.4 and 4.08 respectively with cut off levels; 16.95 and 8 respectively). The results of SyedT et al, showed higher, however non-significant, difference as regards both MELD and Child Pugh scores(p value =0.173 and 0.064 respectively) between treated HCV patients with HCC and those without HCC **(10)**.

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of DM:***

Our results show that the diabetic patients had higher significant risk for HCC however, after adjustment of this risk estimate by multivariable logistic regression; we found that DM had a non-significant risk for HCC. These results are against many papers who concluded that DM could be used to predictthe increased risk for HCC following DAA therapyin HCV patients**(8-12)**.The precise mechanisms of liver carcinogenisisdue to DM have not been reported, however, it has been postulated that, insulin resistance increased the liver susceptibility to lipid peroxidation and reactive oxygen species, which could promoteliver carcinogenic hazards**(13)**.

The difference in our results could be explained by the underlying liver cirrhosis which is considered as an independent risk factor of liver carcinogenesis and the possible role of DM in this respect could be just a contributory risk factor in liver carcinogenesis.

***Evaluation of the risk estimate of DAA versus Peg-IFN regimen:***

DAA effects, on the liver carcinogenesis after achieving an SVR are not clear. DAAs directly inhibit HCV proliferation, and compared with peg-IFN, they don't activate immunity **(14)**. Rather, they may suppress liver immunity by rapid viral elimination **(15)**. The results of our study shows that; HCV patients who were treated with DAAs had lower however non-significant risk for occurrence of de novo HCC.This is similar to Nagata H et al who reported a non-significant difference as regards HCC risk, between HCV patients with SVR to DAAS and those treated with peg-IFN**(16)**. Other studies have concludedthat HCV treatment with DAAsincreased the risk of HCC, whereas other studiesrefused this possible effect **(17,18)**.

From all of these results, we could conclude that advanced liver fibrosis, whichis identified non-invasively by FIB4 score, and advanced liver dysfunction,whichis identified either by MELD score or Child Pugh score,are independent risk predictors for de novo HCC in HCV patients who were treated either with DAAor peg-IFN regimens and achieved SVR. In those patients regular screening strategies for HCC is mandatory for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinomas that are more amenable to recent curative treatment modalities.
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