Comparative efficiencies of the degradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 using UV/H$_2$O$_2$, Fenton, and photo-Fenton processes
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Abstract: The kinetics of the photo degradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 imparted by UV/H$_2$O$_2$, Fenton and photo Fenton process were investigated. Negligible effects were observed in the presence of either UV light or H$_2$O$_2$ alone. As expected the Fenton-mediated degradation occurred much faster than the photolytic process. Photo Fenton showed higher in treatment efficiency than that of Fenton process. The effects of dye concentrations, H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, pH values and the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ concentration on the degradation rate constant were also studied. The rate constant of dye degradation that occurred in both the photolytic as well as in the Fenton and photo-Fenton processes was found to pseudo first-order kinetics.
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1 Introduction

Hydrogen peroxide is increasingly favored as an environmentally acceptable bleaching agent both in domestic and industrial situations.$^{(1,2)}$ The combination of H$_2$O$_2$ as a bleaching agent, and solar or UV radiation for photochemical degradation of textile dyes without using solid catalyst as titanium, iron, or manganese oxides, were the main objectives of many studies, H$_2$O$_2$ is a safe, efficient and easy to use chemical oxidant suitable for wide usage on concentration prevention. Discovered by Thenard in 1818, it was first used to reduce odor in waste water treatment plants, and from then on , it became widely employed in water treatment$^{(3)}$. However, since H$_2$O$_2$ itself is not an excellent oxidant for many organic pollutants, it must be combined with UV light, salts of particular metals or ozone to produce the desired degradation results.

Many different types of synthetic dyes such as azo, vat, nitre, indigo, etc., are widely used for different purposes in paper and pulp manufacturing, plastics, printing and textile industry.$^{(4-5)}$ The effluent from these industries, when it contains substantial amounts of such dyes, causes not only coloration of water, but also poses a threat to aquatic life$^{(6)}$ furthermore; their presence in drinking water constitutes a potential human health hazard.$^{(7,8)}$. It is therefore essential either to remove the dyes from water or to treat them in such a way so as to minimize their effects on the environment and also to decolorize the water.

Different approaches have been suggested to remove dyes from aqueous solution including adsorption, biological degradation, coagulation, advanced oxidation processes (AOP), photo-Fenton reaction, ozone treatment and hypochlorite treatment$^{(9-16)}$. A very simple approach which can be commonly utilized is- the photolytic oxidation of such solutions. In many cases, this method is readily applicable due to the UV content of sunlight. On the other hand, the degradation of dye using Fenton's reagent has been reported in the literature and has shown promising results$^{(17-19)}$. In this paper, we wanted to examine the relative efficiencies of two different AOP methods, namely UV/H$_2$O$_2$ and Fenton's for the degradation of the Alizaration yellow G dye, C.I. Mordant Orange 1 many of the parameters that affect dye degradation rate, such as dye concentration, H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, and pH were also evaluated. Since many electrolytes are present in dye effluent, the kinetics of dye degradation in both the presence and absence of various ions were determined$^{(20,21)}$.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

C.I. Mordant Orange 1 (Fig. 1) was procured from CIBA-GEIGY (Switzerland) and was used as received distilled water was used for all dye solutions. Hydrogen peroxide (35%) was obtained from merck and ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (98%) was obtained from Merck.
2.2. Apparatuses

2.2.1. UV-visible spectroscopy

The absorption spectra are recorded with UV-9200 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The absorbance of solutions measured at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (485 nm) with using a 1cm quartz cell.

2.2.2. Batch type photoreactor

All experiments are conducted in a 500 ml thermostated bath glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The light source is low pressure mercury lamp (254 nm 2 lamp each of 4 watt).

2.2.3. pH measurements

The pH values of the solutions are adjusted using Multimeter; WTW (Wissenschaftlich-Technische werkstatten GmbH) Imbolab Multi Lev 11, ba 12237 de Germany.

2.3. Preparation of solutions

2.3.1. Preparation of dye solutions

5.0 x $10^{-3}$M stock solution of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 is prepared by dissolving 1.435gm of the dye in 1000 ml bi-distilled water from which working solutions are prepared (0.3-7.0 x $10^{-5}$M) by dilution.

2.3.2. Preparation of H$_2$O$_2$ solutions

Different concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ are freshly prepared (1.0, 2.0, 10.0, 30.0, 50.0, 70.0, mM). The concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ are large excess in comparison to dye solutions, so that H$_2$O$_2$ concentration can be considered constant during the whole experiments.

