

## On A Characteristic Problem For A Third Order Pseudoparabolic Equation

A. Maher<sup>1</sup>, Ye. A. Utkina<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, 71516, Egypt.

[a\\_maher69@yahoo.com](mailto:a_maher69@yahoo.com)

Current Address:

Dep. of Math. ,The Teacher's in Makkah, Umm Al-Qura Univ. , Makkah, P.O Box 2064, KSA

<sup>2</sup>. Department of Differential Equations, Kazan State University, 18 Kremlyovskaya St., Kazan, 420008, Russia.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we investigate the Goursat problem in the class  $C^{2+1}(D) \cap C^{n+0}(D \cup P) \cap C^{0+0}(D \cup Q)$  for a third order pseudoparabolic equation. some results and one theorem are given concerning the existence and uniqueness for solving the suggested problem. Our work is considered as a continuation of the results in [3-7].

[A. Maher, Ye. A. Utkina. **On A Characteristic Problem For A Third Order Pseudoparabolic Equation.** *Academ Arena* 2014;6(9):37-42]. (ISSN 1553-992X). <http://www.sciencepub.net/academia>. 7

**Keywords:** Third order pseudoparabolic equation; The Goursat problem.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 35B, 35D, 35G.

### 1. Introduction

In the domain

$$D = \{(x, y); x_0 < x < x_1, y_0 < y < y_1\}$$

we consider the equation

$$L(u) \equiv u_{xx} + au_{xx} + bu_{xy} + cu_x + du_y + eu = f; \quad (1)$$

where

$$a, b, c, d, e, f \in C^{1+2}(D),$$

The special cases are encountered during the investigation of processes of moisture absorption by plants ( see, [8]), where the class  $C^{k+l}$  means the existence and continuity for all derivatives

$$\partial^{r+s} / \partial x^r \partial y^s ; \quad (r = 0, \dots, k; s = 0, \dots, l).$$

We will call the solution of the class as a regular. For this equation, we investigate the following:

### 2. Formulation of the Problem

To find the function

$$u \in C^{2+1}(D) \cap C^{\max(n_1, n_2)+0}(D \cup P) \cap C^{0+m}(D \cup Q)$$

which is a solution of Equation (1) in  $D$  and satisfies the following conditions

$$D_x^{n_1} u(x_0, y) = \varphi_{n_1}(y), D_x^{n_2} u(x_0, y) = \varphi_{n_2}(y); \\ \varphi_{n_1}, \varphi_{n_2} \in C^1(P) \quad (2)$$

$$D_y^m u(x, y_0) = \psi_m(x), \psi_m \in C^2(Q), \quad (3)$$

where

$$y \in P = [y_0, y_1], x \in Q = [x_0, x_1]$$

Here, we consider the conditions of function coincidence for the right parts (2) and (3) on the

boundary of their definitions ( co-ordination conditions) as satisfied.

$$\psi'(x_0) = \varphi_1(y_0), \psi(x_0) = \varphi(y_0),$$

where

$$\varphi(y) = \varphi_0(y), \psi(x) = \psi_0(x).$$

When  $n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1, m = 0$  in this statement, the Goursat problem considered in [5] is obtained. We should note that the Goursat problem for (1) is the most investigated. The cases  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, m = 0; n_1 = 0, n_2 = 2, m = 0; n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1, m = 1; n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, m = 1; n_1 = 0, n_2 = 2, m = 1$  are investigated in [3]. We will consider one of the variants through searching formulas for the definition of the boundary Goursat value. Our work is considered as a continuation of the results in [3-8]. More precisely, See in more details ([1], [2], [6] and [10]).

In order not to exceedingly increase the size of this paper, mainly, we will be defining conditions of equality and types for the initial values that provide for the chance to calculate the boundary Goursat value. Thus, in the final formulation of the obtained result we should assume that the initial values are sufficiently smooth. However, these smoothness conditions can be formulated checking carefully what smoothness is required by all the stages of the conducted considerations.

Let us turn from the general statement to the one that is immediately studied in this paper.

**Problem:** To find the function

$u \in C^{2+1}(D) \cap C^{n+0}(D \cup P) \cap C^{0+0}(D \cup Q)$   
which in  $D$  is the solution of Equation (1), which  
satisfies conditions (2) and (3) when  
 $n_1 = 0, n_2 = n, m = 0$ .