2.3.3. Preparation of Fe$^{2+}$ solution

1.0 x $10^{-2}$ M freshly prepared stock solutions of FeSO$_4$. 7 H$_2$O are prepared and different concentrations (5.0 x $10^{-6}$, 1.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0x$10^{-5}$M) are prepared by dilution.

2.4. Homogenous photodegradation

The experiments are carried out in a bath-type photoreactor. H$_2$O$_2$, Fenton (Fe$^{2+}$/H$_2$O$_2$) are acted as photocatalyst and UV light as illuminating light source. Reaction system is setup by adding the photocatalysts into 250ml dye solutions prepared in appropriate concentrations using bi-distilled water. The dye solutions are stirred and 5 ml samples are withdrawn at regular time intervals and the dye concentrations are measured spectrophotometrically.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Photocatalytic degradation with H$_2$O$_2$

3.1.1. Effect of initial dye concentration

The effect of different concentrations of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 (0.3-7.0 x $10^{-5}$M) in presence of 30.0mM H$_2$O$_2$ and pH 3.0 are studies. Initially, a large degree of removal is observed. This is due to fast decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ producing the hydroxyl radicals. Moreover, decolorization of dye is mainly due to hydroxyl radicals generated. Azo bonds are more active and C.I. Mordant Orange 1 mountain one azo bond and decolorization of dye is sue to the initial electrophilic cleavage of its chromophoric azo bond attached to naphthalene ring$^{22}$.

The values of photodegradation Pseudo-first-order rate constant for different concentrations of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 calcualted from the linear plots of ln A/A$_0$ against irradiation time$^{(23)}$ and the results of k are given in Table (1).

Taking into account that, the life-time of hydroxyl radical is very short (only few nanoseconds), they can only react where they are formed$^{24}$. Increasing the quantity of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 molecules per volume unit logically enhance the probability of collision between organic matter and oxidizing species, leading to an increase in the degradation rate so that a rise in concentration induces an inner filter effect, i.e., incident light would largely be wasted for dye excitation rather than for the hydroxyl radical precursor excitation. Consequently, the solution becomes more and more impermeable to UV radiation. As the rate of hydrogen peroxide photolysis directly depends on the fraction of incident light absorbed by H$_2$O$_2$ molecules, the degradation rate slows down.

3.1.2. Effect of initial pH

To study the effect of pH on photodegradation experiments are conducted for 1.0 x $10^{-5}$M C.I. Mordant Orange 1 in presence of 30.0 mM H$_2$O$_2$ at different initial pH values (2.03-8.15). The calculated pseudo first-order rate constants and the values of K are given in Table (2).

The results show that high degradation rate constant values are observed at pH 3.00. The alkaline medium, hydrogen peroxide undergoes decomposition leading to dioxygen and water rather than producing Hydroxyl radicals under UV irradiation$^{25}$. Therefore the instantaneous concentration in °OH is lower than that as expected.
The base-catalyzed decomposition involves the $\text{HO}_2^-$ anion: the conjugated base of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ reacts with a non-dissociated molecule of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ according to the (eq. 1).

$$\text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 + \cdot\text{OH}$$

Furthermore, the deactivation of $^*\text{OH}$ is greater when the pH of the solution is high (alkaline media), i.e. its expected that the reaction of $^*\text{OH}$ with $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$ being approximately 100 time faster than its reaction with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$\textsuperscript{(29)}.

$$^*\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2^-$$

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + ^*\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2^-$$

The reactivity of $\text{HO}_2^-$ and or its basic from $\text{O}_2^-$ with organic compounds is very weak. They preferentially disproportionate producing some hydrogen peroxide and oxygen, according to the equation.

$$\text{HO}_2^- + \text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 + \cdot\text{OH}$$

On the whole, the results demonstrate that it is very important to set a suitable pH in order to optimize the operating conditions.

### 3.1.3. Effect of initial $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ dose on photo degradation.

The effect of varying the initial $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ dose ($1.0 - 70.0$ mM) for C.I. Mordant Orange 1 concentration $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and at pH 3.0 for the application of the UV/$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ initiated degradation of dye effluent, it was necessary to initially change both the initial dye concentration and hydrogen peroxide concentration so as to optimize the conditions of maximum degradation.

Experiments were carried out in the presence of either UV light or $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ alone: neither of these treatments had an effect on the absorption maxima of the dye solution and imparted no degradation. However degradation of the dye occurred when it was subjected to UV light in the presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, presumably due to the production of hydroxyl radicals, as shown below:

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^*$$  
(5)

The hydroxyl radicals can in turn react with the dyes and lead to dye degradation.

$$^*\text{OH} + \text{Dye} \rightarrow ^*\text{Dye} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(6)

Further reactions in solution can then take place as a part of the overall scheme:

$$^*\text{Dye} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Dye} - \cdot\text{O}_2$$

(7)

$$2 \cdot\text{Dye} - \cdot\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Dye} - \cdot\text{O} + \text{O}_2$$

(8)

$$\text{Dye} - \cdot\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^* + \text{products}$$

(9)

Additionally the peroxy radicals can also further react with the dye as follows:

$$\text{HO}_2^* + \text{Dye} \rightarrow ^*\text{Dye} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$$

(10)

Table (3) shows the effect of increasing hydrogen peroxide concentrations on degradation rate with the most rapid degradation occurring at the highest $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration tested. However, it can be seen that the apparent rate seemed to be reaching at higher $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentrations. For example, doubling the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration from (1.0, 70.0 mM). This can be explained to be due to the self – to quenching reaction of $^*\text{OH}$ radicals with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$.

$$^*\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2^*$$

(11)

Therefore, 30.0 mM was selected as the optimum concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ for subsequent study. Similarly, the initial concentration of the dye affected the degradation of the dye; Table (1) shows that as the dye concentration increased, an almost linear decrease in the degradation rate of the dye observed\textsuperscript{(27)}. However, as we were unable to find an optimum concentration of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 that would give the best degradation of the dye, it therefore appeared that the apparent decolorition rate was independent of dye concentration in the range of 0.3-7.0x10^{-5} mM.
Examination of the effect of pH on dye degradation revealed that dye decoloration was affected on pH 3.0. In order to optimize the Fenton-mediated degradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 the concentrations of dye, hydrogen peroxide and Fe²⁺ were varied results are summarized Table (4-7) and Figs. (2a-5a).

### 3.2.: Fenton and photo-Fenton processes

The Fenton’s reagent involves reaction of ferrous ions with hydrogen peroxide to produce hydroxyl radicals, which are strong oxidizing reagents that react with the dye solution and degrade it:\(^{(28)}\)

\[
H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow \cdot OH + OH^- + Fe^{3+} \tag{12}
\]

The hydroxyl radical propagates the reaction by reacting with the organic dye to produce further radicals, which can then react in many different steps.

\[
\cdot OH + \cdot Dye \rightarrow H_2O + Dye^* \tag{13}
\]

\[
Dye^* + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Dye-OH + HO^* \tag{14}
\]

Additionally many other reaction are also possible, which include the radical-radical reaction or the reaction of the OH radical with H₂O₂.

\[
\cdot OH + \cdot OH \rightarrow H_2O_2 \tag{15}
\]

The peroxide radicals (HO₂•) produced in the above case can further oxidize other species present in the solution:\(^{(19)}\)

\[
HO_2^- + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow O_2 + Fe^{3+} + H^+ \tag{16}
\]

The rapid depletion of H₂O₂ that is often observed with Fenton’s reagents is probably due to the combined reactions (12-16). However, since reaction (13) has the highest rate constant, it is responsible for the degradation of the dye. Also, it is possible for Fe²⁺ to be auto regenerated in this system, and act as a catalyst:\(^{(14)}\).

\[
Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H^+ + HO_2^- \tag{17}
\]

Once can see from Table (4) that the rate C.I. Mordant Orange 1 degradation in the Fenton process was proportional to the amount of H₂O₂. This can be explained on the basis that at higher concentrations of H₂O₂, more OH radicals are available which can degrade more dye molecule. Similarly, at a fixed concentration of H₂O₂, the extent of dye degradation decreased with increasing concentration of the dye solution (Table 5). Lastly, Table (6) shows that as the amount of the Fe²⁺ increased, the apparent rate of dye degradation increased proportionally, presumably because of more OH radicals being generated.

It seems that unlike the photolytic process, the Fenton-mediated degradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 and H₂O₂ concentrations. Lastly Table (7) shows that as pH: 3.0 the apparent rate of dye degradation is large but on increase the values of pH apparent rate is decrease. The photo-Fenton (Fe²⁺/UV) system is widely known Apos and is practical use:\(^{(29)}\). The results are shown in Tables (4-7), and Figs. (2b-5b) illustrate that C.I. Mordant Orange 1 decolourisation was excellent for photo-Fenton process instead of Fenton process. Due to the restriction of the power of UV-light used. The treatment efficiency improved slightly for dye waste water by photo-Fenton process. All pH values also dropped to acidic condition:\(^{(30)}\).