The proposed problem consists, probably, of finding  $\varphi(y)$ . In order to reduce to the Goursat problem. Let us first integrate Equation (1) with respect to  $y$  within the bounds from  $y_*$  to  $y(y_*, y \in P)$  and then in the obtained relation, we direct  $y_*$  to  $y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u_{xx}(x, y) - u_{xx}(x, y_0) + \int_{y_0}^y a(x, \eta) u_{xx}(x, \eta) d\eta + \\ + b(x, y) u_x(x, y) - b(x, y_0) u_x(x, y) + \\ + \int_{y_0}^y c(x, \eta) u_x(x, \eta) d\eta + d(x, y) u(x, y) - \\ - d(x, y_0) u(x, y) + \int_{y_0}^y \{-b_\eta(x, \eta) u_x(x, \eta) - \\ - d_\eta(x, \eta) u(x, \eta) + e(x, \eta) u(x, \eta)\} d\eta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this relation  $(n - 2)$  times with respect to  $x$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} D_x^n u(x, y) - D_x^n u(x, y_0) + \\ + \int_{y_0}^y D_x^{n-2} [a(x, \eta) u_{xx}(x, \eta)] d\eta + \\ + D_x^{n-2} [b(x, y) u_x(x, y)] - \\ - D_x^{n-2} [b(x, y_0) u_x(x, y_0)] + \\ + \int_{y_0}^y D_x^{n-2} [c(x, \eta) u_x(x, \eta)] d\eta + \\ + D_x^{n-2} [d(x, y) u(x, y)] - \\ - D_x^{n-2} [d(x, y_0) u(x, y_0)] + \\ + \int_{y_0}^y \{-D_x^{n-2} [b_\eta(x, \eta) u_x(x, \eta) - \\ - d_\eta(x, \eta) u(x, \eta)] + \\ + D_x^{n-2} [e(x, \eta) u(x, \eta)]\} d\eta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Directing  $y$  to  $x_0$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(y_0) + \\ & + \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [a(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-i}(\eta) d\eta + \\ & + \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [b(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(y) - \\ & - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [b(x_0, y_0)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(y_0) + \\ & + \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [c(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(\eta) d\eta + \\ & + \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [d(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(y) - \\ & - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [d(x_0, y_0)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(y_0) + \\ & + \int_{y_0}^y \left\{ - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [b_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) - \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [d_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [e(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) \right\} d\eta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\varphi_{n-i}(y) = D_x^{n-i} u(x_0, y).$$

The latter equation can be rewritten in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} D_x^{n-2} [d(x_0, y)] \varphi(y) + \int_{y_0}^y D_x^{n-2} [e(x_0, \eta)] - \\ - d_\eta(x_0, \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta = r_n(y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(y) = & -\varphi_n(y) + \varphi_n(y_0) - \\ & - \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [e(x_0, \eta) - d_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ & - \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [a(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-i}(\eta) d\eta - \\ & - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [b(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(y) + \\ & + \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [b_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ & - \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [c(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ & - \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i [d(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(y) + \\ & + \Omega_n(x_0, y_0), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n(x_0, y_0) = & \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-2}^i \{D_x^i [b(x_0, y_0)] \varphi_{n-(i+1)}(y_0) + \\ & + D_x^i [d(x_0, y_0)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(y_0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

When  $\varphi_i$ ; ( $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) are defined through the previous.

Thus,

$$\varphi_{n-1}(y) + \int_{y_0}^y a(x_0, \eta) \varphi_{n-1}(\eta) d\eta = l_{n-1}(y),$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} l_{n-1}(y) &= \varphi_{n-1}(y_0) - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [e(x_0, \eta) - d_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+3)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [a(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-i-1}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [b(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(y) + \\ &+ \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [b_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [c(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \sum_{i \leq n-2} C_{n-3}^i D_x^i [d(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+3)}(y) + \\ &+ \Omega_{n-1}(x_0, y_0), \end{aligned}$$

similar

$$\varphi_{n-j}(y) + \int_{y_0}^y a(x_0, \eta) \varphi_{n-j}(\eta) d\eta = l_{n-j}(y), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l_{n-j}(y) &= \varphi_{n-j}(y_0) - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [e(x_0, \eta) - d_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+2+j)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [a(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-i-j}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [b(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+1+j)}(y) + \\ &+ \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [b_\eta(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+j+1)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \int_{y_0}^y \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [c(x_0, \eta)] \varphi_{n-(i+j+1)}(\eta) d\eta - \\ &- \sum_{i \leq n-2-j} C_{n-2-j}^i D_x^i [d(x_0, y)] \varphi_{n-(i+j+2)}(y) + \\ &+ \Omega_{n-j}(x_0, y_0), \end{aligned}$$