### Conclusions

- The results obtained showed that H₂O₂/UV system could be efficiently used to degrade the C.I. Mordant Orange 1.
- Photodegradation efficiency of dye was negligible when photolysis was carried out in the absence of H₂O₂ and UV light.
- The results indicated that the degree of degradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 was obviously affected by pH value and the amount. The optimum amount of Photocatalyst at pH: 3.0 and 30.0mM and at dye concentration of 1.0 x 10⁻⁵M.
- It was observed that using of Fe²⁺ as a photocatalyst it increased the rate of degradation. The plot of ln \(A/A_0\) dye versus irradiation time for C.I. Mordant Orange 1 was linear which showed that the photodegradation reaction obeys the pseudo-first-order kinetics reaction.
- The results show that the rate of reaction “k” \(\text{min}^{-1}\) in photo-Fenton large than Fenton.
Table (1): Values of $K$ (min$^{-1}$) for the different concentration of the dye in presence of 30.0 mM H$_2$O$_2$ and pH 3.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of dye M (M)</th>
<th>$K$ (min$^{-1}$) x 10$^{-2}$</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.30 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>13.410</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>14.019</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17.809</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.647</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.648</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.8954</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Values of $K$ (min$^{-1}$) for pH in presence of 1.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M C.I. Mordant orange 1, 30.0 mM H$_2$O$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$K$ (min$^{-1}$) x 10$^{-2}$</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>5.243</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>8.112</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>6.210</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>3.198</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>2.609</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Values of $K$ (min$^{-1}$) for the different concentration of the H$_2$O$_2$ in presence of 1.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M C.I. Mordant orange 1 and pH 3.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H$_2$O$_2$ mM</th>
<th>$K$ (min$^{-1}$) x 10$^{-2}$</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0598</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.09184</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>0.6432</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>0.4502</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>0.3200</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Effect of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration on C.I. Mordant orange 1 degradation in the Fenton, photo–Fenton processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ mM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Concentration of dye M (M)</th>
<th>Concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ M (M)</th>
<th>Fenton</th>
<th>Photo-Fenton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.0 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>36.702</td>
<td>47.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.660</td>
<td>56.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.010</td>
<td>59.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.000</td>
<td>50.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (5): Effect C.I. Mordant orange 1 concentration on its degradation in the Fenton, Photo-Fenton Processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of dye M (M)</th>
<th>Concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ mM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ M (M)</th>
<th>Fenton</th>
<th>Photo-Fenton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.0 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>35.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>28.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>20.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (6): Effect of Fe²⁺ concentration on C.I. Mordant orange 1 degradation in the Fenton, photo-Fenton processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of Fe²⁺ M</th>
<th>Concentration of H₂O₂</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Concentration of dye</th>
<th>Fenton</th>
<th>Photo-Fenton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.00 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4.239</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>36.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>25.081</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>13.721</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.174</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (7): Effect of pH on C.I. Mordant orange 1 degradation in the Fenton, photo-Fenton processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Concentration of H₂O₂ mM</th>
<th>Concentration of dye M</th>
<th>Concentration of Fe²⁺ M</th>
<th>Fenton</th>
<th>Photo-Fenton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>1.00 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.00 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>14.227</td>
<td>19.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.261</td>
<td>11.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.130</td>
<td>8.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.810</td>
<td>5.634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (2a) Kinetic of photodegradation of Fe²⁺ 3.0 x 10⁻⁵ at different initial concentrations of C.I. Mordant orange 1 in presence of 30.00 mM H₂O₂ in Fenton process and pH: 3.00.

Fig. (2b) Kinetic of photodegradation of Fe²⁺ 3.0 x 10⁻⁵ at different initial concentrations of C.I. Mordant orange 1 in presence of 30.00 mM H₂O₂ in photo-Fenton process and pH: 3.00.
Fig. (3a): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in presence of $\text{Fe}^{2+}: 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ in Fenton process and pH: 3.00.

Fig. (3b): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in presence of $\text{Fe}^{2+}: 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ in Photo-Fenton process and pH: 3.00.

Fig. (4a): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ in presence of 30.00 mM $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ Fenton process and pH: 3.00.

Fig. (4b): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ in presence of 30.00 mM $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ photo-Fenton process and pH: 3.00.
Fig. (5a): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of pH values in presence of $30.00 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$ Fenton process and $\text{Fe}^{2+} 3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$

Fig. (5b): Kinetic of photodegradation of C.I. Mordant Orange 1 $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M at different initial concentrations of pH values in presence of $30.00 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$ Photo-Fenton process and $\text{Fe}^{2+} 3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$
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