The analysis of the formula (4) indicates that  $\varphi(y)$  depends on  $\varphi_1(y), \varphi_2(y), \dots, \varphi_n(y)$ , where  $\varphi_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-2}, \dots, \varphi_2$  can be written through the following with the help of (5). It is clear that in the

end, we obtain the integral equation as respects  $\varphi(y)$ . In order to simplify the understanding of its construction in this paper we will calculate the coefficient under  $\varphi(y)$ .

We introduce the following notations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{i_1 \leq n-4} C_{n-3}^{i_1} D_x^{i_1} [b(x_0, y)] &= S_1(n-4, b), \\ \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-5} C_{n-i_1-4}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2} [b(x_0, y)] &= S_2(n-5, b), \\ \sum_{i_1 \leq n-5} C_{n-3}^{i_1} D_x^{i_1} [d(x_0, y)] &= V_1(n-5, d) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

After that, we write  $l_{n-1}(y)$  through  $\varphi(y)$ , leaving only those addends that do not contain integrals. Then we obtain:

$$l_{n-1}(y) = \Delta_{n-1}(y) - S_1(n-4, b) \varphi_{n-2-i_1}(y) - V_1(n-5, d) \varphi_{n-3-i_1}(y) - D_x^{n-3}(d) \varphi(y).$$

Taking into account the formula (5) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{n-1}(y) &= \Delta_{n-1}(y) - \\ &- S_1(n-4, b) \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-4} C_{n-i_1-4}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2} (b) \varphi_{n-3-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-4} C_{n-i_1-4}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2} (d) \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2)}(y) \right\} - \\ &- V_1(n-5, d) \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-5} C_{n-i_1-5}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2} (b) \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-5} C_{n-i_1-5}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2} (d) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2)}(y) \right\} - D_x^{n-3}(d) \varphi(y) = \\ &= \Delta_{n-1}(y) - S_1(n-4, b) [-S_2(n-5, b)] \varphi_{n-3-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \\ &- S_1(n-4, b) [-V_2(n-6, d)] \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \\ &- V_1(n-5, d) [-S_2(n-6, b)] \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \\ &- V_1(n-5, d) [-V_2(n-7, d)] \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2)}(y) + \\ &+ S_1(n-4, b) D_x^{n-4-i_1}(d) \varphi(y) + \\ &+ V_1(n-5, d) D_x^{n-5-i_1}(d) \varphi(y) - D_x^{n-3}(d) \varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the substitution (5) should be continued until  $n-j \geq 1$ . As a result we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{n-1}(y) &= \Delta_{n-1}(y) - S_1(n-4, b)[-S_2(n-5, b)] \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-5} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-5}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-5} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-5}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) \} - \\
&- S_1(n-4, b)[-V_2(n-6, d)] \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-6} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-6}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-6} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-6}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) \} - \\
&- V_1(n-5, d)[-S_2(n-6, b)] \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-6} C_{n-i_1-6-i_2}^{i_2} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-6} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-6}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(d) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) \} - \\
&- V_1(n-5, d)[-V_2(n-6, d)] \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-7} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-7}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-6} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-6}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(d) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) \} - \\
&- V_1(n-5, d)[-V_2(n-7, d)] \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-7} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-7}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(b) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3 \leq n-7} C_{n-(i_1+i_3)-7}^{i_3} D_x^{i_3}(d) \varphi_{n-7-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) \} + \\
&+ S_1(n-4, b) D_x^{n-4-i_1}(d) \varphi(y) + \\
&+ V_1(n-5, d) D_x^{n-5-i_1}(d) \varphi(y) - D_x^{n-3}(d) \varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us note that the index in the formulas of types (6)  $S_1, S_2, V_1$  and others means that in the sum of the left part (6), the index with this number (for example,  $i_1, i_2$ ) is used. Besides,  $\Delta_{n-1}(y)$  is changed at each step of this process and is simply introduced to facilitate the writing of this formula. Using the abbreviations (6), we write the last formula as:

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{n-1}(y) &= \Delta_{n-1}(y) + (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) S_2(n-5, b) \bullet \\
&\bullet S_3(n-6, b) \varphi_{n-4-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) S_2(n-5, b) \bullet \\
&\bullet V_3(n-7, d) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) V_2(n-6, d) S_3(n-7, b) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) V_2(n-6, d) V_3(n-8, d) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ [(-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) S_2(n-5, b) D_x^{n-5-(i_1+i_3)}(d) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_1(n-5, d) S_2(n-6, b) S_3(n-7, b) \varphi_{n-5-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_{1,1}(n-5, d) S_2(n-6, b) V_3(n-8, d) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_1(n-5, d) V_2(n-7, d) S_3(n-8, b) \varphi_{n-6-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_1(n-5, d) V_2(n-7, d) V_3(n-9, d) \varphi_{n-7-(i_1+i_2+i_3)}(y) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) V_2(n-6, d) D_x^{n-6-(i_1+i_3)}(d) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 S_1(n-4, b) V_2(n-6, d) D_x^{n-6-(i_1+i_3)}(d) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_1(n-5, d) S_2(n-6, b) D_x^{n-6-(i_1+i_3)}(d) + \\
&+ (-1)^3 V_1(n-5, d) V_2(n-7, d) D_x^{n-7-(i_1+i_3)}(d) + \\
&+ S_1(n-4, b) D_x^{n-4-i_1}(d) + V_1(n-5, d) D_x^{n-5-i_1}(d) \varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, if we note

$$d = a_{01}, b = a_{11}, S_0 = V, S_1 = S,$$

the coefficient under  $\varphi(y)$  can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{p=1}^k (-1)^{k+1} S_{\alpha_p} (n-3-2p + \\
&+ \sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p, a_{\alpha_p, 1}) D_x^{n-3-2p+\sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p - \sum_{p=1}^k i_p}(d), \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_p$  can take the values of either 0 or 1, and

$\prod_{p=1}^k$  is product of corresponding terms. If  $k = 0$ , then  $S = 1$ . For  $\varphi_{n-j}(y)$ , where  $j > 1$  we obtain the formula similar to (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{n-j}(y) &= \Delta_{n-j}(y) - S_1(n-3-j, b)\varphi_{n-1-i_1-j}(y) - \\
&- V_1(n-4-j, d)\varphi_{n-2-i_1-j}(y) - D_x^{n-2-j}(d)\varphi(y) = \\
&= \Delta_{n-j}(y) - S_1(n-3-j, b) \bullet \\
&\bullet \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-3-j} C_{n-i_1-3-j}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2}(b) \varphi_{n-2-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \left. \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-2-j} C_{n-i_1-3-j}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2}(d) \varphi_{n-3-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) \right\} - \\
&- V_1(n-4-j, d) \left\{ - \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-4-j} C_{n-i_1-4-j}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2}(b) \varphi_{n-3-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) - \right. \\
&- \left. \sum_{i_1+i_2 \leq n-4-j} C_{n-i_1-4-j}^{i_2} D_x^{i_2}(d) \varphi_{n-4-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) \right\} - D_x^{n-2-j}(d)\varphi(y) = \\
&= \Delta_{n-j}(y) + S_1(n-3-j, b)S_2(n-3-j, b)\varphi_{n-2-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) + \\
&= S_1(n-3-j, b)V_2(n-5-j, d)\varphi_{n-3-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) + \\
&+ V_1(n-3-j, d)S_2(n-5-j, b)\varphi_{n-3-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) + \\
&+ V_1(n-4-j, d)V_2(n-6-j, d)\varphi_{n-4-j-(i_1+i_2)}(y) + \\
&+ S_1(n-3-j, b)D_x^{n-3-j-i_1}(d)\varphi(y) + \\
&+ V_1(n-4-j, d)D_x^{n-4-j-i_1}(d)\varphi(y) - D_x^{n-2-j}(d)\varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0, \dots}^k \prod_{p=1}^k (-1)^{k+p} S_{\alpha_p}(n-2-j-2p+ \\
&+ \sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p, a_{\alpha_p, 1}) D_x^{n-2-j-2p+\sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p - \sum_{p=1}^k i_p}(d), \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that when  $j = 1$ , we obtain the formula (7). Thus, (8) is true for  $j \geq 1$ . Substituting the obtained results in (4), we extract the coefficient under  $\varphi(y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_x^{n-2}(d(x_0, y))\varphi(y) + \\
&+ \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i(b(x_0, y))\varphi_{n-i-1}(y) + \\
&+ \sum_{i \leq n-4} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i(d(x_0, y))\varphi_{n-i-2}(y) = \\
&= \Delta_n(y) + D_x^{n-2}(d(x_0, y))\varphi(y) + \\
&+ \sum_{i \leq n-3} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i(b(x_0, y)) \left[ \sum_{k=0, \dots}^k \prod_{p=1}^k (-1)^{k+p} S_{\alpha_p}(n-3-i-2p+ \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p, a_{\alpha_p, 1}) D_x^{n-3-i-2p+\sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p - \sum_{p=1}^k i_p}(d) \right] \varphi(y) + \\
&+ \sum_{i \leq n-4} C_{n-2}^i D_x^i(d(x_0, y)) \left[ \sum_{k=0, \dots}^k \prod_{p=1}^k (-1)^{k+p} S_{\alpha_p}(n-4-i-2p+ \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p, a_{\alpha_p, 1}) D_x^{n-4-i-2p+\sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p - \sum_{p=1}^k i_p}(d) \right] \varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

This formula can also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^k \prod_{p=1}^k (-1)^{k+p} S_{\alpha_p}(n-2-2p+ \\
&+ \sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p, a_{\alpha_p, 1}) D_x^{n-2-2p+\sum_{p=1}^k \alpha_p - \sum_{p=1}^k i_p}(d(x_0, y)) = \Delta_n(y). \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the analysis (9) we determine

**Theorem.** If the coefficients of the equation (1) belong to the class of the unknown (desired) solutions and, besides that, the coefficient under  $\varphi(y)$  in the left part of (9) is different from zero, while  $b, d \in C^{(n-2)+1}(D \cup P)$ , then the problem (1) is reduced to the Goursat problem. The arbitrary constants are  $\varphi_1(y_0), \varphi_2(y_0), \dots, \varphi_n(y_0)$ .

#### Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ahmad Maher

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Assiut University, 71516, Egypt.

E-mail: [a\\_maher69@yahoo.com](mailto:a_maher69@yahoo.com)



#### References

1. Bitsadze, A. V. "Some classes of equations in partial derivatives" Moscow, Nauka, (1981).
2. Kotukhov, M. P. "On some differential properties of the solution of partial differential equation" Izvestia VUZov: Matematika, No. 5, (1996), 59-62.
3. Maher, A. and Utkina, Ye. A. "On problems reduced to The Goursat problem for a third order" Iranian Journal of Science and Technology(IJST), Transaction A, Vol. 30, No. A3, (2006), 271-277.
4. Maher, A. and Utkina, Ye. A. "On problems

- reduced to The Goursat problem for a third order  
" Iranian Journal of Science and  
Technology(IJST), ransaction A, Vol. 30, No.  
A3 , (2006), 271-277.
5. Maher, A. and Utkina, Ye. A. " On problems  
reducing to The Goursat problem for a fourth  
order "Iranian Journal of Science and Technology  
(IJST), Transaction A, Vol. 31, No. A2 , (2007),  
163-170.
  6. Maher, A. and Utkina, Ye. A. " On the Goursat  
problem for a sixth order equation and spatial  
equation" (ITALIAN J. of PURE and APPL.  
MATHEMATICS), No. 25, (2009), 37-46.
  7. Maher, A. and Utkina, Ye. A. " On a fourth order  
Peseudoparabolic equation "(ITALIAN J. of  
PURE and APPL. MATHEMATICS), No. 25,  
(2009), 6-16
  8. Nakhuhev, A. M. " Equations of mathematical  
biology" Moscow, Vysshaya Shkola,(1995).
  9. Zhegalov, V. I. and Utkina, Ye. A. " On a third  
order pseudoparabolic equation" Izvestia VUZov  
: Matematika, No. 10,(1999),73-76.
  10. Zhegalov, V. I. " Relation between the boundary  
values of the Goursat problem and the normal  
derivative" Conditionally Well-Posed Problem  
TVP Sci. Publ., (1994), 346-349..

10/20/2013